FRONTIERS IN MATERIALS SCIENCE: ARTICLES

tween $\Delta\mu$ and $T_{\rm K}/T_{\rm g}$ is assumed, observed correlations between the empirical NM parameters x and Δh^* and the KWW parameter β are correctly predicted. A correlation between Δh^* and β is also observed for polymers in the rubbery state above T_{g} , where relaxation is linear (17), and is consistent with the correlation between the same parameters observed under the nonlinear conditions prevailing below $T_{\rm g}$. The ratio $T_{\rm K}/T_{\rm g}$ is a measure of fragility in Angell's classification scheme for liquids (17, 18), implying that more fragile liquids produce more nonlinear glasses. This is borne out by the correlations between the NM and KWW parameters being consistent with the fragility classification scheme.

and the second second

The description and prediction of physical aging effects is fraught with difficulties caused by the complexity of their nonlinear and nonexponential character. The problem is acute for polymers because of the coupling between aging and the dynamic and thermodynamic properties that are important in their applications. The current phenomenologies for purely thermal histories are adequate for many engineering applications, such as those for inorganic glasses, for example (3), but fail for glasses that are very far from equilibrium. The reasons for this failure are not known with certainty, although the handling of nonlinearity, or the methods for combining nonlinearity and nonexponentiality, or both are suspected by some (5, 7, 8). A generally accepted methodology for treating nonthermal perturbations to polymers has not yet been developed. Theoretical understanding of the glass transition, upon which a better understanding of physical aging will presumably be based, is at present too poorly developed to be of much help. New approaches to these long-standing problems are sorely needed.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- L. C. E. Struik, *Physical Aging in Amorphous Polymers and Other Materials* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978).
- D. J. Plazek and G. C. Berry, in *Glass: Science and Technology*, D. R. Uhlmann and N. J. Kreidl, Eds. (Academic, Orlando, FL, 1986), vol. 3, p. 363.
- G. W. Scherer, *Relaxation in Glass and Composites*, (Wiley, New York, 1986).
- S. Matsuoka, *Relaxation Phenomena in Polymers* (Hanser, New York, 1992).
- 5. I. M. Hodge, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 169, 211 (1994).
- C. Arnold-McKenna and G. B. McKenna, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 98, 523 (1993).
 K. L. Ngai and G. B. Wright, Eds. J. Non-Cryst.
- Solids **131–133** (1991). 8. K. L. Ngai, E. Riande, G. B. Wright, Eds., *ibid.* **172–**
- 174 (1994).
 A possible vertical shift due to a change in the dispersion of the compliance function with aging is small for these data.
- It is customary to refer to τ as a relaxation time, although one should strictly speak of a retardation time for compliance functions.
- A. K. Doolittle and D. B. Doolittle, *J. Appl. Phys.* 28, 1673 (1957); M. L. Williams, R. F. Landell, J. D. Ferry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 77, 3701 (1955); G. Adam and J.

H. Gibbs, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 139 (1965).

- A. J. Kovacs, Fortschr. Hochpolym. Forsch. 3, 394 (1963); A. J. Kovacs et al., J. Polym. Sci. 17, 1097 (1979); J. M. Hutchinson and A. J. Kovacs, J. Polym. Sci. Phys. 14, 1575 (1976).
- O. S. Narayanaswamy, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 54, 491 (1971); C. T. Moynihan *et al.*, *ibid.* 59, 12 (1976).
- B. E. Read *et al.*, *Polymer* **33**, 2689 (1992); A. F. Yee *et al.*, *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys.* **26**, 2463 (1988); G. C. Stevens and M. J. Richardson, *Polymer Commun.* **26**, 77 (1985).
- 15. Tensile stresses and the accompanying strains (ε) produce an increase in volume *V* in materials with a Poisson ratio (ν) less than 0.5: $\Delta V/V \approx \varepsilon(1 2\nu)$. The

corresponding increases in $v_{\rm f}$ and $S_{\rm c}$ decrease τ .

- G. W. Scherer, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 67, 504 (1984); I.
 M. Hodge, Macromolecules 20, 2897 (1987); J.
 Non-Cryst. Solids 131–133, 435 (1991).
- K. L. Ngai and R. W. Rendell, *The Physics of Non-Crystalline Solids*, L. D. Pye, W. A. LaCourse, H. J. Stevens, Eds. (Taylor and Francis, London, 1992), p. 309; D. J. Plazek and K. L. Ngai, *Macromolecules* 24, 1222 (1991).
- R. Bohmer and C. A. Angell, *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 10091 (1992); *ibid.* 48, 5857 (1993); R. Bohmer *et al.*, *J. Phys. Chem.* 99, 4201 (1993).
- 19. It is a pleasure to thank J. M. O'Reilly for valuable discussions.

Metallic Glasses

A. Lindsay Greer

Amorphous metallic alloys, relative newcomers to the world of glasses, have properties that are unusual for solid metals. The metallic glasses, which exist in a very wide variety of compositions, combine fundamental interest with practical applications. They also serve as precursors for exciting new nanocrystalline materials. Their magnetic (soft and hard) and mechanical properties are of particular interest.

 \mathbf{M} aterials with each of the major bonding types (ionic, covalent, van der Waals, hydrogen, and metallic) can be obtained in amorphous (that is, noncrystalline) solid forms. Metallic amorphous materials are comparative newcomers to this group. They first came to prominence with Duwez's demonstration in 1959 that an amorphous Au₇₅Si₂₅ alloy (the composition is given here, as throughout this article, in atomic percent) could be obtained by rapid cooling of the liquid (1). Formed when the liquid becomes increasingly viscous on cooling and fails to crystallize, such a material can correctly be termed a glass. Metallic glasses are but a subset of a range of metallic amorphous solids that can be made by a wide variety of techniques. Undoubtedly, amorphous metallic materials had been made, and even recognized as such, before Duwez's experiments; an example is early work on the condensation of thin films on substrates at liquid helium temperatures (2). The significance of Duwez's work, seen more clearly when the improved cooling technique of melt-spinning was developed (Fig. 1), was that vitrification of a liquid permits comparatively large quantities of an alloy to be made into the amorphous state, certainly much more than could be made by thin-film techniques.

