be measured. The impact direction was determined by the spin position (or rotation angle) of the spacecraft at the time of impact. This sensor mounting direction constrained the directions from which dust grains could be sensed. This limitation varied with spacecraft rotation angle and with spacecraft location along its trajectory. During Galileo's approach to Jupiter dust particles impacting the DDS from the inner jovian system (that is, from inside the position of Galileo) could be detected when the detector was facing Jupiter; at a spin position half a rotation later DDS was facing away from Jupiter and these particles could not enter the detector. Shortly after Galileo's CA to Jupiter, Jupiter and the inner jovian system was in the Earthfacing hemisphere as seen from Galileo, and no dust grains emanating from that region could reach the dust detector.

2. E. Grün et al., Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 92, 411 (1992).

4. Each event detected by DDS was recorded in two ways [see also E. Grün *et al.*, *Space Sci.* **43**, 941 (1995)]: (i) it was counted in 1 out of 24 accumulators according to its class and signal amplitude; and (ii) the complete information (all signal amplitudes, event time, sensor direction and other supplementary data) was stored in an instrument data frame (IDF). Up to 40 new IDFs were stored in DDS memory that were either continuously read out at high rate to the tape recorder or all 40 IDFs were read out at once in a memory-read-out (MRO). Each MRO contained a complete set of event counters. From these data impact rates were calculated. In addition to the counter information each MRO contained 16 IDFs of class 3 impacts and 24 IDFs of lower class events. The event time information that was included in an IDF had a time resolution of 4 hours. Depending on the impact rate and the time between two consecutive MROs the complete information of only a fraction of the impacts that were detected by DDS was received on Earth. DDS data that were stored on Galileo's tape recorder contained highly time resolved information: a complete set of DDS data was recorded about once a minute.

Electron Beams and Ion Composition Measured at Io and in Its Torus

D. J. Williams,* B. H. Mauk, R. E. McEntire, E. C. Roelof, T. P. Armstrong, B. Wilken, J. G. Roederer, S. M. Krimigis, T. A. Fritz, L. J. Lanzerotti

Intense, magnetic field–aligned, bidirectional, energetic (>15 kiloelectron volts) electron beams were discovered by the Galileo energetic particles detector during the flyby of lo. These beams can carry sufficient energy flux into Jupiter's atmosphere to produce a visible aurora at the footprint of the magnetic flux tube connecting lo to Jupiter. Composition measurements through the torus showed that the spatial distributions of protons, oxygen, and sulfur are different, with sulfur being the dominant energetic (>~10 kiloelectron volts per nucleon) ion at closest approach.

On 7 December 1995, the Galileo spacecraft flew through Io's plasma torus on a trajectory that included a close flyby of the moon at an altitude of 890 km [0.5 R_{Io} (Io radii)]. Measurements made by Galileo's energetic particles detector (EPD) (1) were recorded on the tape recorder and transmitted to Earth in June 1996. Here we present the spatial dependence of charged particle intensities through the torus passage and observations of the particle pitch angle distributions at Io.

The correspondence of Galileo and Voy-

ager 1 (2) total ion intensities (Fig. 1A) is quite good given the time and spatial differences between the observations. Voyager 1 passed by Io at a distance of nearly 22,000 km ($\sim 11 R_{10}$) about 17 years ago. An important new aspect of the EPD composition data is that although all species decrease in intensity as Io is approached from higher jovian altitudes, the proton (P) and oxygen (O) intensities increase at altitudes below the orbit of Io whereas sulfur (S) intensities continue to decrease. At either a given fixed energy or energy per nucleon, S ions have the largest intensities at Io closest approach. At higher energies, O and S intensities display a mild decrease inward of Io, whereas P intensities again increase, as inferred from the total ion intensity plot. This behavior may reflect gyroperiod effects through the spatially varying density of the torus, gyroradius dependencies in the transport properties of the observed ions, and possible differences in charge exchange and Coulomb losses as the ions are transported through the Io torus, or a combination of these effects.

