the planets from Venus to Neptune. In our simulation, we found that a few percent of the martian particles struck Earth, having typical transfer times of several million years; ALH84001's measured transfer age of about 15 million years is unusually long.

Although terrestrial bacteria are known to have survived in vacuum for several years (2), transit times of millions of years may preclude safe passage. Our simulations show, however, that a small fraction of martian ejecta launched just marginally faster than the martian escape speed could be found immediately on Earth-crossing orbits. Our simulations show that the rate of Earth deliveries was almost constant for the first 10<sup>7</sup> years, which implies that on the order of 1 in  $10^7$  of the martian meteorites that reached Earth could have spent less than half of an orbital period in space (less than a year). The dozen recovered martian meteorites, the majority of which have been on Earth for much less than 10<sup>5</sup> years, represent only a tiny fraction of all the pieces of Mars currently on our planet and, when one considers the much higher impact rate early in the solar system's history, an even smaller proportion of those that have arrived throughout Earth's history. Therefore, fast transfers (taking less than a year) from Mars to Earth must have occurred numerous times during Earth's past. We leave to others an appraisal of the likelihood of survival of martian organisms in the rigors of space and their fiery entry through Earth's atmosphere. Because such an entry would hardly affect the center of a meteorite, terrestrial weathering would eventually have exposed the pristine interior. If martian microorganisms can survive a year in space, many may have already arrived.

Large impact events could also have liberated some pieces of Earth at high speeds and simultaneously could have cleared an atmospheric channel through which a few particles might have slipped to Mars. Our simulations show that about 0.1% of these rarely liberated terrene meteoroids could have found their way to Mars, but even fewer than 1 in  $10^7$  of these would have had rapid transfer times.

Brett J. Gladman Joseph A. Burns Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

#### References

 H. J. Melosh, Nature 332, 687 (1988); M. Moreno, ibid. 336, 209 (1988); P. C. W. Davies, in Evolution of Hydrothermal Ecosystems on Earth: Proceedings of Ciba Foundation Symposium No. 202, J. Goode, Ed. (Ciba Foundation, London, in press).

 F. J. Mitchell and W. L. Ellis, in Analysis of Surveyor 3 Material and Photographs Returned by Apollo 12 (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC, 1972), pp. 239–248; G. Horneck, Nucl. Tracks Radiat. Meas. 20, 185 (1992).

## Sociobiology's Successes

In a highlighted text box (Random Samples, 19 July, p. 315), the headline " 'Sociobiology' to history's dustbin?" is placed over a photograph of E. O. Wilson. The "news" is thin: a specialist journal has changed its name.

Sociobiology was originally envisioned as the comparative, evolutionary study of the biological bases of social behavior, integrating traditional ethological approaches with new advances in population biology, behavioral ecology, demography, and life history theory (1). A few politically motivated critics then invented and promoted a caricature of the field, which they criticized as "sexist," "racist," and "determinist." In response, many researchers did indeed shrink from the label "sociobiology," but sociobiological research programs (by whatever name) have prospered.

One easily forgets, after 20 years, how



profoundly the sociobiological synthesis has transformed the study of animal behavior and large parts of biological anthropology (3). More recently, it has influenced research in many other fields, including botany (4), reproduction and development (5), genetics and molecular biology (6), neurobiology and psychology (7), women's studies and political science (8), art and philosophy (9), and economics and law (10). Oddly, this vigorous interdisciplinary research enterprise remains largely defined in public consciousness by its detractors rather than by its practitioners.

Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, Peter Rodman, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA; Eric L. Charnov, Jon Seger, Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA; Kristen Hawkes, Department of Anthropology, University of Utah; Stephen T. Emlen, Section of Neurobiology and Behavior, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA; Susan A. Foster, Department of Biology, Clark University, Worcester, MA 01610, USA; Patricia Adair Gowaty, Institute of Ecology and Women's Studies, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA; David Haig, Department of Organismal and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; Marc Hauser, Departments of Anthropology and Psychology, Harvard University; Lucia F. Jacobs, Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; Barbara B. Smuts, Departments of Anthropology and Psychology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

