

LETTERS

All ears

A prominent tropical biologist describes how "the socioeconomics of farming" apply to "carbon sequestration through forest regeneration." "The cooperation of many institutions" is said to be needed for the success of the United Nations' "Forest Resources Assessment 2000 Project." Researchers discuss efforts to sequence the genome of corn and other grains. (Right, the big three: wheat, rice, and corn). And the U.S. National Research Council is said to have open meetings most of the time.



The Carbon Crop

Anne Simon Moffat's spotlight on carbon sequestration through forest regeneration (Research News, 18 July, p. 315) is most welcome, but needs explicit reference to a key driver in the carbon ecosystem: paying for it. Carbon sequestration through forest or peat restoration is simply growing a carbon crop. The socioeconomics of farming apply. If carbon is to be sequestered massively and permanently through the restoration of forests, a process both biologically straightforward and well understood for decades, then someone has to buy the crop. We must close the sociopolitical loop and internalize environmental costs—the polluter pays.

Those of us structuring carbon sequestration projects hope that the U.S. government's role in Kyoto, Japan, in December 1997 will achieve a market force for the carbon crop. We already have the technology, the protocols, and the will to crank up massive mitigative regeneration of tropical and mid-latitude forests.

A carbon generator can lower its impact by buying a virtual or real mechanical scrubber, or it can buy a green scrubber, that is, regenerate forest.

Why bother with trees? The sequestered green carbon plays an enormous "other" role besides hauling the carbon out of the air. Wildlands and tree-rich agroecosystems generate an array of goods and services—from water control to ecotourism, biodiversity prospecting, education, orange juice, carbon parked in valuable furniture, and more—and the storage of up to several hundred metric tons of carbon per hectare. The payment for the carbon scrubbing service achieved through forest restoration and the establishment of woody plantations can be the capital investment that sets the living factory in place. Later, the other goods and

services may well generate sufficient income for maintenance and favorable competition with other crops that store less carbon.

There is also a second, hidden process. The paying polluter wants an assurance that the purchased carbon will stay put. The wildland biodiversity and ecosystem development industry is that assurance.

Daniel H. Janzen
Department of Biology,
University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
E-mail: djanzen@sas.upenn.edu

Global Information on Tropical Forests

To deal with the many international problems related to tropical forests, policy-makers require reliable information about forest status and trends. Scientists also require such information to develop, test, and validate models of global phenomena that contribute to enhanced understanding and solutions to global problems. This information typically comes from inventories of forest resources, including measures of the extent and distribution of forest cover, patterns and rates of land-cover change, and forest biomass and species composition. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (1) provides such information in a globally consistent manner.

The FAO Forest Resources Assessment 1990 Project analyzed the status and trends for tropical forests in 143 developing nations for the period 1980 to 1990 (2, 3). The 1990 project cooperated with numerous institutions in developing and developed nations to build a global database for forest area, biomass, tree species, and rates of deforestation and degradation (4). The analysis used a

TO
CONCENTRATE
1,000 mL OF PROTEIN SOLUTION
QUICKLY...

...Make The Connection



MiniPlate™ Bioconcentrator

is the fastest, easiest concentrator of its size. Compact and ready-to-use, this high performance tangential flow filtration device can concentrate one liter of protein solution in about an hour. Simply connect this disposable device to a pump to concentrate or desalt proteins and other biological solutions.

amicon
BIOSEPARATIONS

Only the MiniPlate Bioconcentrator offers you all of these advantages:

- Fast – twice the membrane surface area of competitive devices for fastest flow
- High recovery – low-binding YM membrane
- Reliable – 100% integrity tested
- Ready to use – preassembled cartridge has all connection ports molded into the housing
- Autoclavable – for sample sterility

Call, fax, or e-mail for protocols.

U.S. and Canada,
call Technical Services:
1-800-MILLIPORE (645-5476).

To place an order, call
Fisher Scientific: 1-800-766-7000
(In Canada, call 1-800-234-7437).
In Japan, call (03) 5442-9716;
in Asia, call (852) 2803-9111;
in Europe, fax +33-3.88.38.91.95.

MILLIPORE

www.millipore.com/amicon
e-mail: protein@millipore.com

Circle No. 1 on Readers' Service Card

two-prong approach: compilation and analysis of existing data and interpretation of high-resolution satellite data with standard protocols and definitions.

The success of the 1990 project required the cooperation of many institutions from developed and developing countries. Additional cooperation is required to improve global assessments and policy decisions with Forest Resources Assessment 2000.

Sandra Brown

Department of Natural Resources
and Environmental Sciences,
University of Illinois,
Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Raymond L. Czaplewski

Rocky Mountain Research Station,
Forest Service,
U.S. Department of Agriculture,
240 West Prospect Road,
Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA
E-mail: czap@lamar.colostate.edu

References

1. www.fao.org/waicent/faoinfo/forestry/forestry.htm
2. *Forest Resources Assessment 1990—Global Synthesis* (Forestry Paper No. 124, FAO, Rome, 1995).
3. *Forest Resources Assessment 1990—Survey of Tropical Forest Cover and Study of Changes Processes* (Forestry Paper No. 130, FAO, Rome, 1996).
4. World Conservation Monitoring Centre, *Summaries of FRA1190 Data* (1997), www.wcmc.org.uk/

Reef Check: Complete Agreement

Because a sentence added in a revised version of the letter from Thomas J. Goreau *et al.* (11 July, p. 165) was not included in the printed letter, some readers may have formed the mistaken impression that the letter meant to criticize the purpose and value of the Reef Check 1997 project. That sentence read, "We support expanded citizens' monitoring efforts, which are important for public education and in identifying early warning signs, but we caution that the number of people able to correctly distinguish between coral diseases from bleaching and other forms of mortality is vastly less than that of certifiably accurate bird identifiers." The signatories of that letter and the letter from the organizer of Reef Check, Gregor Hodgson (11 July, p. 165), are in complete agreement that complementary efforts by professionals and trained amateurs, such as Reef Check, are urgently needed to assess the status of coral reefs around the world and protect them from rapidly increasing deterioration by widespread and multiple threats.

For those readers of the earlier article "Scientists launch survey of reef health" by Barbie Bischof (News & Comment, 6 June, p. 1494) who might have otherwise inter-

preted the photo and caption selected by *Science* to accompany the article, it should be clarified that the focus of Reef Check 1997 is to measure key indicators of human effects on coral reefs, such as numbers of edible high-value species, as stated in the article. Reef Check does not include a detailed survey of coral diseases.

Thomas J. Goreau

President, Global Coral Reef Alliance,
1324 Bedford Road,
Chappaqua, NY 10514, USA
E-mail: goreau@earthlink.net


Gregor Hodgson

Coordinator, Reef Check,
Institute for the Environment and
Sustainable Development,
Hong Kong University of Science and
Technology,
Clearwater Bay, Kowloon,
Hong Kong, China
E-mail: reefchck@uxmail.ust.hk



Corn Genome Initiative

In "Corn genome pops out of the pack" (News & Comment, 27 June, p. 1960), Jon Cohen summarizes the June 1997 National Academy of Sciences colloquium "Protect-



Where great
fragment and muta
analysis
results begin
and end

"I've found the system quick and reliable while screening mutations in the trombosmodulin gene," says Ewa Nilsson; Clinical Chemistry Laboratory; Lund University Hospital; Sweden.