GALILEO ORBITER: REPORTS

- 5. E. Grün et al., Nature 362, 428 (1993).
- 6. E. Grün et al., ibid. 381, 395 (1996).
- M. Horanyi, G. E. Morfill, E. Grün, *ibid.* 363, 144 (1993); *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 21245 (1993).
- D. P. Hamilton and J. A. Burns, *Nature* 364, 695 (1993).
- 9. D. P. Hamilton, Div. Planet. Sci. Abstr., in press.
- H. A. Zook et al., in Physics, Chemistry, and Dynamics of Interplanetary Dust, B. A. S. Gustafson and M. S. Hanner, Eds. [ASP Conference Series (1996)], vol. 104, pp. 23–25; H. A. Zook et al., Science, in press.
- 11. D. H. Humes, J. M. Alvarez, R. L. O'Neal, W. H. Kinard, *J. Geophys. Res.* **79**, 3677 (1974).
- D. P. Hamilton and J. A. Burns, *Icarus* 96, 43 (1992).
 We thank the project, operations, and data system teams from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory for their roles in the successful flight of Galileo to and around Jupiter and the recovery of high-quality data. This work was supported by DARA.

4 September 1996; accepted 24 September 1996

Localized high-energy particle intensity decreases (Fig. 1A) occur within several Io radii of Io closest approach but are most noticeable in the higher energy (greater than a few hundred kiloelectron volts) electron channels. The effect of the much closer Galileo flyby (compared with Voyager) is evidenced by a more than two-order-ofmagnitude decrease in the electron fluxes. At lower energies, the electron intensities display a markedly different behavior (Fig. 1B), with a number of sharp spikes seen. The spikes are presumed to continue through the instrumental mode change period that yielded the large decrease in count rates nestled within the observed spike structure (3). The region where the spikes were observed was centered near Io closest approach (Fig. 1C).

Expanding the region of closest approach reveals that S ions surprisingly show a broad field-aligned maximum that contains a small decrease of intensities in the most fieldaligned directions throughout the entire Io flyby (Fig. 2). As Galileo approaches Io, intensities of ions with pitch angles close to 90° steadily decrease and cause the increasing anisotropy (Fig. 2). Given the observed orientation of the magnetic field (4), this is qualitatively consistent with the expected pitch angle dependence of energetic S ions that can come closest to Io and be lost to its surface or atmosphere; that is, S ions at a 90° pitch angle are most likely to be lost as compared with ions with field-aligned pitch angles.

As the spacecraft approaches Io, the electron distributions gradually evolve (Fig. 2) from a trapped-like distribution with maximum fluxes at a 90° pitch angle to a distribution with peaks appearing between 0° and 90° and between 90° and 180° (butterfly distributions). Near closest approach the electron distribution suddenly (within one spacecraft spin, \sim 20 s) changes to an intense, bidirectional field-aligned beam,

^{3.} E. Grün et al., Science 257, 1550 (1992).

D. J. Williams, B. H. Mauk, R. E. McEntire, E. C. Roelof, S. M. Krimigis, Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20723, USA.

T. P. Armstrong, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KA 66045, USA. B. Wilken, Max Planck Institute for Aeronomy, 37189

Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany. J. G. Roederer, Geophysical Institute, University of Alas-

ka, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA. T. A. Fritz, Boston University, Center for Space Physics,

Boston, MA 02215, USA. L. J. Lanzerotti, Lucent Technologies, 600 Mountain Av-

enue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA. *To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: djw@aplcomm.jhuapl.edu

