

NeuroScience Network, a national center of excellence studying neural regeneration and recovery. The MRC has no business using limited resources on profit-driven research, adds Montreal Neurological Institute director Richard Murphy. "MRC cannot afford to take money out of its current coffers and try to lever this targeted money. ... MRC's role is to fund excellent science."

Friesen counters that the MRC can do more than that. Its funding provides incentives for industry to invest in research, he says, as well as promoting university-industry collaborations.

Despite the continuing controversy, PMAC and MRC officials may be headed toward at least a temporary reconciliation. The two groups are forming a president's panel to review megaprojects, starting a me-

dia campaign to tout the program, and creating an operations committee to tackle such ongoing disputes as accounting for corporate sponsorship of required clinical trials. As for funding, Friesen forecasts "explosive" growth in industrial outlays for the program over the next 2 years, while Erola says a bevy of new investments are "in the pipeline."

But the real impetus for the reconciliation and the apparent increase in funding may be political. In February, a parliamentary committee will review the patent legislation, including industrial spending under the Health Program. In addition, the generic drug industry, supported by complaints about rising drug prices, has launched a massive lobbying campaign to force Parliament to retreat from the 1992 law.

But opponents face an uphill battle:

Overall PMAC spending on R&D (primarily clinical trials) rose last year by 11%, to \$624 million, prompting Science Minister Jon Gerrard to say that the government is "satisfied" with PMAC outlays. And the big drug companies have a potent political issue in their favor: Quebec separatism. With separatist forces "rising in arms" at the hint of revisions, explains one member of the ruling Liberal Party, "there's no way in hell we'll take on the Montreal-based drug industry." That unwillingness may cool PMAC's newfound ardor for the Health Program and leave it little changed once the political spotlight has been turned off.

—Wayne Kondro

Wayne Kondro is a free-lance writer in Ottawa, Canada.

CABINET APPOINTMENTS

Clinton Picks Peña to Lead Energy

Ethnic politics won out over experience in the energy field as President Bill Clinton late last month picked Transportation Secretary Federico Peña to lead the Department of Energy (DOE). The choice surprised those who were expecting Clinton to name Elizabeth Moler, currently the chief of a federal energy regulatory body. And it disappointed supporters of mechanical engineer Chang-Lin Tien, chancellor of the University of California, Berkeley, who was touted by congressional Democrats from California. With the earlier selection of Chicago lawyer William Daley as Commerce Secretary-designee, Clinton has filled his second-term roster of major science and technology officials.

DOE officials and congressional sources say they are not sure what to expect from Peña. "He's a blank slate," says one DOE manager. Peña's involvement with energy issues is limited to his dealings with DOE's Rocky Flats facility and with the oil and gas industry during his time as a Colorado legislator and mayor of Denver in the 1980s. One Transportation official who has worked closely with Peña characterizes him as a micromanager who takes his cues from the White House. "And he is not a political animal or a dealmaker," the official adds. That loyalty, combined with the fact that he's a known quantity and a Hispanic, apparently pushed him to the top of the president's list. "It was obviously a political choice," says one congressional staffer.

Peña spent the first term leading a depart-

ment that oversees the nation's highways, air traffic, railroads, and Coast Guard with more than 100,000 employees and an annual budget of \$36 billion—roughly twice the size of DOE. Unlike several other Cabinet members, including departing DOE Secretary Hazel O'Leary, Peña is said to have kept a low profile and made only modest changes to the department during his tenure. He chopped the number of employees by about 10%, pressed for greater use of advanced technology in U.S. road and highway construction, and emphasized transportation safety. "He did a pretty effective job," according to one Senate staffer. He drew flak, however, for his handling of airline disasters and for making slow progress

in revamping the Federal Aviation Administration, congressional aides say. He had already announced his intention to step down from the post.

Peña's status should ease his second encounter with the Senate. Even so, Senator Frank Murkowski (R-AK), who chairs the Energy and Natural Resources Committee responsible for the confirmation, warned the day before Peña's nomination that the job demands someone "with the proper professional background and a stiff backbone."

Clinton said at a White House briefing that he expects Peña to continue the efforts begun by O'Leary to streamline DOE, which he characterized as a "diverse and sprawling operation." The nominee declined to discuss any changes in the department, but one issue

on his plate is a major restructuring of DOE proposed by Senator Pete Domenici (R-NM) (*Science*, 13 December, p. 1831).

Until just hours before the president's announcement, the front-runner for the job was Moler, who chairs the independent Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Administration officials say that one factor in Clinton's final decision was unhappiness among Hispanic groups who feared losing two Cabinet seats—Peña and Housing Secretary Henry Cisneros, who is being replaced by one of his deputies, Andrew Cuomo. Another leading candidate for the DOE job was thought to be Tien. Last month, 14 California lawmakers urged the president to choose him for the post, citing his achievements as a mechanical engineer and an experienced administrator.

Clinton also recently named William Daley to take over from Mickey Kantor as Commerce secretary. Daley will inherit the controversy over a variety of Commerce programs that involve partnerships with industry, particularly the Advanced Technology Program (ATP). These have drawn heavy fire from Congress in the past 2 years, and Republican lawmakers are expected to be gunning for them again next year.

Daley is a Chicago lawyer with limited experience in the research and technology fields, although he did represent a group lobbying for the R&D tax credit extension in the early 1990s. "And he did a very good job," says Ken Kay, an attorney with the Washington law firm Podesta Associates, who was part of that effort. Kay says that Daley is pro-business and can work in a bipartisan manner despite his background as a partisan Democrat. Both of those traits may prove important for the future of controversial R&D programs like ATP.

—Andrew Lawler



Loyal aide. Peña kept a low profile at transportation.