### References

- E. O. Wilson, Sociobiology: The New Synthesis (Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1975).
   N. Wade, Science 191, 1151 (1976).
- 3. J. Alcock, Animal Behavior: An Evolutionary Ap-
- proach, (Sinauer, Sunderland, MA, 1993); E. A. Smith and B. Winterhalder, Eds., Evolutionary Ecology and Human Behavior (Aldine/de Gruyter, Hawthorne, NY, 1992); L. Betzig, Ed., Human Nature: A Critical Reader (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1996).
- J. Lovett Doust and L. Lovett Doust, Eds., *Plant Reproductive Ecology* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988); D. C. Queller, *Oxf. Surv. Evol. Biol.* 6, 73 (1989); C. A. Dominguez, *TREE* 10, 412 (1995); W. E. Friedman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 3913 (1995); S. C. H. Barrett and L. D. Harder, *TREE* 11, 73 (1996).
- M. E. Lamb, R. A. Thompson, W. Gardner, E. L. Charnov, Infant-Mother Attachment (Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1985); K. B. MacDonald, Ed., Sociobiological Perspectives On Human Development (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988); M. J. West Eberhard, Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 20, 249 (1989); D. Haig, Q. Rev. Biol. 68, 495 (1993).
- 6. J. H. Werren, U. Nur, C.-I. Wu, TREE 3, 297 (1988);

LETTERS

D. Haig and C. Graham, *Cell* 64, 1045 (1991); L. D.
Hurst and R. F. Hoekstra, *Nature* 368, 811 (1994);
D. A. Shub, *Curr. Biol.* 4, 555 (1994); T. Moore, L.
D. Hurst, W. Reik, *Devel. Genet.* 17, 206 (1995); M.
B. Yarmolinsky, *Science* 267, 836 (1995); T. Naito,
K. Kusano, I. Kobayashi, *ibid.*, p. 897.

- A. Bass, Trends Neurosci. 15, 139 (1992); L. F. Jacobs, TREE 11, 82 (1996); J. H. Barkow, J. Tooby, L. Cosmides, Eds., The Adapted Mind: Evolutionary Psychology and the Generation of Culture (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1992); M. Hauser, The Evolution of Communication (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996).
- P. A. Gowaty, Ed., Feminism and Evolutionary Biology (Chapman & Hall, New York, in press); L. Liesen, Politics Life Sci. 14, 145 (1995).
- E. Dissanayake, Homo Aestheticus: Where Art Comes From and Why (Free Press, New York, 1992);
   D. C. Dennett, Darwin's Dangerous Idea: Evolution and the Meanings of Life (Simon & Schuster, New York, 1995).
- J. Hirshleifer, J. Law Econ. 20, 1 (1977); G. S. Becker, A Treatise on the Family (Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1981); K. Binmore, Fun and Games: A Text On Game Theory (Heath, Lexington, MA, 1992); G. M. Hodgson, Economics and Evolution: Bringing Life Back into Economics (Polity Press, Blackwell, New York, 1993); P. A. Corning, J. Soc. Evol. Syst. 18, 421 (1995); R. Posner, Sex and Reason (Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1992).

# **Rethinking AIDS and Cancer**

When a person points to the full moon, some will look at the moon, others at the

8-96 MMC

# ÄKTAdesign: an open purification platform for all of your biomolecules

What type of purification is going on in your lab? Do some of your colleagues develop methods and optimize schemes to purify peptides, proteins, or oligonucleotides at every purification scale? Are others purifying natural, synthetic and recombinant peptides? Are yet others purifying native or recombinant proteins? Or perhaps you do all of this yourself. Doing individual types of purification has meant following individual working procedures—until now, that is. Until

ÄKTAdesign (ÄKTA is the Swedish word for real; it's pronounced eckta).

# With ÄKTAdesign, your purification Systems won't act like strangers to one another

ÄKTAdesign is the name of a new platform for a family of purification systems and pre-packed columns exclusively from us, Pharmacia Biotech. The platform integrates fully-biocompatible hardware solutions with a control system that gives you control over purification systems from lab to production scales. It lets everyone use the same better, smarter way of doing purification. All of which means you can operate every ÄKTAdesign system once you've used any one of them.

Each ÄKTAdesign system lets you use pre-set protocols that automatically resolve all major purification tasks—including automatic method scouting. Each system gives you pre-set running parameters for most purification techniques. Each system is supported with an extensive range of technique-specific, pre-packed columns. Each system automatically prepares buffers from stock solutions—without manual titration. And each system operates via UNICORN®—with this single control system, you can instantly transfer your methods to purification systems at all scales.

What does your lab want to purify today? A version of ÄKTAdesign will suit all your needs. Call us: 1 (800) 526 3593 from the USA; +81 (0)3 3492 6949 from Japan; or +46 (0)18 16 50 11 from Europe and the rest of the world. Ask for a free brochure. Or meet us on the Internet at http://www.biotech.pharmacia.se.





Circle No. 52 on Readers' Service Card