

offer a Ph.D. field in environmental and resource economics within the "conventional" Department of Economics. The Random Sample item states that "in addition to standard courses, the new program has at its core three new ones: environmental economics . . . natural-resource economics . . . and ecological economics." The descriptions of the first two courses are entirely standard, and they are taught widely. The third course tends to be interdisciplinary, and is a poorer fit for most economics programs because the subject matter is less amenable to rigorous modeling and empirical verification. It is no more and no less an orphaned subject than any other interdisciplinary endeavor.

Economists are trained to focus on matters of fact (how people and firms make choices, and therefore how these choices might be influenced by available policy measures). What they "fiercely resist" is the temptation to make value judgments regarding the choices that people ought to make. Economic analysis, ideally, is dispassionate. Economists do not consider it their prerogative to make policy, only to carefully and thoroughly inform the policy process. Some aspects of ecological economics do not fit this mold.

There is a prevalent misconception that an economist is no more than a cost accountant—the corporate bean counter who tells management that it is too expensive to take measures to protect the environment, a professional naysayer, and "environmental enemy number 1." The 800 or more members of AERE would likely disagree.

Trudy Ann Cameron
Department of Economics,
University of California,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1477, USA
e-mail: tcameron@econ.ucla.edu

Expanding Wetlands Globally

The article "Putting a price tag on nature's bounty" by Wade Roush (Research News, 16 May, p. 1029) has an interesting implication. It would be simple to convert the two ecosystems of least value per hectare (cropland and grasslands) into that of the most valuable (wetlands). Doing this would more than triple their "global annual value" (1) to \$110.4 trillion.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture has small programs to encourage this conversion (Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, or Creation and Wildlife Upland Habitat Management). Given the results of my brief analysis, it would be wise to expand those programs on a global scale.

Michael E. Valdez*
4625 Scott Street,
Davenport, IA 52806, USA
E-mail: michael.valdez@qcs.org

*Retired, Florida Institute of Technology

References

1. R. Costanza *et al.*, *Nature* **387**, 253 (1997).

Corrections and Clarifications

The letter "Treating AIDS dementia" by S. A. Lipton (13 June, p. 1629) contained two errors introduced during editing. The first sentence should have stated, "I read with interest the elegant report by Ashley Haase's group (W. Cavert *et al.*, Reports, 9 May, p. 960) and the accompanying news, by Jon Cohen (9 May, p. 898) concerning the vulnerability of stubborn human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) reservoirs to new treatments." And, 11 lines from the bottom of the second column, NMDA should have been described as standing for *N*-methyl-D-aspartate.

In figure 2 (p. 1528) of the report "Arecibo radar mapping of the lunar poles: A search for ice deposits" by N. J. S. Stacy *et al.* (6 June, p. 1527), the shaded scale bar below part A should have read (from left to right), "−50, −40, −30, −20, −10," and "0." The shaded scale bar below part B should have read, "0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9," and ">1.2."

National Academy of Sciences President Bruce Alberts was quoted incorrectly in a sidebar to a Special News Report by Andrew Lawler on the National Research Council (9 May, p. 904). Alberts said a National Research Council study on the Army's Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center is "not something I would refuse to do." Because of an error in transcribing a taped interview, he was quoted as saying the study is "not something I would choose to do." *Science* regrets the error.

The News & Comment article "New clues for two toxicological mysteries" by Jocelyn Kaiser (11 Apr., p. 201) incorrectly identified Patricia McClellan-Green. She is a toxicologist at Duke University.

Letters to the Editor

Letters may be submitted by e-mail (at science_letters@aaas.org), fax (202-789-4669), or regular mail (*Science*, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA). Letters are not routinely acknowledged. Full addresses, signatures, and daytime phone numbers should be included. Letters should be brief (300 words or less) and may be edited for reasons of clarity or space. They may appear in print and/or on the World Wide Web. Letter writers are not consulted before publication.

TIME MACHINE



THE NEW MINI-PREP 24 FOR AUTOMATED PLASMID MINI-PREPS

- **Fast**—up to 24 preps per hr, saving you valuable time.
- **High Purity**—sufficient for automated fluorescent and manual sequencing.
- **Easy Operation**—begin prep with direct loading of bacterial culture. No centrifugation step saves you time.
- **Consistent Results**—up to 6 µg of plasmid per ml. Quality DNA ... time and time again.

**Call now to learn how the
New Improved Mini-Prep 24 can give
you quality DNA and save time by
automating your plasmid DNA preps!**

1-800-466-7949

MACCONNELL
RESEARCH

11339 Sorrento Valley Road
San Diego, CA 92121
(619) 452-2603 • Fax (619) 452-6753
www.macconnell.com

Circle No. 39 on Readers' Service Card