LETTERS

Alan Neely Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409–2013, USA E-mail: phyan@ttuhsc.edu

Butterfly Identification

The bird-wing butterfly pictured in Random Samples of 28 February (p. 1271) is not Ornithoptera croesus Wallace, but an exquisitely rare bilateral gynandromorph (female on the right side, male on the left) of one of the subspecies of Trogonoptera brookiana (Wallace). Croesus flies in the Moluccas, over a thousand miles east of the habitat of brookiana in Malaya and Sundaland.

At least no one can argue that the removal of this butterfly from its birthplace to the Osaka Museum of Natural History in Japan, by way of Kaoru Sumiyoshi's collection, will affect the survival of the species gynandromorphs of this type are usually incapable of reproduction.

> John A. Hyatt Eastman Chemical Company, Kingsport, TN 37662, USA E-mail: jhyatt@eastman.com

Bullish about the Bear?

A Population Reference Bureau report summarized in Random Samples (14 Feb., p. 933) says that the Russian population will fall because the birthrate is low and projected to decrease and suggests that the population of elderly threatens "to crush pension systems." The presented graph shows that from 1995 to 2030 there will be an increase in the proportion of elderly in the Russian population. However, the graph also shows a projected decrease in the number of children, which more than balances the increasing number of elderly. The proportion of elderly to total population will indeed increase from 20% to 32% between 1995 and 2030, but this will be offset by a decrease in children under 15 from 21% to 11.5%. Russian working ages are defined by the report as 15 to 54 for women and 15 to 59 for men. The proportion of working-age to total Russian population will remain about the same: 58% in 1995 and 57% in 2030. As the Russian economy improves over the next 30 years, a baby boom may develop. Until then, there is reason to be bullish about the Russian bear.

There will also be a relative decrease in the U.S. child population. Between 1995 and 2050, the proportion of working-age to total adult (working-age plus elderly) populations will decrease by 11%, but the proportion of working-age to total population will decrease by only 6% (1). Both child and elderly population levels affect the economy and the federal budget. Both should be considered in the current debates over Social Security and Medicare.

> Bernard Brown 182 New Mark Esplanade, Rockville, MD 20850, USA

References

 Statistical Abstract of the United States: 1996 (U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, DC, ed. 116, 1996), pp. 15; *ibid.*, p. 17.

Letters to the Editor

Letters may be submitted by e-mail (at science_letters@aaas.org), fax (202-789-4669), or regular mail (*Science*, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA). Letters are not routinely acknowledged. Full addresses, signatures, and daytime phone numbers should be included. Letters should be brief (300 words or less) and may be edited for reasons of clarity or space. They may appear in print and/or on the World Wide Web. Letter writers are not consulted before publication.