In addition to their fundamental interest, metallic glasses were soon realized to have considerable commercial importance when iron-based compositions were found to have excellent soft magnetic properties (3). With their ready availability, fundamental interest, and properties of technological impor-

SCIENCE • VOL. 267 • 31 MARCH 1995

tance, metallic glasses in the late 1970s and 1980s were the focus of an explosion of academic and industrial research. Some years on, the novelty has faded. Some commercial applications are well established, and others are still awaited. Yet research on metallic glasses remains active, not least because of continuing discoveries that open up new possibilities. This article considers the current state of the field and focuses on recent developments. Further background material may be found, for example, in (4, 5).

How to Make a Metallic Glass

A glass is made if a liquid is cooled sufficiently rapidly to avoid crystallization. With conventional oxide glasses, the critical cooling rate is so low that it is not an important production parameter. For metallic glasses, however, critical cooling rates are usually rather high. Melt-spinning (Fig. 1) has been the main technique for metallic glass production, and it gives cooling rates of the order of 10^5 to 10^6 K s⁻¹. There are, of course, many other techniques for rapidly cooling an alloy liquid. For example, a jet of molten alloy can be ejected into a stream of water to produce wire, or (in any of the many variants of atomization) broken up to produce droplets. With such techniques, the cooling rate is comparable to that in melt-spinning and the interest is in the forms of the product. A contrasting example is pulsed laser quenching, in which a very thin (\sim 100 nm) surface layer is melted by an incident beam of duration as short as a few picoseconds. The thin layer on top of a large cold substrate experiences ultrarapid cooling, as fast as 10^{14} K s⁻¹ (6).

The author is in the Department of Materials Science & Metallurgy, University of Cambridge, Pembroke Street, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, United Kingdom.

Even when the critical cooling rate is not so high as to require a technique such as melt-spinning, it may still be necessary to cool a liquid under very clean conditions to avoid crystallization in contact with nucleants. Techniques for achieving cleanliness include encapsulation of the liquid alloy in another liquid (the emulsion technique and fluxing) or containerless solidification in free fall.

Techniques used for making amorphous alloys are listed in Table 1. Apart from cooling the liquid, there are many other methods. Techniques based on deposition from the vapor (evaporation or sputtering) or from solution (electrodeposition or electroless deposition) can be considered akin to rapid liquid quenching in that a solid is formed when the mobility of the atoms is rapidly decreased. There are also many techniques based on the formation of an amorphous phase within the solid state. Irradiation by ions or high-energy electrons can destroy crystalline order in a singlephase solid, as can heavy mechanical work (for example, by grinding). Alloying can be achieved in the solid state by ion implantation, ion mixing, or mechanical alloying, and each of these techniques can yield an amorphous product. In all of these solidstate techniques, considerable energy is supplied, and the introduction of defects and ultimate amorphization may not be a surprise. For example, the collision cascades that occur after ion bombardment can be likened to liquidlike volumes that are then rapidly quenched.

There are other techniques for producing an amorphous phase in which the only input of energy is thermal annealing. As was first demonstrated and explained by Schwarz and Johnson (7), certain combinations of crystalline elemental metals react



Fig. 1. The most common laboratory technique for producing metallic glasses is melt-spinning (*54*), shown here schematically, in which the molten alloy is ejected onto the flat rim of a rapidly rotating wheel (typically copper) to produce a thin ribbon up to a few millimeters wide. In the related industrial process of planar flow casting (*55*), proximity of the ejection nozzle to the wheel gives tight control of ribbon dimensions, and widths up to a few tens of centimeters can be produced.

in the solid state to produce an amorphous phase. A further type of amorphization, rarely observed, is the transformation of a supersaturated solid solution. These transformations are discussed further below.

Compositions of Metallic Glasses

The composition ranges of alloys that can be made glassy of course depend on the production method. If the cooling rate of the liquid is more rapid, glass formation ranges are widened. However, the composition ranges made glassy or amorphous by different techniques do not vary enormously. The general types of alloy emerging as glass formers (for example, by melt-spinning) are listed in Table 2.

How are the compositions in Table 2 to be understood? Various criteria have been proposed for glass formation. Crystallization of the liquid can occur only below the liquidus temperature $T_{\rm m}$, and glass formation is assured if the still-uncrystallized liquid is cooled to the underlying glass transition temperature T_g . Thus glass formation is expected to be easiest when the interval between the liquidus and the glass transition is minimal. The reduced glass transition temperature T_g/T_m is a useful guide to glass-forming ability. Because T_m can be a strong function of composition, but T_{g} usually is not, glass formation is expected near deep depressions (eutectics) in the liquidus; this prediction is substantially verified experimentally (Fig. 2). Essentially the glassforming compositions are those at which the liquid is relatively stable compared to the crystalline phases. Solutes generally stabilize the liquid (through entropy) but destabilize the elemental solid solutions through the difficulty of incorporating foreign atoms in the crystal structures. The difficulty of incorporation is related to the sizes of the atoms (8). No metallic glass is known based on components that have a difference in atomic diameter <10% (9).

It is clear from Table 2 that all major categories of metal are represented. However, it has been clear that some elements (palladium and iron are prominent examples) lend themselves to glass formation more easily than others. Aluminum, important for its low density and aerospace applications, is an example of a metal that is very difficult to incorporate as the dominant element in metallic glasses. Work in France (10) and Japan (11) led to the development of alloys with gradually increasing aluminum content. The significant breakthrough, the production of glasses that contain >80atomic % Al and that are ductile, came in 1988 through independent work in the United States (12) and Japan (13). The new alloys are of the type Al-TM-Ln (where TM represents a transition metal and Ln a lan-

SCIENCE • VOL. 267 • 31 MARCH 1995

thanide) such as $Al_{90}Fe_5Ce_5$ (12) and $Al_{85}Ni_{10}Y_5$ (13). They have excited interest in metallic glasses based on light metals and have proved to be the basis for further significant developments in ultrafine-scale crystallized materials (described below).

