# SCIENCE

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor-in-Chief: Daniel E. Koshland Jr.

Editor: Ellis Rubinstein Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Ap-Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

### **Editorial Staff**

Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, David Lindley, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss

Associate Editors: Gilbert J. Chin, Pamela J. Hines, Paula A. Kiberstis, Suki Parks, L. Bryan Ray

Letters: Christine Gilbert, Editor; Steven S. Lapham Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Editor; Susan Randolph, Editorial Assistant

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman Editing: Valerie Jablow, Cara Tate, Senior Copy Editors; Jach, Erik G. Morris, Christine M. Pearce Harry

**Copy Desk:** Ellen E. Murphy, *Supervisor*; Joi S. Granger, Daniel T. Helgerman, Melissa Q. Rosen, Beverly Shields, Kameaka Williams, Assistant

Editorial Support: Sherryf Farmer, Supervisor; Brent Gendleman, Carolyn Kyle, Michele Listisard, Diane Long, Patricia M. Moore

Administrative Support: Sylvia Kihara, Charlene King, Jeanette Prastein

Telephone: 202-326-6501; FAX: 202-289-7562; TDD: 202-408-7770

## News Staff

News Editor: Colin Norman Features Editor: John M. Benditt

Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, Joshua Fischman,

Jean Marx, Jeffrey Mervis News & Comment/Research News Writers: Linda B. Felaco (copy), Faye Flam, Constance Holden, Jocelyn Kaiser (intern), Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Rachel Nowak, Robert F. Service, Richard Stone

U.S. Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (Berkeley), Jon Cohen (San Diego), Anne Simon Moffat (Chicago), John Travis (Boston)

Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, Ann Gibbo Virginia Morell, Dennis Normile (Tokyo), Robert Pool, Gary Taubes

Administrative Support: Fannie Groom, Jennifer Hodgin Telephone: 202-326-6500; FAX: 202-371-9227; Internet Address: science\_news@aaas.org

#### Art & Production Staff

Production: James Landry, Director; Wendy K. Shank, Manager; Lizabeth A. Harman, Assistant Manager; Laura A. Creveling, Scherraine B. Mack, Associates; Leslie Blizard, Assistant

Art: Amy Decker Henry, Director: C. Faber Smith, Associate Director; Katharine Sutliff, Scientific Illustrator; Holly Bishop, Graphics Associate; Elizabeth Carroll, Graphics Assistant

#### **Europe Office**

Editorial: Richard B. Gallagher, Office Head and Senior Editor; Stella M. Hurtley, Associate Editor; Belinda Holden, Editorial Associate

News: Daniel Clery, Editor; Peter Aldhous, Correspondent; Patricia Kahn, Contributing Correspondent (Heidelberg) Administrative Support: Janet Mumford; Anna Riches Address: 14 George IV Street, Cambridge, UK CB2 1HH Telephone: (44) 0223 302067; FAX: (44) 0223 302068

### Science Editorial Board

Charles J. Arntzen
David Baltimore
J. Michael Bishop
William F. Brinkman
E. Margaret Burbidge
Pierre-Gilles de Gennes
Joseph L. Goldstein
Mary L. Good
Harry B. Gray
John J. Hopfield

Paul A. Marks Yasutomi Nishizuka Helen M. Ranney Bengt Samuelsson Robert M. Solow Edward C. Stone James D. Watson Richard N. Zare

F. Clark Howell

# EDITORIAL

# **Magnetic Energy Storage**

Potential usefulness of Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES) has long been recognized. In principle, devices using it can store very large amounts of electrical energy with low rates of decay. Part of the stored energy can be discharged and converted into alternating current in less than 2 milliseconds. Efficiency of a complete cycle of storage and discharge of energy is about 96%. A proposed application to store off-peak energy for use during high demand periods has been delayed because of costs. However, perceptions of the value of SMES are being enhanced by results obtained with small installations. These have achieved reliability and success in improving the quality and stability of electric power supplies.

Energy resides in the magnetic field created when current flows through a conductor. To maximize the effect, a coil with many turns is employed. The energy E that is stored is given by  $E = 1/2 L I^2$ , where L is inductance of the coil and I is current. To avoid resistive losses while attaining large currents, superconductors are employed. The material of choice is a niobium-titanium alloy. It can conduct large currents at 4 K. For charging or discharging the coil, a power conversion device serves as an intermediary between direct current in the coil and alternating current in a power network.

Power stability has become important in many installations. Computers, office machines, and programmable controllers are particularly affected by power instabilities. Estimates of annual U.S. costs of such fluctuations have ranged from \$12 to \$26 billion. Most of the disturbances are momentary interruptions or drops in voltage against which the usual computer surge protector is not effective. Electronic controllers on factory machines are especially vulnerable to fluctuations in voltage. Deviations in the frequency of the alternating current also can cause shutdowns. In many instances, duration of the power glitch is only a fraction of a second. Corrective response in a few milliseconds by an SMES device that stores a megawatt-second of energy can forestall a costly shutdown. The first commercial producer of such equipment was Superconductivity, Inc. of Madison, Wisconsin. Only a few of their Superconducting Storage Devices costing about \$1 million have been produced, but experience with them has won plaudits. An example was use in an IBM Megatest facility, where voltage sags were causing substantial costs in the form of delays in testing. During the period May 1992 through February 1993, the device successfully minimized 34 voltage drops greater than 10%. Another example was use in a plant in South Carolina where high-quality power was required by sensitive automated and electronically controlled equipment. Between 13 April 1994 and 21 July 1994, the device carried the plant through 142 of 144 potentially disruptive events.

Additional benefits will be attained when a larger SMES unit is incorporated in an Alaskan power network that includes Anchorage Municipal Light and Power. In 1997, Babcock and Wilcox will install a Superconducting Power System capable of delivering 30 megawatts for an integrated time of 1 minute or longer. The system will enable the 600megawatt maximum load Alaska Intertie network to become a more reliable source of highquality power. Utilities in general cope with sudden outages of major generating equipment by having spinning reserves, that is, facilities that are generating small amounts of electricity but which can be ramped up to provide needed power. The practical and inexpensive spinning reserve in Alaska is a hydropower source that requires about 1 minute to achieve major production. The new Superconducting Power System, together with the hydropower, will meet the maximum likely emergency needs. Some other benefits realized by the Anchorage utility are enhanced stability, reliability, and quality of its power, including better control of phase angles between voltages and currents. The various components of the system will be shop-fabricated in Lynchburg, Virginia. The storage magnet will have a length of 30 meters, a diameter of 3.5 meters, and a weight of 250,000 kilograms. The operating current will be 16,000 amperes and the maximum field 5.5 tesla. The cost will be about \$25 million.

Eventually a substantial demand will arise for intermediate-size SMES devices. We have entered an era in which quality of electric power has become important to many major users. This comes at a time of increased competition among providers of electric power for customers. Utilities will find it necessary to respond to needs for high-quality electricity.

Philip H. Abelson