

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

## Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell Member Services: Rebecca Dickerson, Manager; Mary Curry, Supervisor; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, Representatives Marketing: Dee Valencia, Manager; Jane Pennington, Europe Manager; Hilary Baar, Associate; Angela Mumeka, Coordinator Research: Renuka Chander, Manager Business and Finance: Jacquelyn Roberts, Manager Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes Science Member Services Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969; Washington, DC: 202-326-6417 Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

### Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Finance: Randy Yi, Senior Analyst; Shawn Williams, Analyst

Marketing: John Meyers, Manager; Allison Pritchard, Associate

Traffic Manager: Tina Turano Recruitment: Terri Seiter, Assistant Manager; Pamela Sams, Production Associate; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Miller, Rachael Wilson, Sales; Charlotte Longhurst, European Sales

Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris Permissions Manager: Lincoln Richman Exhibits Coordinator: Arlene Ennis Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

PRODUCT ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Midwest/Southeast: Elizabeth Mosko, 312-665-1150, FAX 312-665-2129 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 1-457-838-519, FAX (44) 1-457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 1-270-760-108, FAX (44) 1-270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852 RECRUITMENT ADVERTISING SALES: US: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816 • Europe: Gordon Clark, (44) 1-81539-5211, FAX (44) 1223-302068 • Australia/New Zealand: Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100

Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 112–114 of the 6 January 1995 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Internet addresses: science\_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science\_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science\_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews); membership@aaas.org (for member services); science\_classifieds@aaas.org (for submitting classified advertisements)

# LETTERS

# Impetus for NSF Policy

In his article about academic facilities Jeffrey Mervis (News & Comment, 17 Mar., p. 1590) writes

NSF [the National Science Foundation] decreed in 1983 that universities should not use federally funded equipment to become testing labs for private industry, reflecting a fear among academics that tight research budgets might tempt universities to sell their souls to industry.

As NSF's deputy director, I oversaw the development of that "decree." While it may reflect the suggested fear among academics, that fear did not provide the impetus for the policy. Rather, the impetus was strong concern in the commercial testing laboratory community about what it perceived as unfair competition from federally funded scientists in tax-exempt university laboratories. While the policy did temporarily allay that community's concerns, they still persist and can be expected to emerge again as a serious issue sooner or later. In the present federal environment, it may be sooner rather than later.

Donald N. Langenberg

Chancellor, University of Maryland System, 3300 Metzerott Road, Adelphi, MD 20783-1690, USA

# Who Survived the Cretaceous?

Alan Feduccia's concise review of the explosive evolution of birds and mammals from a tiny starting point at the beginning of the Tertiary (Perspective, 3 Feb., p. 637) provokes an ecological question. What animals are most likely to survive a serious nuclear winter? Those whose food in some form does not directly depend on immediate photosynthesis. That is to say, those that eat dormant seeds and insects, those that eat decaying organic matter (especially nongreen plant parts), and those that eat these eaters. And especially those that are very good at finding small particulate bits of these resources, scattered and dwindling until sunlight again can penetrate the clouds in amounts sufficient for serious vegetation growth. That is to say, seed- and detritus-eating invertebrates and the invertebrates and small vertebrates that eat them and each other. In the context of Feduccia's scenario, the transitional shorebirds at the bases of the bird radiations are

SCIENCE • VOL. 268 • 12 MAY 1995



MAIER/ANIMALS ANIMALS

**Sitting pretty?** Would a nuclear winter have an impact on shorebirds like this stone curlew?

precisely among these morphs, along with insectivores, little marsupials, small rodents, snakes, small lizards, frogs, granivorous birds, small raptors, and lots of arthropods.

Was there an explosive radiation of these birds, as well as other vertebrates, after the upper Cretaceous cleaning of the slate? It would be hard to avoid in the absence of virtually all large terrestrial vertebrates. Just imagine the possibilities of rebuilding the food pyramid with the world reduced to a large number of diverse little beasts.

> Daniel H. Janzen\* Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

\*Address until 31 August 1995: c/o Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad, Apartado 22-3100 Santo Domingo de Heredia, Heredia, Costa Rica.

# ■ Authorship Criteria

The News article "Multiauthor papers on the rise" by Antonio Regalado (7 Apr., p. 25) states that the New England Journal of Medicine recently published an article with 280 authors (1). However, according to our criteria for authorship, the only persons who can legitimately lay claim to authorship on the paper he cited are the seven listed on the title page, not the hundreds of trial participants listed in the appendix. Our policy on authorship is clear. Only those who make substantial contributions to conception and design, or analysis and interpretation of data, who draft the article or revise it critically for important intellectual content, and who give final approval of the version to be published should be considered authors (2). When multi-institutional

785