Confusion Reigns

For some time, alloy compositions have been known that do not require rapid liquid cooling for glass formation. An example is $Pd_{40}Ni_{40}P_{20}$, for which sections 10-mm thick can be made glassy by cooling at <1 K s^{-1} under very clean conditions (14). The noble metal palladium, although often found in glass-forming compositions, is unlikely to be widely applied because of its expense. Interest increased when Inoue and co-workers (15, 16) discovered further alloy types with similar glass-forming ability, sometimes not even requiring any special precautions with cleanliness. Some easy glass formers are Ln-Al-TM alloys, such as La55Al25Ni20, and Mg-TM-Ln alloys, such as $Mg_{65}Cu_{25}Y_{10}$ (15, 16). Significantly, it was also found that lanthanides are not necessary, as in the Zr-Al-TM family (17). The ability to form metallic glasses very easily, in large cross-sections, from common elements has naturally aroused much interest and led to rapid development. In particular,

Table 1. Some production methods for glassyand amorphous metals.

Method	Ref.
Rapid liquid cooling Melt-spinning, planar flow casting Atomization Wire formation in water As a surface treatment	(54, 55) (56, 57) (58)
Scanned laser or electron beam Pulsed laser beam <i>Undercooling of clean liquids</i>	(59, 60) (6)
Emulsion technique Fluxing Solidification in free fall <i>Physical vapor deposition</i>	(61) (62) (63)
Evaporation Sputtering	(2) (64)
Electroless deposition Electrodeposition Precipitation Hydrogenation	(65) (66) (67) (68)
By light or heavy ions By electrons By neutrons Ion implantation Ion mixing Mechanical methods	(69, 70) (71) (72) (73) (74)
Grinding Mechanical alloying Reactions	(75) (76)
Solid-state reaction of elements Decomposition of crystalline solid solution	(7) (30)

the general rule of stabilizing the liquid to promote glass formation has been exploited by adding more components. This is in effect applying a "confusion principle," in which the diverse components present, in particular their different sizes, inhibit crystallization. Work in Japan and the United States has led to many new compositions, such as Zr_{41.2}Ti_{13.8}Cu_{12.5}Ni_{10.0}Be_{22.5} (18, 19). These are based on a mixture of early transition metals, a mixture of late transition metals, and possibly also beryllium, chosen because of its small atomic size. Alloys such as these have been cast as cylinders up to 16 mm in diameter, and there is no reason to suppose that this is an upper limit. As can be seen in Fig. 3 the glass-forming ability of such compositions approaches that of the traditional oxide glasses.

Do Metals Form True Glasses?

The archetypal glasses are those of the oxides, particularly those based on silica. The basic model for their structure is the continuous random network, in which bonding of nearest neighbors is the same as that in the

Table 2. Examples of glass-forming alloys. All compositions are quoted in atomic percent. The amorphous alloys have been formed by a variety of techniques, mostly rapid liquid-quenching. This is far from a comprehensive list; only some representative alloys and compositions are given in each category. For binary alloys, however, some indication is given of glass-forming ranges.

Alloy	Glass-forming range	Ref.	
Late transition metal-metalloid			
$Fe_{100-x}B_x$	x = 12–25	(77)	
$Pd_{100-x}Si_x$	<i>x</i> = 14–22	(78)	
Fe ₄₀ Ni ₄₀ B ₂₀		(79)	
Fe ₄₀ Ni ₄₀ P ₁₄ B ₆		(8 <i>0</i>)	
Early transition metal-metalloid			
Ti _{100-x} Si _x	<i>x</i> = 15–20	(81)	
W ₆₀ Ir ₂₀ B ₂₀		(82)	
Early transition metal-late transition metal			
$Nb_{100-x}Ni_x$	x = 40 - 70	(83)	
$Cu_{100-x}Zr_x$	x = 25 - 60	(84)	
$NI_{100-x}Zr_x$	x = 10 - 12, 33 - 80	(85, 86)	
∠r ₆₀ INI ₂₅ AI ₁₅	Aluminum boood	(87)	
	Aluminum-Daseu y = 10, 50, 90	(00)	
$AI_{100-x}La_x$	x = 10, 50 - 60	(80)	
ΔI Ni Zr		(36)	
$\Delta = \frac{10^{-10}}{10^{-15}}$		(12)	
Al Ni Y		(12)	
/ "80' " 10 ' 10	l anthanide-based	(,0)	
La.	x = 18 - 26	(90)	
Gd ₁₀₀ Fe.	x = 32 - 50	(91)	
$La_{55}Al_{25}Ni_{20}$		(92)	
55 25 20 A	Alkaline-earth-based	()	
Mg ₁₀₀ _Zn	x = 25 - 32	(93)	
$Ca_{100-x}Al_x$	x = 12.5 - 47.5	(94)	
Mg ₆₅ Cu ₂₅ Y ₁₀		(95)	
Be ₄₀ Zr ₁₀ Ti ₅₀		(96)	
	Actinide-based		
$U_{100-x}Co_x$	x = 24 - 40	(97)	

crystal but longer range order is absent. Such glasses have associated with them particular properties. In contrast to these more familiar glasses, metallic glasses typically are much less stable. On heating they crystallize, and this process inhibits observation of the properties generally associated with the glassy state, such as rapid viscous flow at and above T_{g} . The compositions listed in Table 2 have been determined to be amorphous by x-ray or electron diffraction. Yet, as will be shown below, such evidence may be inconclusive, and there has been a recurring question of whether the materials obtained are really amorphous or microcrystalline. Even if they are amorphous, there is the further question of whether they can truly be regarded as glasses formed continuously from the liquid. Silicon provides an example illustrating that glasses and amorphous materials are not always the same (20). Liquid silicon has a high density and is metallic. Normal crystalline silicon has tetrahedral covalent bonding. Amorphous silicon, which can be made by deposition as well as by solidification from the liquid, also has a local tetrahedral coordination with tetrahedral bonding. In its bonding type, solid amorphous silicon is therefore quite distinct from the liquid and cannot be regarded as a glass, which if formed would be dense and metallic.

