

Notes

1. For example, the National Science Foundation's (NSF's) grant to theoretical physicist Paul Ginsparg and his colleagues at Los Alamos National Laboratory to maintain a server of high energy physics data, the NSF grant of \$450,000 to launch *Astrophysical Journal Letters* online, and the funding of the Mosaic program by the National Center for Supercomputing Applications.

■

Worthy Pursuits

In his editorial "Degrees of freedom" (18 Aug., p. 903), Don S. Doering eloquently describes the plight of new Ph.D. researchers who, in trying to adapt to a tight academic job market, face a strong prejudice against any career other than academic research. To answer his question, "How do we fix a system that . . . has produced many more Ph.D.'s than the market can bear?" I suggest that academic scientists who espouse that prejudice be limited to training only enough Ph.D.'s to replace themselves (or even fewer, if budgets in their field are shrinking). How can they ethically train any more than that, when they knowingly condemn the additional ones to a professional life they regard as inferior?

Academic scientists typically supervise

Ph.D. theses from their thirties to their sixties; allowing for those who die early, switch to administrative positions, or otherwise leave the field sooner, each needs to train a replacement no more than once every 20 years or so. When the issue is put to academic scientists this way, some will stand by their beliefs and ease the imbalance on the supply side. The others, faced with giving up most of their cheap labor supply, will probably come to realize that for a student to pursue a career outside the academy maybe isn't so unworthy after all.

William Lockeretz

*School of Nutrition Science and Policy,
Tufts University,
Medford, MA 02155, USA*

■

Asymmetrical Ability

Oliver Sacks (Letters, 5 May, p. 621) suggests that the development of exceptional musical abilities in some individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and Williams syndrome constitutes a "savant" talent and as such might represent a "neuromodule." He speculates that the exaggerated leftward asymmetry of the planum temporale area of the brain recently

reported in a group of professional musicians by Gottfried Schlaug *et al.* (Reports, 3 Feb., p. 699) may reflect the neuromorphological substrate of such a neuromodule.

In fact, we have carried out analyses (1) of the planum temporale in individuals with Williams syndrome. The surface area of the left and right planum temporale of four subjects was measured with magnetic resonance images (MRI) with the same anatomical criteria used by Schlaug *et al.* The planum temporale asymmetry for these individuals with Williams syndrome was on par with that of the group of musicians studied by Schlaug *et al.* (mean, -0.23 ; standard deviation, 0.24). Three of the four individuals with Williams syndrome had greater asymmetry than that of the musicians, but less than that of musicians with perfect pitch. In contrast, five normal control subjects had an asymmetry coefficient that was consistent with the nonmusician control group in the study by Schlaug *et al.* (mean, -0.34 ; standard deviation, 0.14). In addition, subjects with Williams syndrome did not differ from normal subjects in total planum temporale surface area (1000.8 versus 962.1 square millimeters, respectively), despite significant overall reduction of cerebral volume reported in subjects with Williams syndrome (1), suggesting dispro-

Sure, most DNA purification columns look about the same—on the outside

Most DNA purification columns tend to look alike. But inside, differences in the grade of resin used can adversely affect yield and purity. So how can you make sure the best DNA grade is inside the column you're using?

It's simple—look to Pharmacia Biotech for your DNA purification needs; others do. In fact, every column pictured here uses Sephadex®. But that doesn't mean they use the highest grade of Sephadex—or are handled in the same way.

Why not stick with the sole source of Sephadex? After all,

we know more about how Sephadex performs than anyone else.

Only after we've tested our best grade of Sephadex—to ensure that no non-specific binding of DNA has occurred—we pack it inside our MicroSpin columns. So you'll always use the best DNA grade when using MicroSpin columns.

For more information, call us at 1 (800) 5263593 in the United States or +46 18 16 5011 from the rest of the world. If you're wondering what a MicroSpin looks like, it's the little one, fourth from the left. But it's what's inside MicroSpin that's important.

