

PALEONTOLOGY

Dino Embryo Recasts Parents' Image

A new find from the Gobi Desert in Mongolia has transformed a dinosaur from a ruthless baby-eating predator into a concerned parent. The vindicating evidence: the first embryo ever found from a group of dinosaurs called—perhaps erroneously—oviraptorids, which means “egg seizers” in Latin.

In 1923, that name seemed all too fitting. That year scientists found the first *Oviraptor* specimen lying atop a nest of eggs thought to belong to another species. The new oviraptorid embryo, however, comes from identical eggs, indicating that the original eggs had been misclassified—along with oviraptorids' behavior. “Rather than eating the eggs, they were incubating them or protecting them, because they were their own eggs,” says Mark Norell, dinosaur curator at the American Museum of Natural History in New York City.


On page 729, Norell and colleagues from the United States and Mongolia describe the nearly complete embryonic skeleton, dating from about 75 million years ago. The tiny bones, egg shells, and some associated dinosaur finds are not only changing the way paleontologists view this rare dinosaur, but also prompting a re-evaluation of dinosaur eggs and offering clues about the evolution of dinosaurs' living relatives, birds. “The discovery of an embryo is incredibly significant,” says Philip Currie of the Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology in Drumheller, Alberta.

The numerous oblong eggs discovered at Flaming Cliffs in Mongolia on the 1923 Central Asiatic Expedition sponsored by the natural history museum were originally assumed to belong to a species of plant-eating dinosaur, known as *Protoceratops*, because that is the most common dinosaur fossil found in the Gobi. And ever since, paleontologists have classified similar elongated eggs in the United States and Asia as those of vegetarian dinosaurs. The early expedition also found the rare and strange-looking *Oviraptor* atop the eggs. With a beak like a parrot's and an upright body with no wings, it was identified as a carnivore that probably died in a sandstorm while sucking the *Protoceratops* eggs.

But when Norell found the tiny oviraptorid skeleton poking through an identical oblong egg at a site 300 kilometers away from the Flaming Cliffs last year, he knew the old view must be wrong: “The first bone I picked up was an ankle, and I knew it was a

theropod [bipedal dinosaur]. Theropods have very, very distinctive ankles,” says Norell. As he and the expedition leader, Michael Novacek, looked through the nest of eggs, they realized that this theropod, like birds, must have been a brooder.

Other researchers, looking at the nests in light of this new information, have concluded that the adult oviraptorids were also exhibiting other birdlike behaviors. “They



Egg hunt. The recent discovery of this oviraptorid dinosaur embryo (left) reveals that the species hatched from eggs like these (below), found in 1923.



AMERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY

played with their eggs, like birds,” arranging them in a circle, says Jacques Gauthier, curator of reptiles at the California Academy of Sciences. And like birds they apparently protected their eggs, sitting on them until the bitter end.

Connections to birds were also seen in two other embryonic skulls found in the same nest from another type of dinosaur, the carnivorous *Velociraptors*. The jaws of these two skulls also held a surprise: tiny, peglike teeth that are seen in some early birds, dating from about 150 million years ago. As adults, *Velociraptors* had very different teeth: serrated dentition “like steak knives,” according to Gauthier.

This parallelism between adults and juveniles of the different groups offers clues about both dinosaur development and bird evolution. The newborn dinosaurs probably had a diet like that of a bird: perhaps eating insects. But the carnivorous adults needed sharp teeth to tear meat. Early birds, which split off from their meat-eating relatives millions of years earlier, had birdlike diets better suited to the infantile teeth. And so they retained them, never developing the daggerlike teeth of their adult cousins. “The early birds ended up looking like the babies of their ancestors,” Gauthier explains. And now one of those babies—the oviraptorid—has managed to redeem its parents.

—Ann Gibbons

ASTRONOMY

Playing Hide-and-Seek With a Pulsar

John Middleditch doesn't completely trust the data that tell him he's found a new pulsar. It's safe to say that few other researchers do either, because that pulsar has vanished into the night once before.

Middleditch, who works at Los Alamos National Laboratory, shocked the astronomical community in early 1989 when he and his colleagues announced in *Nature* the discovery of a pulsar, or spinning neutron star, at the center of supernova 1987a. The discovery was a vindication of theory—supernovae are supposed to create pulsars—but the stunner was that the pulsar was spinning faster than most researchers imagined possible, around 2000 times a second. A year later, however, Middleditch's team announced a second stunner: The pulsar signal was spurious, an artifact caused by electrical interference from a videocamera hooked to the telescope his group had used.

Now Middleditch and his colleagues are being tormented by another ephemeral pulsar signal from the heart of the same supernova. In analyzing observations of 1987a

by optical telescopes in Chile and Australia between February 1992 and February 1993, Middleditch believes he has again found brief pulses of light that reflect the presence of a pulsar. This pulsar has a period of 2.14 milliseconds, which means it's spinning at the more reasonable rate of 450 times a second. But other groups have not spotted it, and even Middleditch's own group has not seen the slippery signal for some time. “We've suffered a year without any obvious hits. Is it imaginary or real? Needless to say, it's been driving me crazy,” he agonizes.

In late September, Middleditch and his collaborators met to analyze the data and, reluctantly, decided not to announce a find. “There really isn't enough to publish at the moment. The whole thing is frustratingly vague. The most we have is tantalizing hints,” explains team member Jerome Kristian of Carnegie Observatories in Pasadena, California. For more than a year, Kristian, Middleditch, and the rest of their team have been discussing the data at meetings and in-