Notwithstanding the problems with lack of stability, there are many indications that metallic glasses are true glasses. On annealing, their properties change in a way characteristic of the structural relaxation of conventional glasses (21). This relaxation is not a precursor to crystallization (some of the

Liquid

80

100

Zr

60

1800

1400

Temperature (°C) 0001 000

600

200b

Ni

property changes are opposite in sign to those expected for crystallization), but brings the structure of the glass closer to that of the equilibrium liquid at the annealing temperature. By successive annealing at different temperatures, reversible changes can be observed. For metallic glasses that are normally made by rapid cooling, the initial state is highly unrelaxed, and annealing predominantly leads to densification. Even though crystallization precludes prolonged experiments, viscosity and specific heat changes near T_g are as expected for real glasses. It has recently become possible to demonstrate all such effects more convincingly by using the new very stable, multicomponent glasses described above. In these materials, rapid crystallization occurs at temperatures that can be more than 100 K higher than T_{σ} (19). This situation gives plenty of opportunity for measurements around the glass transition, where the properties are particularly characteristic of the glassy state. As an example, these new materials show the capability for superplastic deformation associated with the archetypal oxide glasses. Also, and most importantly, the easy glass-forming compositions do permit some measurements (particularly thermal) to be made continuously (without intervening crystallization) between the glassy state and the liquid, thus directly demonstrating the link between them (22).

In addition to relaxation effects, crystallization (or "devitrification") itself provides further evidence for the glassy state. When crystallization occurs on heating a metallic glass, microstructural studies show that it involves nucleation and growth, just as for



40

Zr (atomic %)

solidification of a liquid and crystallization of conventional oxide glasses (23). Thus, for most or all of the compositions in Table 2, there is firm evidence that the materials are glassy. In addition, all comparisons of property measurements on alloy compositions made into glasses by rapid cooling of the liquid and made amorphous by other techniques suggest that the materials are similar. Strong evidence on this point is provided by thermodynamic measurements.

Thermodynamics of Glasses and Amorphous Alloys

The thermodynamic properties of a glass can be estimated by extrapolating to lower temperatures the properties of the liquid. In performing such an extrapolation, it is important to note that glass-forming liquids have specific heats significantly greater (perhaps as much as two times greater, just above $T_{\rm g}$) than the corresponding crystalline solids. Specific heats can be measured directly in undercooled liquids or can be estimated from the heat of crystallization of a glass (24, 25). In addition, there are some electrochemical measurements of the thermodynamics of the glassy state itself (26). Estimates of the Gibbs free energy of glassy phases have proved useful in interpreting amorphous-phase formation by solid-state reactions (27). In such a reaction at interfaces between thin films of the elements, nucleation of the stable intermetallic compounds appears to be stifled. The relevant phase equilibria then involve only the liquid or glassy phase and the elemental solid solutions. These equilibria are indicated by



Fig. 3. The glass-forming ability of alloys and oxides are compared. The critical cooling rate for glass formation varies strongly with the reduced T_g and gives an approximate maximum section thickness. The shaded area corresponds to the alloys such as Ln-Al-TM (*15*), Mg-TM-Ln (*16*), Zr-Al-TM (*17, 18*), Zr-TM-Be (*19*) (TM, transition metal, and Ln, lanthanide). [Adapted from (*19*) with permission from Elsevier Science S.A., Lausanne, Switzerland]

A still more striking example of the use of glassy-state thermodynamics to interpret a solid-state amorphization phenomenon is that of inverse melting. The supersaturated body-centered-cubic (bcc) solid solution of Cr55Ti45, when annealed at 550°C, transforms to an amorphous phase of the same composition. However, the bcc phase itself forms on cooling the liquid. Thus, if the amorphous phase is indeed continuous with the liquid, its free energy must equal that of the bcc phase at two temperatures (29). This behavior, calculated from thermodynamic parameters of the system by Bormann (30), is illustrated in Fig. 4. At the higher equilibrium temperature is the normal melting point. At the lower equilibrium temperature is a novel inverse melting point, at which a crystal would melt on cooling. Such melting must mean that the liquid or amorphous phase at this point has a lower entropy than the crystal; this remarkable result may be associated with the obvious positional entropy difference between the amorphous and crystalline phases being outweighed by greater chemical order in the former. However, the key point is that the appearance of the amorphous phase can be explained from the ordinary thermodynamics of the alloy system by extrapolating the liquid properties to lower temperatures.

Glasses, Nanocrystals, and Quasicrystals

There have been many attempts to model the structure of amorphous metallic alloys. Early on it became clear that simple models based on microcrystalline aggregates had significant problems, and that the most successful models were based on dense random packing (which can be regarded as the coun-

Fig. 4. Calculated Gibbs free energies (relative to hexagonal close-packed Ti and bcc Cr) of the bcc solid solution and of the liquidglassy phase in Cr₅₅Ti₄₅ (atomic percent). Indicated for congruent transformations between these phases are the normal melting point T_m and a novel inverse melting point T_{im} (which is at or below T_{α}). On annealing the bcc solid solution below T_{im} (for example, at 550°C), it amorphizes, as predicted. [Adapted from (30) with permission from Elsevier Science S.A., Lausanne, Switzerland]



terpart of the continuous random network

for metallic rather than covalent bonding).

From the above discussions of properties and

thermodynamics, it may appear that there is

no doubt about the existence of the metallic

of radial distribution functions show average coordination numbers in the range 11.5 to 14.5, values consistent with extrapolations from metallic liquids. However, analysis of partial pair distribution functions show that there can be strong chemical ordering. For example, in alloys such as $Ni_{81}B_{19}$ there appear to be no B-B nearest neighbors (34). Models based on the dense random network have been refined by increasing the degree of local order. It appears that not only can there be considerable chemical order, but that local coordinations can be similar to those in crystalline compounds. Currently a model based on nanoscale twinning ("chemical twinning") appears to be quite successful in fitting experimental data (35). There are no boundaries in this model, so it supports the concept of an amorphous rather than a microcrystalline state.

