LETTERS

Evolving dialogs

An unusually large amount of mail was received about a News & Comment article on education and evolution, including provocative letters about scientific theory, "critical analysis," and the cost of not entering the debate (right, the 1925 Scopes trial). Elsewhere, an author offers to answer charges of anti-Semi-



tism against him that he says are "wrong," but "understandable." One researcher recounts his experience of losing financial support from the Council for Tobacco Research. And analyses of the Chernobyl nuclear power accident are discussed.

Teaching Evolution

In the News & Comment article "Creationists evolve new strategy" by Karen Schmidt (26 July, p. 420), there is reference to the theory of evolution being "fact." As a scientist, my understanding of the scientific method is that one proposes a hypothesis for a given set of observations. Facts are gathered and if the facts support the hypothesis, then it becomes a theory. The facts concerning evolution are the fossils and artifacts painstakingly found over the years. Evolution is the theory developed to explain these facts.

The next step is to continually test the theory. If it proves true in all circumstances, then it becomes a law. There is a Law of Gravity and the Laws of Thermodynamics, but there is not a Law of Evolution of which I am aware. Yet the schools teach evolution as if it is a law, not as the theory it truly is. By teaching evolution in this manner, schools do not convey all the exciting aspects of the evolutionary theory.

While I would not want creationism to be taught in a science classroom, I believe that the current methods for teaching the theory of evolution need to be reexamined and allowed to "evolve" into a more scientific approach to this very emotional topic. Judy Harvey

8 West Street Heights, Cromwell, CT 06416, USA

Schmidt reports that the anti-evolution movement's "shrewd new strategy" asks schools "to present evolution as theory, not fact." The tenor of those opposed to this request is that evolution should be presented as fact, and whatever scientific evidence there may be against evolution should *not* be presented. I disagree. Theories are conceptual frameworks for organizing facts and putative facts. Theories allow prediction of results of proposed experiments. Theories may be so successful that practitioners come to believe in them.

In terms of education, critical analysis is the critical issue. The educational process should explore what scientists mean by evidence and how observations of consistent patterns lead to powerful ways of thinking about the world. The high ground is the concept, fundamental to the scientific method, that no organizing principle is immune to challenge and that progress comes from careful questioning and continuing reassessment of both old and new evidence. If these ideas can be communicated, kids will be able to see for themselves that "creation science" isn't science at all.

> Paul P. Craig Professor of Engineering Emeritus, Graduate Group in Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA E-mail: ppcraig@ucdavis.edu

Schmidt's examination of the new creationism is welcome and overdue. It is not only the rise of creationist-inspired school textbook-censorship and legislation that threatens science: the newer forms of antiscience—such as "intelligent design theory"—*are* making progress on college campuses, as those of us who teach undergraduates know all too well.





Save time, reduce waste and minimize storage space with Stericap[™] and Steritop[™] Bottletop Filter Units. These vacuum-driven devices let you filter tissue culture media, microbiological media and other biological solutions quickly and conveniently – into your own receiver bottles or flasks. There's no extra plastic to buy or store.

Both devices incorporate the Millipore Express[™] (PES) membrane – the only fast flow, high throughput, low protein binding membrane available. The Stericap unit can process up to 3000 mL directly into any type of container, using either vacuum or pressure. The Steritop unit is a bottletop funnel available in 500 mL and 150 mL sizes to fit 45 mm or 33 mm necks.

Call or fax for more information. In the U.S. and Canada, call Technical Services: 1-800-MILLIPORE (645-5476); in Japan, call: (03) 3474-9116; in Asia, call: (852) 2803-9111; in Europe, fax: +33.88.38.91.95.

MILLIPORE

MILLIPORE LAB CATALOG ON INTERNET: ACCESS URL MENU AND TYPE: http://www.millipore.com/bottletop

Circle No. 22 on Readers' Service Card

New Bottletop Devices

The "facts" offered by opponents of evolutionary science are indeed a danger, because they are not facts-radiometric dating grossly in error, no fossil forms intermediate between existing species, "Darwinism" not accounting for complexity, the Grand Canvon formed in the Noachian flood, the Paluxy River "footprints," and the like. And as one commentator has observed, creationists can tell more lies in "debate" than an honest disputant can skewer. Nevertheless, the advice given by Eugenie Scott of the National Center for Science Educationthat individual scientists should probably not enter debates-is self-defeating. If not scientists, then who? The claim that to enter such debates is demeaning, or is a lost cause, or might harden the opposition, is an invitation to quietism. It is the same advice as that given, for too long, to opponents of animal rights extremism. It is bad advice.

Paul R. Gross Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

The oxymoron of "creation science" is as farcical as are the terms "abrupt appearance theory." It is distressing that creationists' bills use tactics to intimidate teachers and their job security to the point that evolution is not taught, or worse that the teachings provide misinformation. It is also unfortunate that creationists continue to prey on the young and ignorant.

> Sanford J. Madigan Post Office Box 13402, La Jolla, CA 92039, USA

Charges of Anti-Semitism

Constance Holden's article "Publisher draws censorship charge" (News, 12 July, p. 177) is a concise and accurate synopsis of a protracted and nasty series of events. Although charges of anti-Semitism against me are wrong, they are also understandable. My review (1) of Kevin MacDonald's excellent book, A People That Shall Dwell Alone: Judaism as a Group Evolutionary Strategy (2), made unrealistic assumptions about readers' familiarity with issues at hand, and so drew conclusions based on inadequately supplied information.

Unfortunately, one way to make charges of anti-Semitism stick is to publish a submission that draws such charges, then refuse to publish a rejoinder (3) that apologizes for shortcomings, attempts to clarify misunderstandings, and refers readers to ad hoc sources of relevant information (4). Five editorial board members of Ethology and Sociobiology judged my rejoinder to be such a submission, but the journal's publisher, Elsevier Inc., still refuses to publish it.

I ask interested readers to withhold judgment until they have a fuller explanation of my views-which can be obtained (including a copy of the accepted-but-censored addendum) by forwarding an address label to the address below.

John Hartung

Health Science Center at Brooklyn, State Univerity of New York, 450 Clarkson Avenue. Brooklyn, NY 11203-2098, USA

References

- 1. J. Hartung, Ethol. Sociobiol. 16, 335 (1995).
- K. MacDonald, A People That Shall Dwell Alone: Judaism as a Group Evolutionary Strategy [Praeger (Greenwood), Westport, CT, 1994].
- ..., in preparation
- ., Skeptic **3**, 86 (1995); ibid. **4**, 24 (1996); ibid., 4 p. 62.

Tobacco Research: One Researcher's Experience

James F. Glenn's statement (Letters, 12 July, p. 167) that "CTR [the Council for Tobacco Research] has always encouraged

New Swedish solutions for purifying peptides of any source using any technique

