LETTERS

Response: We agree that test scores and group differences must be interpreted with caution, but Kegel-Flom and Didion may have misinterpreted our article. While we specifically suggested interventions for those with low literacy scores, we would also enthusiastically support interventions to help women improve their chances in mathematics and the sciences. We thought this was implicit in our positing socialization and opportunity structures as the likely cause of the differences we observed.

Our statement that test scores are likely to figure in policy discussions about salary equity was not a suggestion that test scores should be used to justify salary differences; it was a statement of fact that the amount of research on the relation between test scores and salary appears to be increasing. We agree that performance *should* determine salary and advancement. Social scientists, however, study real, as opposed to ideal or preferred, social behavior; their work may help document the discrepancy.

Finally, the issues of test fairness and job performance hold similar elusive qualities. A recent review (1) supports the notion that, because Scholastic Aptitude Test scores are somewhat *more* valid for females than for males, the linear regression prediction (derived from males' or from both sexes' scores pooled) tends to underpredict females' college grades. However, the higher validity coefficients for females also mean that females' grades are actually better predicted by tests than are males' grades.

Although there is extensive and convincing evidence that test scores predict job performance in many occupations (2), we know of no direct studies that have measures of on-the-job performance in the specific fields mentioned. Indirect studies of teachers (which use student learning adjusted for student background and school characteristics as a performance measure) show a consistent relation between verbal scores of teachers and performance. However, test scores can predict only a portion of performance in *any* occupation.

Larry V. Hedges Amy Nowell Department of Education, University of Chicago, 5835 South Kimbark Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

References

- R. L. Linn, in *Ability Testing: Uses, Consequences,* and Controversies, Part II, A. K. Wigdor and W. R. Garner, Eds. (National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982), pp. 335–388.
- J. A. Hartigan and A. K. Wigdor, Fairness in Employment Testing: Validity Generalization, Minority Issues, and the General Aptitude Test Battery (National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1989).

Corrections and Clarifications

- The News article "Another blow weakens EMFcancer link" by Gary Taubes (29 Sept., p. 1816), discussed two papers that appeared in the October issue of the journal *Radiation Research*, not the "*Journal of Radiation Research*," as the article stated. The correct references are as follows: A. Lacy-Hulbert *et al.*, "No effect of 60 Hz electromagnetic fields on MYC or βactin expression in human leukemic cells" [*Radiation Research* 144, 9 (1995)] and J. D. Saffer and S. J. Thurston, "Short exposures to 60 Hz magnetic fields do not alter MYC expression in HL60 or Daudi cells" [*Radiation Research* 144, 18 (1995)].
- In the article "Grad school rankings rankle" by Wade Roush (News & Comment, 22 Sept., p. 1660), in the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) rankings of graduate geoscience programs (p. 1661), Stanford University appears twice—just as it does in the actual NAS data. The first Stanford listing is for its Program in Geophysics. The second is for the school's traditional geosciences program.
- Figure 1 in the response by M. W. Moore *et al.* (15 Sept., p. 1591) to the technical comment "Neutrophilia in mice that lack the murine IL-8 receptor homolog" by D. E. Schuster *et al.* (15 Sept., p. 1590) was incorrectly placed in the text of the comment. It should have appeared in the text of the response by Moore *et al.*
- The name of the fourth author of the report "Identification of a stimulator of steroid hormone synthesis isolated from testis" by N. Boujrad *et al.* (16 June, p. 1609) should have been given as Choong-Hyun Lee. In note 26 of the same report (p. 1612), the name of the Kyung Hee University was misspelled.
- Figures 1 (p. 1314) and 2 (p. 1315) of the Research Article "Mutagenesis and Laue structures of enzyme intermediates: Isocitrate dehydrogenase" by J. M. Bolduc *et al.* (2 June, p. 1312) were printed as cross-eyed stereograms, not the usual wall-eyed stereograms. In the same article, Robert M. Sweet's affiliation should have been given as the Biology Department at Brookhaven National Laboratory, and in note 27 (p. 1318), it should have been noted that Sweet was supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy.

Letters to the Editor

Letters may be submitted by e-mail (at science_letters@aaas.org), fax (202-289-7562), or regular mail (*Science*, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005). Letters will not be routinely acknowledged. Full addresses, signatures, and daytime phone numbers should be included. Letters should be brief (300 words or less) and may be edited for reasons of clarity or space. Beginning in October 1995, our previous policy of consulting with all letter authors before publication will be discontinued.



Phosphocellulose Paper In a 96-Well Plate

Increase Throughput For Kinase Assays

Signal transduction assays are an important tool in drug discovery. The Millipore MultiScreen Assay System uniquely offers a 96-well filter plate containing phosphocellulose paper and protocols to perform peptide substrate kinase assays. No more cutting and transferring the paper! Every step, from the phosphorylation reaction to direct scintillation counting, can be carried out in one plate.

The MultiScreen Assay System can also be used with other filter formats, including glass fiber or low protein binding Durapore® (PVDF) membrane – both ideally suited for TCA precipitated substrates.

Call or fax for more information. U.S. and Canada, call Technical Services: 1-800-MILLIPORE (645-5476); in Japan, call: (03) 3474-9111; in Europe, fax: +33.88.38.91.95.

MILLIPORE MILLIPORE LAB CATALOG ON INTERNET:

ACCESS URL MENU AND TYPE: http://www.millipore.com