However, such a model is successful with some "amorphous" alloys and not others. In particular, it is not successful for at least some of the Al-based alloys (36) that "de-



vitrify" to a quasicrystalline phase with icosahedral symmetry (of which the thinfilm $Al_{83}Mn_{17}$ cited above is an example). Aluminum is one of the main base elements for quasicrystalline phases. Thus it may be that some apparently amorphous alloys are really aggregates of nanoscale quasicrystalline grains. Much further work is clearly needed to explore the distinction between such materials, true amorphous phases, and true nanocrystalline phases formed by other techniques.

Properties and Applications

Metallic glasses are indeed metallic in their electrical conduction, but they can have very high resistivities. The temperature dependence of resistivity can be positive or negative and is in any case close to zero, which may lead to some potential applications (37). Their mechanical properties, because of the absence of crystal slip, are quite unlike those of conventional metals. At high temperatures, there is viscous flow, which opens up the possibility of superplastic forming. At ambient temperature, although some metallic glasses are brittle, many are not. However, the plastic flow is concentrated into shear bands, which indicates that any flow leads to softening, not hardening, as normally expected for metals (38). This work-softening unfortunately means that, in tension, a metallic glass sample fails with little plastic elongation, in an apparently brittle manner. The absence of crystal-slip mechanisms leads to very high flow stresses. For example (Fig. 5), an aluminum-based

Fig. 5. The tensile strengths of aluminum allovs compared as a function of microstructural scale: (a) commercial purity aluminum; (b) the strongest conventional precipitation-hardened Al alloys; (c) amorphous, or part amorphous, Al-TM-Ln allovs, consolidated and crvstallized (53, 101); and (d) amorphous AI-TM-Ln alloys, partially devitrified (either during quenching or by annealing) to give nanometerscale crystallites (51, 52, 102). The diameter of an Al atom and the wide range of tensile strengths for fully amorphous AI-TM-Ln allovs (39, 51, 102) are shown for comparison (TM, transition metal, and Ln, lanthanide).

glass can have a flow stress as high as 1250 MPa (39), about twice as high as the best precipitation-hardened conventional alloy and certainly immensely harder than the commercial purity element (~200 MPa). Despite the high strengths, there has been only limited interest (for example, as reinforcing fibers in auto tires) in metallic glass ribbons for mechanical applications (40); this is partly because their properties, although good for alloys, are not outstanding compared to other fibers, and partly because of the lack of fatigue resistance and the apparent brittleness caused by the work-softening. A mechanical property that is exploited is wear resistance (41). With combined wear and corrosion resistance, metallic glass coatings are suitable to withstand aggressive environments such as are found in valves. In combination with their soft magnetic properties (see below), the wear resistance is useful in tape recorder heads. The capability of amorphous alloys to be more ductile than their crystalline counterparts in bending has led to significant application as brazing foils (42).

The corrosion resistance, although not necessarily good, can be outstanding—promoted by the chemical and structural homogeneity of metallic glasses and by the ability to have high levels of solute to produce protective oxides (43). Protective coatings can of course be deposited by a variety of methods, but they may also be made in situ by rapid solidification of a thin surface layer melted by a scanned laser or electron beam. With such "laser glazing," it is difficult to get uniform completely amorphous coatings, but



SCIENCE • VOL. 267 • 31 MARCH 1995

surface coatings of this kind have nevertheless attracted wide interest. Another chemical property of interest is the use of amorphous alloys as catalysts (40).

Of all of the properties of metallic glasses, it is their soft magnetism that has led to the most significant applications. The microstructural homogeneity of the glasses and the absence of magnetocrystalline anisotropy can give very low coercivity and low hysteretic losses. On the other hand, strong magnetostriction in many compositions and low saturation magnetizations (because of high solute levels) can be disadvantages. There is now large-scale production, by planar-flow casting, of iron-based soft magnetic material for the cores of distribution transformers, and there are many other applications in small magnetic devices (3).

Because of the requirement (for most compositions) for rapid liquid quenching, metallic glass samples are available only in thin cross sections. The tendency to crystallize impedes efforts to make bulk products by consolidating powder or chopped ribbon. However, the forms available, such as ribbons or sheet, can be quite suitable for many applications. For example, ribbons can be used for reinforcing elements, and thin sheet can be used for transformer core laminations or magnetic shielding.

Devitrification

Conventional oxide glasses are of interest not only in themselves but also because they are the precursors of useful glass-ceramics, obtained by crystallization of the glass, that is, by devitrification. The focus of this article so far has been on metallic glasses themselves and has reflected the common view that devitrification (either through the production route not yielding a fully glassy state, or through subsequent annealing) is undesirable. Where metallic glasses have novel properties, devitrification can be expected to destroy those properties; for example, devitrification increases the coercivity in soft

Table 3. Property enhancements through devitrification.

Property	Ref.
Mechanical properties Increased ductility Increased flow stress and fracture strength	(98) (50, 51)
Superplasticity Soft magnetic properties	(53)
Low coercivity High saturation magnetization Reduced high-frequency losses Stress-induced anisotropy Hard magnetic properties ncreased critical current in type II superconductors	(48, 49) (48, 49) (44) (45) (47) (99)

magnetic glasses. On the other hand, it has gradually been realized that fully or partially crystalline materials made from metallic glasses can have useful properties (Table 3), and important recent work is in this field. Slight devitrification is useful in tailoring properties. A fine dispersion of crystals in a ferromagnetic glass can pin domain boundaries and reduce hysteretic losses at high frequency (44). Surface devitrification can induce stresses to change the magnetic anisotropy (45). More surprising is that substantial or even complete devitrification can produce interesting materials. At first glance it seems perverse to adopt a difficult production route to avoid crystallization and make glass, only then to crystallize the glass. The key point is that any crystalline product made from a glass has a very fine and very uniform microstructure. The uniformity follows from the chemical uniformity of the glass, which formed from the liquid without segregation. The fine scale of the microstructure arises because devitrification is effectively the solidification of the liquid at very high undercooling; crystal nucleation is favored, and growth is inhibited.

