

Rare and Singular Talent

I object to the usurpation of the word "savant" to refer to a clever autistic individual—and to the destruction of its original meaning of a scholar, a person of learning in literature or science—as used by Oliver Sacks in his letter "Musical ability" (5 May, p. 621).

When the talents of some of these autistic children were discovered, the seeming paradox gave rise to the term "idiot savant." The word "idiot" has apparently become politically incorrect, so was dropped, without any replacement.

It is ironic that this usage is perpetuated by one of the foremost true savants of our day, Oliver Sacks, as well as by his respondents. Can we compromise by using "autistic savants?"

Harvey S. Frey

552 12th Street,
Santa Monica, CA 90402, USA

Response: I share Frey's objections to the misuse of the word "savant" (in such terms as "idiot savant," "savant syndrome," and so forth) even though this usage goes back more than a century. One needs an entirely different word for these rare and singular skills, which sometimes seem so remote

from anything in common experience.

I do not think that "autistic savants" is a satisfactory compromise, for it not only perpetuates the misuse of the word "savant," but implies that such skills may be confined to the autistic. Although they are, indeed, far commoner in the autistic, by a factor which may approach 1000, they may, on occasion, appear in "normal" people too. This is especially true of extraordinary calculating gifts—and these, interestingly, have a markedly increased incidence in mathematicians, although no consistent relation to general mathematical powers. Thus, while prodigious calculating powers appeared in early childhood in Euler and Gauss, there was no hint of these in Newton, Leibniz, or Galois (1). The talent is thus analogous, in this and other ways, to absolute pitch.

Oliver Sacks

Institute for Music and
Neurologic Function,
Beth Abraham Hospital,
612 Allerton Avenue,
Bronx, NY 10461, USA

References

1. S. Smith, *The Great Mental Calculators* (Columbia, New York, 1983); E. T. Bell, *Men of Mathematics* (Penguin, London, 1953).

IL-12 Possibilities

In his Research News article about the cytokine interleukin-12 (IL-12) (9 June, p. 1432), Stephen S. Hall lists some of the diseases that might be treated or prevented by the use of IL-12 as a drug (AIDS, leishmaniasis, malaria, tuberculosis, and schistosomiasis). This list reads like Niger's serious disease roster. Later in the article, a drug company executive is indirectly quoted as saying that developing a drug for a disease that affects people in primarily poor countries could be a "disaster" for a company, as this could lead to huge costs and little or no revenues (p. 1434).

In a land where suffering is an everyday occurrence, it is both extraordinary and ordinary that there could be an exploitable link between the illnesses listed—extraordinary because we have the opportunity to relieve some of this pain, ordinary because this chance could be abandoned for the sake of profit. What is the purpose of science if not to aid humanity?

Rachel Jameton*

Lyceé Amandou Kouran Daga,
Zinder, Niger, West Africa

*Peace Corps volunteer, science teacher

You've probably made a few generalizations about us Swedes—that's alright, we can take them. But making assumptions about the accuracy of your automated DNA sequencer can be costly. So demand proof.

ALFexpress™ provides you with speed, affordability and ease of use in giving you the highest accuracy from any automated DNA sequencer. More importantly, you can prove the accuracy of your data while your sequencer is in operation—and you can do it by yourself. How?

Let's start with the proof. ALFexpress lets you display called bases of both raw and processed data. Viewing raw data provides you with immediate visual confirmation of ALFexpress's reading accuracy—a must in demanding applications such as heterozygous detection.

Using single dye chemistry, ALFexpress eliminates spectral overlap and filtering problems and heightens the signal-to-noise ratio. Equipped with an outstanding base calling algorithm, you get accurate and reliable results. So with ALFexpress pumping

out clean, clear data you can check, you reduce operation time.

By generating 300 base pairs of accurate sequenced data in about an hour, you gain the type of speed that's difficult to match. As high-speed separations are equally important in fragment analysis, you can also separate between 150 and 200 base pairs in about 30 minutes on ALFexpress. Offering more than just sheer speed and accuracy, ALFexpress provides you with easy operating and working methods.

So easy that after only a two-hour introduction, six out of eight people with good lab experience—who had never used an automated DNA sequencer—got the kind of results that begin to prove this important point: you won't need a supervising technician in your lab with ALFexpress. And that was just the first test.

Why not arrange to take your own? To start, just call us at 1 (800) 526 3593 in North America, or +46 18 16 5011 from the rest of the world; and ask for a brochure. It will give you more facts about the entire ALFexpress package. That's right, facts—because the last thing anyone needs is more inaccurate documents.