Fig. 1. An overview of the EPD response through the torus and the lo flyby. (A) These panels show intensities versus L (the equatorial crossing distance of Jupiter's magnetic field lines) measured by EPD on 7 December 1995 and by the Voyager 1 low-energy charged particle detector (designated V) on 5 March 1979. The labels on the left show the species measured, where I = total ion fluxes, P = protons, O = oxygen, S = sulfur, and E = electrons, and the numbers in parentheses show the logarithm of the multiplicative offset of the data. Voyager measurements are given as line plots and are marked in the figure. The numbers on the right show the energy band in megaelectron volts for each plot. (B) The response of the lowest energy EPD electron channel during the lo flyby. Spin modulation of the fluxes is seen as are several large spikes in the electron intensities. The steplike decrease, labeled BG, is due to the EPD stepping behind a background shield for a background calibration (3). The spikes are not fully apparent adjacent to the BG region because of the stepping motion of the instrument (region labeled SM). The spikes are intense bidirectional, magnetic field-aligned flows of electrons. A similar behavior is seen in electron energy channels up to ~ 150 keV. (C) The Galileo trajectory past lo and the region where the electron beams were observed.

evidenced by the red spots at 0° and 180° pitch angles (these are the spikes seen in Fig. 1B). These beams are tightly aligned with the magnetic field and coexist with a less intense trapped-like electron population (Fig. 3). The electron beams appear at closest approach (Fig. 1C) and have much higher intensities than the pre-encounter trapped electron intensities (Fig. 2).

These intense electron beams may be related to Io's modulation of Jupiter's decametric radiation (DAM) (5) and to observations of an aurora located at the footprint of Io in Jupiter's ionosphere (6-8). Previous work predicts the establishment of a major current system linking Io, its flux tube, and Jupiter's ionosphere (9). It is this current system that is thought to be responsible for the modulation of DAM by Io. Unresolved issues include the closure of the current system, the energy of the current carriers (generally thought to be electrons), and the energization process for the carriers. Even with the large electric potentials (\sim 400 kV) expected at Io as a result of its motion through the jovian magnetic field and plasma, it is not clear how they are transformed into an acceleration process resulting in the highly collimated, bidirectional, field-aligned electron beams observed. Although field-aligned electron beams have been observed in Earth's magnetosphere and elsewhere in Jupiter's magnetosphere (10), the beams discovered by EPD are unique because of their association with Io and its interaction with the jovian magnetosphere.



We see no evidence of an energy peak in the electron beam spectra at energies associated with the ~400-kV induced electric potential across Io (the EPD response at energies >150 to 200 keV remains near background levels). Past reports show intensity spikes near Io in the radial profiles of electrons from >0.16 to >1.0 MeV (11). These spikes, observed for particles with a 90° pitch angle, have been associated with possible acceleration processes at Io. The radial profiles measured by the EPD through the torus show no evidence of similar spatial structures. We conclude that during the Galileo pass only a fraction of the induced potential appears as a field-aligned potential capable of accelerating particles.

Integration of the electron spectrum in the beams yields an energy flux of about 0.05 erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ over the energy range 20 to 140 keV as defined by the center point energies of the channels. Beams flow in both directions along the field line and, from their evolution along the trajectory, we estimate that both are equal in intensity. From the present analysis we are unable to determine whether or not fluxes exist in the loss cone (those pitch angles for which the particles would impact Jupiter's atmosphere). The assumption that the Io flux tube either is filled with these electron beams over a diameter of 1.0 R_{Io} , or 1.5 R_{Io} (Galileo's closest approach distance), or in a shell from 1.0 to 1.5 R_{Io} yields an energy flow in each direction along the flux tube of $\sim 10^9$ W. Power law extrapolations of the measured spectrum to energies of 10, 5, and 1 keV yield powers of 1.5×10^9 , 6×10^9 , and 8×10^{10} W. Because the beams are unresolved, we do not know how much of this flow is contained within the loss cone and thus cannot accurately estimate how much of this energy impacts the jovian ionosphere. The calculated loss cone ranges from $\sim 1.5^{\circ}$ to $\sim 2.5^{\circ}$ over one Ionian revolution around Jupiter. If the electron beams are the result of a field-aligned acceleration of the ambient cold electron populations ($\sim 10 \text{ eV}$), they will be contained within the loss cone, in the absence of pitch angle scattering effects, regardless of the location of the acceleration mechanism. In this case the beams will reach the jovian ionosphere. For this assumption the observed electron beams represent an upper limit to the energy deposition flux at the foot of the Io flux tube of $\sim 80 \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (or 120, 460, and 6000 ergs $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for the extrapolations to 10, 5, and 1 keV discussed above). If the observed field strength at Io of \sim 1200 nT (4) is used, the foot of the Io flux tube has an area of about 1 \times 10¹⁴ to 2 \times 10¹⁴ cm² and a corresponding rough linear dimension of \sim 100 to 150 km.

