Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor-in-Chief: Daniel E. Koshland Jr. Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences);

Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

**Editorial Staff** Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, David Lindley, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss

Associate Editors: Gilbert J. Chin, Pamela J. Hines, Paula

A. Kiberstis, Suki Parks, L. Bryan Ray Letters: Christine Gilbert, *Editor*; Steven S. Lapham

**Book Reviews:** Katherine Livingston, *Editor;* Susan Randolph. *Editorial Assistant* 

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Editing: Valerie Jablow, Cara Tate, Senior Copy Editors; Douglas B. Casey, Harry Jach, Erik G. Morris, Christine M.

Copy Desk: Ellen E. Murphy, Supervisor; Joi S. Granger, Daniel T. Helgerman, Beverly Shields, Melissa Q. Rosen, Kameaka Williams, Assistant

Gendleman, Carolyn Kyle, Michele Listisard, Diane Long, Patricia M. Moore

Administrative Support: Sylvia Kihara, Charlene King,

Jeanette Prastein

Telephone: 202-326-6501; FAX: 202-289-7562; TDD: 202-

#### **News Staff**

News Editor: Colin Norman

Features Editor: John M. Benditt

Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, Joshua Fischman,

Jean Marx, Jeffrey Mervis

News & Comment/Research News Writers: Linda B. Kews & Commentations and the Warshall Related St. Elida B. Felaco (copy), Faye Flam, Constance Holden, Jocelyn Kaiser (intern), Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Rachel Nowak, Robert F. Service, Richard Stone

U.S. Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (Berkeley), Jon Cohen (San Diego), Anne Simon Moffat (Chicago), John Travis

Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, Ann Gibbons, Virginia Morell, Dennis Normile (Tokyo), Robert Pool, Gary

Administrative Support: Fannie Groom, Jennifer Hodgin Telephone: 202-326-6500; FAX: 202-371-9227; Internet Address: science\_news@aaas.org

#### **Art & Production Staff**

Production: James Landry, Director; Wendy K. Shank, Manager; Lizabeth A. Harman, Assistant Manager; Laura A. Creveling, Scherraine B. Mack, Linda C. Owens, Associates, Leslie Blizard, Assistant

Art: Amy Decker Henry, Director; C. Faber Smith, Associate Director; Katharine Sutliff, Scientific Illustrator; Holly Bishop, Graphics Associate; Elizabeth Carroll, Graphics

### **Europe Office**

Editorial: Richard B. Gallagher, Office Head and Senior Editor; Stella M. Hurtley, Jeffrey Williams, Associate Editors; Belinda Holden, Editorial Associate News: Daniel Clery, Editor; Peter Aldhous, Correspondent; Patricia Kahn, Contributing Correspondent (Heidelberg)

Administrative Support: Janet Mumford; Anna Riches Address: 14 George IV Street, Cambridge, UK CB2 1HH Telephone: (44) 0223 302067; FAX: (44) 0223 302068

## Science Editorial Board

Charles J. Arntzen David Baltimore J. Michael Bishop William F. Brinkman E. Margaret Burbidge Pierre-Gilles de Gennes Joseph L. Goldstein Mary L. Good Harry B. Gray John J. Hopfield

F. Clark Howell Paul A. Marks Yasutomi Nishizuka Helen M. Ranney Bengt Samuelsson Robert M. Solow Edward C. Stone James D. Watson Richard N. Zare

# **EDITORIAL**

# The Time Traveler

Science. Dr. Noitall, you are one of the great inventors of all time—the man who discovered the antigravity device, the man who devised the engine that gets 50 miles per gallon of vinegar, the man who can converse with other galaxies.

Noitall. A vast understatement of my true worth.

*Science.* What new inventions have you made recently?

Noitall. A new time machine that allows me to transport people back to any previous era. Many people say, "I'd like to go back to the good old days, like the 1800's."

Science. Fantastic. How does it work?

Noitall. Top secret—but based on the principle that if you go westward with the speed of light, you keep turning back the clock until you return before you've started.

Science. And what success have you obtained so far?

Noitall. The device is a fantastic success, but no tourists want to use it.

Science. What are you trying to achieve?

Noitall. I am trying to get volunteers to go back to a previous era when life was without current problems—no pollution, no overcrowding, no pesticides, no smog.

Science. And why no volunteers?

Noitall. As you know, I am a man hampered by my strict integrity. I would sacrifice my mother-in-law rather than tell a lie.

Science. And what truth must you tell that ruins your offer to potential volunteers?

Noitall. I have to explain that they must accept all of the previous era—the open spaces, the lack of automobile pollution, and so on, but also the absence of anesthesia, the absence of wonder drugs, a life expectancy of 44 years instead of 74, one-third of all women dying in childbirth, no television, no frozen foods....

Science. But what about those "science is ruining the world" types? They should be anxious to get back to the golden days of the past.

Noitall. That's what has hurt me the most. They apparently haven't understood what they are saying. Given the chance to go back in time, they prefer the here and now. I even plead that the ozone hole was much smaller then.

Science. What about a less drastic offer—just a few years back?

Noitall. They won't even go back a few years. They cite recent inventions like portable telephones, jet travel, microsurgery, better computers, and more efficient cars. Even a few years ago, we lived less well than we do now.

Science. So you have made an invention no one wants to use.

Noitall. It's a shame. Fortunately, I have one hope: a new regulatory law that will require everyone to spend some time in the 18th century.

Science. Why would Congress ever pass a law like that?

Noitall. We will call it an entitlement. Congress will never fail to enact an entitlement. Free travel at government expense is certain to be popular.

Science. And will that cure the "science is awful" crowd?

Noitall. Of course not. The gene for unbridled dedication to a lost cause will always overwhelm the pure logic genes.

Science. But requiring people to live in the 18th century just to prove a point seems too expensive. Can you make them satisfied in any other way?

Noitall. In fact, I am working on some very good computer-designed drugs. One called "factsium" would give you a great drug-induced "high" every time you absorbed an unpleasant fact. If you can't travel to cure your delusions, drug-induced hallucinations may be the

Science. Would people get "hooked on facts" and become drug abuse victims?

Noitall. We are aware of that potential problem. We have a corrective drug that we call the "forest from the treesium." We prescribe it for poor souls who can't control their fascination for isolated facts.

Daniel E. Koshland Jr.