

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella
Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell
Member Services: Rebecca Dickerson, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Representatives*
Marketing: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Jane Pennington, *Europe Manager*; Hilary Baar, *Associate*; Angela Mumeka, *Coordinator*
Research: Renuka Chander, *Manager*
Business and Finance: Jacquelyn Roberts, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant Manager*
Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes
Science Member Services
Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;
Washington, DC: 202-326-6417
Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner
Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith
Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley
Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold
Finance: Randy Yi, *Senior Analyst*; Shawn Williams, *Analyst*
Marketing: John Meyers, *Manager*; Allison Pritchard, *Associate*
Traffic Manager: Tina Turano
Recruitment: Terri Seiter, *Assistant Manager*; Pamela Sams, *Production Associate*; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Miller, Rachael Wilson, *Sales*; Charlotte Longhurst, *European Sales*
Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris
Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis
Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

PRODUCT ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E.

Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • **Midwest/Southeast:** Elizabeth Mosko, 312-665-1150, FAX 312-665-2129 • **West Coast/W. Canada:** Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • **UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands:** Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • **Germany/Switzerland/Austria:** Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • **Japan:** Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852
RECRUITMENT ADVERTISING SALES: US: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816 • **Europe:** Gordon Clark, (44) 81539-5211, FAX (44) 01223-302068 • **Australia/New Zealand:** Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100
Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 112–114 of the 6 January 1995 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.
Internet addresses: science_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews); membership@aaas.org (for member services); science_classifieds@aaas.org (for submitting classified advertisements)

LETTERS

E. coli Sequencing

Rachel Nowak (Research News, 13 Jan., p. 172) describes the current status of the *Escherichia coli* genome sequencing project and the possible termination of funding for Fred Blattner's program. While it is true that from the beginning (1989) I have been critical of the manual sequencing method used by Blattner's group for large-scale genome sequencing, this is not the time to abandon his effort. According to the article, Blattner has completed 1.4 megabases of the *E. coli* genome, with most (1.0 megabase) being completed in the last year. If the National Institutes of Health (NIH) cuts off funding to the existing *E. coli* project in the hopes of funding someone else, it could take up to 6 months for NIH to issue a Request for Proposals and have proposals written and submitted, and an additional 9 months for proposals to be reviewed and funded. During that same interval Blattner's group could sequence another 1.5 megabases of the *E. coli* genome with continued funding. This would provide partial coverage over the whole *E. coli* genome and high-quality sequence for more than 2.9 megabases.

The *E. coli* genome sequence is a key needed reference for the biology community. It is not clear, however, that our current federal system of funding science promotes good decision-making. If the *E. coli* genome project was to be redirected, it should have happened 2 to 3 years ago. If Blattner is not funded now, it will only impede progress to complete the genome. If Blattner is to be faulted for the current progress on *E. coli*, then he should be faulted for striving for higher quality data than most and for introducing biology into his annotation instead of exclusively focusing on base pair counts. Let's get *E. coli* finished!

J. Craig Venter

President/Director,
Institute for Genomic Research,
932 Clopper Road,
Gaithersburg, MD 20878, USA

frame-shift in 50,000 residues, a standard not matched for most of the sequences deposited in GenBank already. Even if *E. coli* is not first, so what? Is there some kind of molecular genetics Olympics? And if there is, what about weight categories? Blattner's critics, quoted in the article, have themselves not deposited a fraction of his finished sequence.

In the article, several people are quoted as being impatient with the rate of progress in Wisconsin. Such people appear to be ill-informed. The project has been slower to produce finished sequence than originally projected. But how many of us fulfill all the goals of our research projects in the time stated in our grant applications? Could it have been anticipated that the bits of *E. coli* sequence deposited by others would have so many discrepancies, requiring re-sequencing to determine the cause? And who anticipated the technical problem of G compressions, which took many months to solve?

Quotes by Ken Rudd at NIH and George Church at Harvard, who support Blattner's efforts, are immediately followed by what amounts to a premature press release from Craig Venter, who states that his team has sequenced 99% of the *Haemophilus influenzae* chromosome and that the finished sequence will be deposited with GenBank early this year. Where is the evidence to support such a claim? Will the sequence be annotated? With what accuracy? And what is the relevance? The *H. influenzae* genome is less than half the size of the *E. coli* genome. Indeed, comparison of the two would provide important clues to the evolution of bacterial chromosomes.

Science is supposed to be a cooperative effort. A few individuals appear to have forgotten that.

Robert Haselkorn

Department of Molecular Genetics
and Cell Biology,
University of Chicago,
920 East 58 Street,
Chicago, IL 60637, USA

NSF FastLane Goals

We thank *Science* for its efforts to keep the research community informed of ongoing efforts by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and other agencies to simplify

Nowak's article emphasizes that *E. coli* may not be the first free-living organism to have its genome fully sequenced. That may not be true. Its correctness depends on a definition of "fully sequenced." The Wisconsin group deposits sequence data when they are certain that they contain less than one