Although there were some early claims of useful tool steels (46), the first major application of a devitrified alloy was the hard magnetic material based on the phase $Fe_{14}Nd_2B$ (47). Large-scale commercial production of this material by rapid solidification commenced very shortly after it was discovered. In fact, the material can be made by a variety of routes, but these include devitrifying an initially glassy material and the closely related route of quenching not quite sufficiently fast to obtain a fully glassy product. That a material with a fine grain size should have hard magnetic properties is

Strength (MPa)

not surprising. Much more intriguing is the observation that a soft magnetic metallic glass can partially crystallize to a material that has good or even improved soft magnetic properties. Such materials, first discovered in Japan, have been widely studied. Initial compositions were of the type Fe_{73.5}Cu₁Nb₃Si_{13.5}B₉ (48), but more recently compositions of the kind of $Fe_{91}Zr_7B_2$ (49) have shown good properties while having a greater concentration of magnetic species. These materials consist of a dispersion of fine (10 to 20-nm diameter) crystallites in a amorphous matrix. The fine scale precludes effective pinning of domain boundaries.

A more recent example of spectacular improvements found in a partially crystalline material are the mechanical properties of Al-TM-Ln alloys. The materials, developed separately in Japan (50) and the United States (51), consist of 3- to 10-nm diameter crystallites of cubic close-packed aluminum embedded in an amorphous matrix and have optimum strength for a crystalline volume fraction of $\sim 25\%$. They are obtained by quenching at below the critical rate or by annealing an initially amorphous material. The devitrification can also be induced by mechanical working. The most remarkable feature of these materials is their ultrahigh tensile fracture strength [as high as 1560 MPa for $Al_{88}Ni_9Ce_2Fe_1$ (52)], combined with their lack of brittleness. This property is illustrated in Fig. 5; the fracture strength of the partially crystalline materials can be as much as 50% greater than that of the corresponding fully amorphous alloy. The reasons for this enhancement are not yet clear. However, the aluminum crystals appear to be too small to contain any disloca-

Fig. 6. A strength-density property chart for engineering materials where the application to materials selection is discussed. Amorphous AI-TM-Ln alloys partially crystallized to give a dispersion of nanometer-scale AI crystallites can have properties (52) like engineering ceramics and unlike conventional AI alloys. [Adapted from (103) with permission from Elsevier Science Ltd., Kidlington, United Kingdom]



SCIENCE • VOL. 267 • 31 MARCH 1995

tions. The potential importance of these novel nanophase composites can be shown on a property chart for engineering materials (Fig. 6). The best of the new alloys have properties well outside the range associated with conventional Al alloys; they have properties in the range of engineering ceramics such as MgO, yet are also ductile.

If partially crystalline materials are of interest, then some degree of devitrification may be permissible during consolidation of amorphous ribbon. Consolidated product, either partially or fully crystalline, has been found to retain a fine-scale microstructure of interest. For example, a consolidated alloy of the Al-TM-Ln type has shown superplastic elongations of >500% at strain rates as high as 1 s⁻¹ (53). Even after consolidation and deformation, the mechanical properties (for example, a tensile strength ≈900 MPa) are superior to those of conventional commercial high-strength aluminum alloys.

Outlook

The recent developments of aluminumbased glasses with good properties and of the very easy glass-forming alloys have attracted wide interest. They suggest that the research emphasis has firmly shifted from concentration on techniques for metallic glass formation to the exploration for new compositions. For commercial applications, the production technique should be straightforward, and the glasses produced should be stable. In these respects, the use of multicomponent compositions has proved very fruitful and, as most of these systems are unexplored, may vet lead to further surprises. There is no reason to suppose that all significant glassforming compositions have been discovered.

The advent of a range of very easy glassforming alloys has established that metals can be made into true glasses and is permitting fundamental work on the metallic glassy state. In addition, it has opened up possibilities for the shaping of metals through viscous flow at elevated temperature, as is used for conventional glasses. This capability could be exploited not only for large-scale sheet forming but for the manufacture of ultrasmall components in which the grain structure of a normal polycrystalline metal would interfere with the shaping (19).

Again following belatedly in the footsteps of conventional oxide glasses, it is now clear that metallic glasses can be useful precursors for partially or fully crystalline materials that can have superior properties to the glasses themselves. The products of devitrification are distinguished by the uniformity and ultrafine scale of their microstructures. So far their potential has been demonstrated for magnetic properties and high strength; further exploitation can be expected.

