

SCIENCE

<http://www.aaas.org>

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella
Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell
Member Services: Mary Curry, *Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Representatives*
Marketing: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Jane Pennington, *Europe Manager*; Hilary Baar, *Assistant Manager*; Angela Mumeke, *Coordinator*
Research: Renuka Chander, *Manager*
Business and Finance: Robert Smariga, *Manager*; Kevin Bullock, Nina Araujo de Kobes, *Coordinators*
Science Member Services
 Danbury, CT: 800-731-4939
 Washington, DC: 202-326-6417
Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner
Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith
Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley
Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold
Finance: Randy Yi, *Senior Analyst*; Shawn Williams, *Analyst*
Marketing: John Meyers, *Manager*; Allison Pritchard, *Associate Traffic*; Carol Maddox, *Manager*; Amber Haslacker, *Sales Associate*
Recruitment: Terri Seiter Azie, *Assistant Manager*; Celeste Miller, Bethany Ritchey, Nancy Hicks, *Sales*; Debbie Cummings, *European Sales*
Reprints: Ordering/Billing, 800-407-9191; Corrections, 202-326-6501
Electronic Media: David Gillikin, *Manager*; Christine Pierpoint, *Internet Production Specialist*; Pamela Sams, *Internet Production Associate*
Permissions: Lincoln Richman
Exhibits Coordinator: Arlene Ennis
Administrative Assistant: Caroline Althuis
PRODUCT ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Midwest/Southeast: Elizabeth Mosko, 312-665-1150, FAX 312-665-2129 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 1-457-838-519, FAX (44) 1-457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 1-260-297-530, FAX (44) 1-260-271-022 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852
RECRUITMENT ADVERTISING SALES: US: 202-326-6532, FAX 202-289-6742 • Europe: Debbie Cummings, +44 (0) 1223-302067, FAX +44 (0) 1223-576208 • Australia/New Zealand: Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100
 Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 93–94 of the 5 January 1996 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Science World Wide Web address: <http://www.aaas.org>
Other Internet addresses: science_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews); membership@aaas.org (for member services); science_classifieds@aaas.org (for submitting classified advertisements); science_advertising@aaas.org (for product advertising)

LETTERS

Glowing reviews

Applause is given to scientists who have helped unlock the secrets of cultivating a new research “model” of animal-microbe symbiosis: a Hawaiian squid that harbors glow-in-the-dark bacteria (right). Questions about radiation and cancer dose-risk theories are raised: Is there a threshold below which exposure to radiation can be deemed safe? Is acute or continual exposure more dangerous? According to public health specialists, many lives could be saved by acknowledging the safety of plasma-derived hepatitis B vaccine in developing countries. And theories of immune system functioning and evolution are discussed.



RUBY/MCFALL/NGA/ORMEROD

Squid Pro Quo?

I was pleased to see the coverage in *Random Samples* (5 Apr., p. 37) of the new little squirt (*Euprymna scolopes*) now under culture at the Marine Biological Laboratory (MBL). It is exciting to have a new squid in the village and to look forward to the future research use of the organism.

It seems appropriate, however, to also credit the work of the scientists who are making this development possible. Roger Hanlon, the MBL's Director of Marine Resources, working with Paul Dunlap, Susan Ashcraft, Michael Claes, and others, have conducted the first significant egg-to-egg cultivation of *Euprymna* since they were raised by John Arnold in Hawaii (the squid's home waters) in the 1970s (1). Without the provision of a stable source of these fascinating cephalopods, *Euprymna*-based research could not progress to its next stage.

John D. Rummel

*Director of Research Administration
 and Educational Programs,
 Marine Biological Laboratory,
 Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA*

References

1. J. Arnold, C. Singley, L. William-Arnold, *Veliger* 14, 361 (1972).

Risks from Low Doses of Radiation

We disagree with some of the statements in Marvin Goldman's *Perspective* (29 Mar., p. 1821) challenging the traditional linear-nonthreshold paradigm for estimating cancer risks at low doses of ionizing radiation. It contains, in our opinion, a number of misleading interpretations of scientific data

and ignores the considerable weight of evidence in support of linearity.

It is widely accepted that carcinogenesis is a multistage process in which a single cell gives rise to a tumor, with mutation of cellular DNA required in one or more of the steps leading to malignancy. Since cancer is a common disease, obviously the background rate for each of these steps is not zero, and any filtration mechanism for removing precancerous cells is imperfect. Therefore, any exposure that increases the rate of somatic mutations would be expected to increase the risk of cancer. Radiation is believed to be mutagenic down to the lowest doses, as ionization clusters generated by a single track can produce DNA damage that is not always faithfully repaired. Consequently, a threshold for radiation carcinogenesis seems unlikely.

Goldman states, “We now know that continual radiation exposure is less carcinogenic than acute exposure, all else being equal.” Although this has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments, the limited evidence in humans suggests that the reduction risk is generally very modest (about a factor of 2 or less) (1, 2). Goldman writes that comparative studies of cancer rates in areas of differing background levels are suggestive of a beneficial effect of radiation but does not point out that most epidemiologists consider such “ecologic” studies to be noninformative because of statistical limitations and potential confounding. He cites data on bone cancer induction by ingested radium as evidence that the latent period between irradiation and cancer expression increases with decreasing dose rate to suggest that there may be a “practical threshold” at low dose rates, below which the latency would exceed the lifespan. A refutation of this interpretation of the bone cancer data has been published by Mays (3), and there is no suggestion at all of a varia-