The estimated magnitude of the energy deposition flux is more than sufficient to produce visible aurora in the jovian atmosphere. Clarke et al. (7) report on observations of aurora at the foot of the Io flux tube requiring \sim 30 ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ to produce the \sim 200-kR (1 kilorayleigh = 10⁹/4 π photons $cm^{-2} sr^{-1} s^{-1}$) emissions measured in their brightest pixel. However, they also report an observed footprint size of 1000 to 2000 km in diameter, about 10 to 20 times as large as a simple projection of Io to Jupiter's ionosphere along the magnetic field line. This leads to a total power input estimate of $\sim 10^{11}$ W. Thus, although these electron beams may provide sufficient specific energy loss in the jovian atmosphere to cause auroral emissions at the foot of the Io flux tube,

SCIENCE • VOL. 274 • 18 OCTOBER 1996

they do not map to the large auroral footprints observed by Clarke et al. (7) and thus fall short in the total power delivered to the jovian atmosphere. One observed particle macrosignature that more closely matches the size of the Io flux tube auroral footprint reported by Clarke et al. (7) is the flux decrease observed in the intensities of higher energy (greater than a few hundred kiloelectron volts) electrons (Fig. 1A). This decrease occurs over a range of several Io radii and projects to a rough linear dimension of several hundred kilometers in Jupiter's atmosphere. Although we see no obvious signs of electron acceleration in this region, we are investigating whether sufficient energy may impact the atmosphere from the loss of these electrons to sustain the measured atmospheric emissions. Prangé et al. (8) have reported observations of aurora associated with the foot of Io's flux tube and have estimated sizes consistent with Io's projection along the magnetic field line. With their reported power input of $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ W [similar to that of Clark et al. (7)], the energy deposition in the jovian atmosphere becomes $\sim 10^4 \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The electron beams we report fall far short of such a large atmospheric energy input (only our extrapolation to 1 keV approaches this value).

It is possible that the beams observed at Io do not reach the jovian ionosphere. If, for example, they are at the edge of or outside the loss cone, they will experience the magnetic mirror force exerted by the

converging magnetic field lines as they approach low altitudes, which could result in only partial energy deposition into the jovian atmosphere. If, on the other hand, the beams are the result of acceleration in double layers near Jupiter's ionosphere, the counterstreaming beams observed may simply be the result of reflection from double-layer structures in conjugate regions of the jovian ionosphere. It also is possible that the beams are confined to a much smaller region at Io and that to observe effects in Jupiter's atmosphere will require higher resolution imaging than that available to date. Nonetheless, the electron beams are direct evidence of a remarkable acceleration process operating at Io and along its flux tube.



Fig. 3. A line plot of the 15- to 29-keV electron pitch angle distribution showing the field-aligned character of the electron beam.



Fig. 2. The angular distribution of S ions (EPD channel TS1) and low-energy electrons (EPD channel E0) through the lo pass. The logarithms of the count rates are color-coded and plotted on a grid giving spin-phase versus time. The dashed and solid lines running through the plot represent the locus of 90° and field-aligned (0° and 180°) pitch angles as determined by the magnetometer. The vertical dark band beginning at approximately 17:46:12 UT is the ~20-s interval when EPD was behind the background shield (3).