In materials engineering today, there is

much consideration of the replacement of alloys by other materials such as polymers and ceramics. Metallic glasses, and the devitrified materials made from them, represent part of the response of alloys to this. These new metallic materials have a very wide range of novel properties or combinations of properties, ranging from soft or hard magnetism to corrosion resistance and ultrahigh flow stress. They have evolved from laboratory curiosities into materials of industrial application, and research on them has led to improved understanding in many areas, ranging from magnetism to solidification.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. K. Klement, R. H. Willens, P. Duwez, Nature 187, 869 (1960).
- 2. W. Buckel, Z. Phys. 138, 136 (1954).
- З. C. H. Smith, in *Rapidly Solidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications*, H. H. Liebermann, Ed. (Dekker, New York, 1993), pp. 617-663. 4. F. E. Luborsky, Ed., Amorphous Metallic Alloys
- (Butterworths, London, 1983). 5. R. W. Cahn, in Glasses and Amorphous Materials,
- J. Zarzycki, Ed., vol. 9 of *Materials Science & Tech-nology*, R. W. Cahn, P. Haasen, E. J. Kramer, Eds. (VCH Press, Weinheim, 1991), pp. 493–548.
- 6. C. J. Lin and F. Spaepen, Acta Metall. 34, 1367 (1986). 7.
- R. B. Schwarz and W. L. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 415 (1983). 8.
- T. Egami, Y. Waseda, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 64, 113 (1984).
- 9. B. C. Giessen, in Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, T. Masumoto and K. Suzuki, Éds. [Japanese Institute of Metals (JIM), Sendai, 1982], pp. 213–216. 10. J. M. Dubois, G. Le Caer, K. Dehghan, in *Proceed*-
- ings of the Fifth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, S. Steeb and H. Warlimont, Eds. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985), pp. 197-202.
- 11. A. Inoue and T. Masumoto, in Encyclopedia of Materials Science and Engineering, R. W. Cahn, Ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1990), suppl. vol. 2, pp. 660-667.
- 12. Y. He, S. J. Poon, G. J. Shiflet, Science 241, 1640 (1988).
- 13. A. Inoue, K. Ohtera, A. P. Tsai, T. Masumoto, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L280 (1988).
- A. J. Drehman and A. L. Greer, Acta Metall. 32, 323 14. (1984). 15.
- A. Inoue, T. Zhang, T. Masumoto, *Mater. Trans. J.I.M.* **31**, 425 (1990). 16. A. Inoue, T. Nakamura, N. Nishiyama, T. Ma-
- sumoto, ibid. 33, 937 (1992). 17
- T. Zhang, A. Inoue, T. Masumoto, ibid. 32, 1005 (1991).
- A. Peker and W. L. Johnson, Appl. Phys. Lett. 63, 18. 2342 (1993). 19.
- T. Masumoto, Mater. Sci. Eng., A179/A180, 8 (1994). J. M. Poate, P. S. Peercy, M. O. Thompson, Mater.
- Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 57, 465 (1987). 21 A. L. Greer, in Rapidly Solidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications, H. H. Lieber-mann, Ed. (Dekker, New York, 1993), pp. 269–301.
- H. W. Kui and D. Turnbull, Appl. Phys. Lett. 47, 796 22. (1985).
- U. Köster, Z. Metallkd. 75, 691 (1984).
- P. V. Evans, A. Garcia Escorial, P. E. Donovan, A. L. 24. Greer, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 57, 239 (1987). 25. L. Battezzati and E. Garrone, Z. Metallkd. 76, 305
- (1984).26. R. Bormann and K. Zöltzer, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 131,
- 691 (1992). R. Bormann, F. Gärtner, K. Zöltzer, J. Less-Com-
- mon Met. 145, 283 (1988). 28. R. J. Highmore and A. L. Greer, Nature 339, 363
- (1989).

- 29. A. L. Greer, J. Less-Common Met. 140, 327 (1988). 30. R. Bormann, Mater. Sci. Eng. A179/A180, 31 (1994).
- L. C. Chen, F. Spaepen, J. L. Robertson, S. C. Moss, K. Hiraga, *J. Mater. Res.* 5, 1871 (1990).
- 32. Y. He, H. Chen, G. J. Shiflet, S. J. Poon, Philos. Mag. Lett. 61, 297 (1990).
- 33. J. C. Holzer and K. F. Kelton, Acta Metall. Mater. 39, 1833 (1991).
- 34. P. Lamparter, W. Sperl, S. Steeb, J. Blétry, Z. Naturforsch. 37a, 1223 (1982).
- 35. J. M. Dubois, P. H. Gaskell, G. Le Caer, in Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, S. Steeb and H. Warlimont, Eds. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985), pp. 567-572.
- J. M. Dubois, in Trends in Non-Crystalline Solids, A. 36. Conde, C. F. Conde, M. Millán, Eds. (World, Singapore, 1992), pp. 343–363. 37. T. Richmond and H. J. Güntherodt, in *Rapidly So*-
- lidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications, H. H. Liebermann, Ed. (Dekker, New York, 1993), pp. 431–459.
- 38. F. Spaepen and A. I. Taub, in Amorphous Metallic Alloys, F. E. Luborsky, Ed. (Butterworths, London, 1983), pp. 231–256.
- 39, M. Blank-Bewersdorff, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 10, 1225 (1991).
- 40. C. Suryanarayana and F. H. Froes, in Rapidly Solidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications, H. H. Liebermann, Ed. (Dekker, New
- York, 1993), pp. 737–754.
 U. Sudarsan, K. Chattopadhyay, Kishore, in Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, S. Steeb and H. Warlimont, Eds. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985), pp. 1439-1442
- 42. A. Rabinkin and H. H. Liebermann, in Rapidly Solidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications, H. H. Liebermann, Ed. (Dekker, New York, 1993), pp. 691–735.
- 43. M. Janik-Czachor, Mater. Sci. Eng. A179/A180, 142 (1994).
- 44. A. Datta, N. J. De Cristofaro, L. A. Davis, in Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, T. Masumoto and K. Suzuki, Eds. (JIM, Sendai, 1982), pp. 1007–1010.
 45. H. N. Ok and A. H. Morrish, *Phys. Rev. B* 23, 1835
- (1981).
- 46. R. Ray, Met: Prog. 121, 29 (1982).
- J. J. Croat, J. F. Herbst, R. W. Lee, F. E. Pinkerton, J. Appl. Phys. 55, 2078 (1984).
- Y. Yoshizawa, S. Oguma, K. Yamauchi, ibid. 64, 48. 6044 (1988).
- 49. K. Suzuki, N. Kataoka, A. Inoue, T. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. J.I.M. 32, 93 (1991).
- 50. Y. H. Kim, A. Inoue, T. Masumoto, ibid. 31, 747 (1990).
- 51. H. Chen, Y. He, G. J. Shiflet, S. J. Poon, Scr. Metall.
- Mater. 25, 1421 (1991). 52. A. Inoue, Y. Horio, Y. H. Kim, T. Masumoto, *Mater. Trans. J.I.M.* 33, 669 (1992).
- 53. K. Higashi, et al., Scr. Metall. Mater. 26, 191 (1992).
- 54. H. H. Liebermann, Mater. Sci. Eng. 43, 203 (1980).
- 55. M. C. Narasimhan, U.S. Patent 4 142 571 (1979).
- 56. S. A. Miller and R. J. Murphy, Scr. Metall. 13, 673
- (1979).
- 57. Y. W. Kim, H. M. Kim, T. F. Kelly, Acta Metall. 37, 247 (1989).
- 58. M. Hagiwara and A. Inoue, in Rapidly Solidified Alloys: Processes, Structures, Properties, Applications, H. H. Liebermann, Ed. (Dekker, New York, 1993), pp. 139–155.
- 59. E. Schubert and H. W. Bergmann, ibid., pp. 195-230.
- 60. E. M. Breinan, D. B. Snow, C. O. Brown, B. H. Kear, in Rapid Solidification Processing, Principles and Technologies II, R. Mehrabian, B. H. Kear, M. Cohen, Eds. (Claitor's, Baton Rouge, LA, 1980), p. 440.
- 61. J. H. Perepezko and J. S. Smith, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 44, 65 (1981).
- 62. H. W. Kui, A. L. Greer, D. Turnbull, Appl. Phys. Lett. 45, 615 (1984).
- A.J. Drehman and D. Turnbull, Scr. Metall. 15, 543 63. (1981).
- 64. H. J. Learny and A. G. Dirks, J. Phys. D 10, L95 (1977).