GALILEO ORBITER: REPORTS

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. D. J. Williams, R. W. McEntire, S. Jaskulek, B. Wilken, Space Sci. Rev. 60, 385 (1992). The EPD is designed to measure the spectra and angular distributions of electrons of energy >15 keV, ions of energy >20 keV, and ionic composition from protons through iron for energies >10 to 15 keV per nucleon. The low-energy magnetospheric measurement system (LEMMS) uses magnetic separation, coincidence techniques, and absorber foils to provide the ion and electron measurements. The Composition Measurement System (CMS) uses measurements of energy loss and total energy (ΔE \times E) and time-of-flight techniques to provide the elemental species measurements. These two bidirectional detector heads, LEMMS and CMS, are mounted on a platform that can be rotated through 180° by a stepper motor. This motion coupled with the spacecraft spins allows for an angular coverage of 4π steradians.
- T. P. Armstrong *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res.* 86, 8343 (1981); S. M. Krimigis *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 8227.
- 3. The decrease is due to the fact that the EPD stepped behind a shield to provide a measure of the penetrating particle background (the instrument was programmed to step behind the shield for one spacecraft spin, ~20 s, every 10 min). This background check verified the integrity of the electron, ion, and composition measurements through the lo flyby. As just one 20-s sample of the bidirectional electron spikes was missed as a result of the background calibration, we assume that the spike structure exists continuously through the lo pass as is indicated in Fig. 1C.
- 4. M. G. Kivelson et al., Science 273, 337 (1996).
- See, for example, T. D. Carr, M. D. Desch, and J. K. Alexander [in *Physics of the Jovian Magnetosphere*, A. J. Dessler, Ed. (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1983), pp. 226–284] and M. L. Goldstein and C. K. Goertz (*ibid.*, pp. 317–352) for excellent overviews, respectively, of the characteristics of jovian radio emissions and the theories of their generation.
- J. E. P. Connerney, R. Baron, T. Satoh, T. Owen, Science 262, 1035 (1993).
- 7. J. T. Clarke et al., Science 274, 404 (1996).
- 8. R. Prancé et al., Nature 379, 323 (1996)
- As knowledge of lo's environment has grown, so 9. has the appreciation of the complexity of its interaction with Jupiter's magnetosphere. Rather than a simple conductor moving through a magnetic field, lo now is known to represent a conducting body with a possible ionosphere traveling through a torus of neutral and ionized gas imbedded in the iovian magnetic field. A sampling of early papers tracing the development of this problem includes P. Goldreich and D. Lynden-Bell, Astrophys. J. 156, 59 (1969); D. A. Gurnett, ibid. 175, 525 (1972); S D. Shawhan, J. Geophys. Res. 81, 3373 (1976); R. A. Smith and C. Goertz, ibid. 83, 2617 (1978); F. M. Neubauer, ibid. 85, 1171 (1980); C. Goertz, ibid., p. 2949; F. Bagenal, ibid. 88, 3013 (1983).
- D. M. Klumpar, J. M. Quinn, E. G. Shelly, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **15**, 1295 (1988); L. J. Lanzerotti *et al.*, *Science* **257**, 1518 (1992).
- 11. R. W. Fillius and C. E. McIlwain, *J. Geophys. Rev.* **79**, 3589 (1974).
- 12. We thank the Jet Propulsion Laboratory Galileo Project Team for the enormous effort they have expended in making this "new" Galileo mission so successful. The data presented here would not have been possible were it not for the continuing efforts of R. Garv, J. Koch, C. Bruell, N. Murphy, B. G. Anderson, K. Fujii, S. Jaskulek, and H. Malcom. We acknowledge the use of magnetometer data generously provided by M. Kivelson and the magnetometer team for use in constructing particle angular distributions with respect to the magnetic field. Supported by a NASA contract to the Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory under the Department of Navy Task IAYXP1XX; contract N00039-95-C-0002

3 September 1996; accepted 23 September 1996