SCIENCE • VOL. 267 • 31 MARCH 1995

- 65. T. Watanabe and T. Tanabe, Mater. Sci. Eng. 23, 97 (1976).
- 66. A. Brenner, D. E. Couch, E. K. Williams, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 44, 109 (1950).
- 67. S. Mørup and J. van Wonterghem, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Magnetic Properties of Amor-phous Metals, A. Hernando, V. Madurga, M. C. Sanchez-Trujillo, M. Vasquez, Eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987), pp. 1-5.
- X. L. Yeh, K. Samwer, W. L. Johnson, Appl. Phys. 68. Lett. 42, 242 (1983).
- 69. M. Nastasi, D. Lilienfeld, H. H. Johnson, J. W. Mayer, J. Appl. Phys. 59, 4011 (1986) 70. A. Dunlop and D. Lesueur, Mater. Sci. Forum 97-
- **99**, 553 (1992). 71. D. E. Luzzi and M. Meshii, J. Less-Common Met. 140, 193 (1988).
- 72. D. Lesueur, Fizika 2, 13 (1970).
- G. K. Hubler, I. L. Singer, C. R. Clayton, Mater. Sci. 73. Eng. 19, 203 (1985).
- 74. B. X. Liu, W. L. Johnson, M. A. Nicolet, Appl. Phys. Lett. 42, 45 (1983).
- 75. R. B. Schwarz, R. R. Petrich, C. K. Saw, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 76, 281 (1985).
- 76. C. C. Koch, O. B. Cavin, C. G. McKamey, J. O. Scarbrough, Appl. Phys. Lett. 43, 1017 (1983). 77. S. Hasegawa and R. Ray, J. Appl. Phys. 49, 4174
- (1978). 78. B. G. Lewis and H. A. Davies, Mater. Sci. Eng. 23,
- 179 (1976).
- 79. F. E. Luborsky, ibid. 28, 139 (1977).
- 80. D. E. Polk and H. S. Chen, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 15, 165 (1974).
- 81. A. Inoue and T. Masumoto, Sci. Rep. Res. Inst. Tohoku Univ. 28A, 165 (1980).
- 82. M. Fischer, D. E. Polk, B. C. Giessen, in Proceedings of the First International Conference on Rapid Solidification Processing, R. Mehrabian, B. H. Kear, M. Cohen, Eds. (Claitor's, Baton Rouge, LA, 1978), n 140
- 83. R. C. Ruhl, B. C. Giessen, M. Cohen, N. J. Grant, Acta Metall. 15, 1693 (1967).
- 84. R. Ray, B. C. Giessen, N. J. Grant, Scr. Metall. 2, 359 (1968).
- 85. S. J. Poon and W. L. Carter, Solid State Commun. **35**, 249 (1980).
- 86. G. P. J. Gregan, Y. D. Dong, M. G. Scott, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Metallic Glasses (Kultura, Budapest, 1981), vol. 2, p. 197.
- A. Inoue, T. Zhang, T. Masumoto, *Mater. Trans. J.I.M.* **31**, 177 (1990).
- 88. A. Inoue, K. Ohtera, T. Masumoto, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L736 (1988).
- 89. A. P. Tsai, A. Inoue, Y. Bizen, T. Masumoto, Acta Metall. 37, 1443 (1989).
- 90. W. L. Johnson, S. J. Poon, P. Duwez, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 150 (1975).
- 91. K. H. J. Buschow, J. Less-Common Met. 66, 89 (1979).
- 92. A. Inoue, T. Zhang, T. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. J.I.M. 30, 965 (1989).
- 93. A. Calka et al., Scr. Metall. 11, 65 (1977).
- F. Sommer, G. Duddek, B. Predel, Z. Metallkd. 69, 94. 587 (1978).
- S. G. Kim, A. Inoue, T. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. 95. J.I.M. 31, 929 (1990).
- 96. S. Hasegawa and L. E. Tanner, J. Appl. Phys. 49, 3211 (1977)
- 97. R. Ray and E. Musso, U.S. Patent 3 981 722 (1976)
- 98. H. G. Hillenbrand, E. Hornbogen, U. Köster, in Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Rapidly Quenched Metals, T. Masumoto and K. Suzuki, Eds. (JIM, Sendai, 1982), pp. 1369–1372. W. L. Johnson, in *Glassy Metals I*, H. J. Güntherodt

and H. Beck, Eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1981), pp.

T. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. J.I.M. 33, 775 (1992).

Y. H. Kim, A. Inoue, T. Masumoto, ibid. 32, 334

1953

100. B. M. Clemens, W. L. Johnson, R. B. Schwarz, J.

101. K. Ohtera, A. Inoue, T. Terabayashi, H. Nagahama,

Non-Cryst. Solids 61&62, 817 (1984).

103. M. F. Ashby, Acta Metall. 37, 1273 (1989).

99.

102

191-223.

(1991).