T. J. Teyler and P. Discenna, Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 131 (1987); R. G. M. Morris, S. Davis, S. P. Butcher, Philos. Trans. R. Soc, London Ser. B 329, 187 (1990); B. L. McNaughton and R. G. M. Morris, Trends Neurosci. 10, 408 (1987); P. W. Landfield and S. A. Deadwyler, Eds., Long-Term Potentiation: From Biophysics to Behavior. (A. R. Liss, New York, 1987).

- 2. B. L. McNaughton, R. M. Douglas, G. V. Goddard, Brain Res. 157, 277 (1978).
- 3 Coactivity (simultaneous or near-simultaneous activity)-dependent synaptic change was proposed as a basis for memory by D. O. Hebb [The Organization of Behavior (Wiley, New York, 1949)]. Since then, Hebbian synaptic plasticity has been identified in the mammalian central nervous system (2), and conditioning studies indicate that responsiveness of single cells can be altered selectively by repeated stimulus pairings [C. D. Woody and J. Engel Jr., J. Neurophys-iol. **35**, 230 (1972)]. Such changes can be dependent on behavioral state [E. Ahissar et al., Science 257, 1412 (1992)]. This dependence suggests that persistent, rapidly induced changes in functional connectivity between hippocampal cells might be induced through coactivity of these cells during behavior
- M. A. Wilson and B. L. McNaughton, *Science* 261, 1055 (1993).
- 5. C. Pavlides and J. Winson, *J. Neurosci.* 9, 2907 (1989).
- D. Marr, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B 262, 23 (1971); D. J. Amit and A. Treves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86, 7871 (1989); B. L. Mo-Naughton and L. Nadel, in Neuroscience and Connectionist Theory, M. A. Gluck and D. Rummelhart, Eds. (Lawrence Earlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, 1990); A. Treves and E. T. Rolls, Network 2, 371 (1991); W. G. Gibson and J. Robinson, Neural Networks 5, 645 (1992).
- 7. In one task, the rat searched steadily within an enclosed box (62 by 62 cm; rats 1 and 3) for a randomly scattered food reward. In the second (spatial working-memory) task, an elongated, X-shaped, four-arm track (167 by 25 cm; rat 2) was used with two adjacent arms designated as start and the opposite two arms designated as goals. The correct goal arm was a function of the randomly selected start arm. Animals were male Fisher 344 rats, approximately 300 g and 9 months of age. All surgical procedures were carried out according to NIH guidelines.
- Both principal cells (excitatory pyramidal cells) and inhibitory interneurons were recorded during these sessions. Interneurons, identified on the basis of wave shape, firing rate, and spike interval characteristics, were not included in the study. Cross-correlations were normalized by spike counts [D. H. Perkel, G. L. Gerstein, G. P. Moore, *Biophys. J.* 7, 419 (1967); G. L. Gerstein and D. H. Perkel, *Science* 164, 828 (1969)].
- Hippocampal EEGs from eight of the unit recording sites were continuously monitored. Sleep phases were predominantly characterized by intermittent SPW and ripple activity [J. B. Ranck Jr., Exp. Neurol. 41, 461 (1973); J. O'Keefe and L. Nadel. The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1978), pp. 150–153; G. Buzsáki, Brain Res. 398, 242 (1986)] with population bursts of variable duration (100- to 300-Hz band EEG, mean duration 74 m, mean inter-burst interval 1.7 s) with little or no REM sleep. The behavioral phase was dominated by theta activity (6- to 9-Hz modulation of EEG and unit discharge), which has been correlated with locomotion [C. H. Vanderwolf, Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 26, 407 (1969)].
- 10. Hippocampal neurons exhibit robust selectivity for spatial location. The preferred location for a given cell is called its "place field" (J. O'Keefe and J. Dostrovsky, *Brain Res.* 34, 171 (1971)]. For each cell pair in which both members exhibited significant spatially related firing in the apparatus, the distance between the locations of their peak firing was used as a measure of overlap (Fig. 1). The criterion for overlap was a distance between peaks of < 16 cm</p>

(approximately the diameter of an average place field). To eliminate any possibility of spurious overlap due to incomplete isolation of single units on a given probe, cell pairs taken from the same probe were eliminated from the analysis. The mean firing rates of cells in coactive cell pairs were the same as those for non-coactive pairs. Thus, the increased correlations were not due to firing rates per se.

- 11. Figure 2 reveals a tendency for pairs that were negatively correlated (not overlapping) during behavior (see animals 1 and 2 in Fig. 3) to result in reduced correlation during the POST phase. This effect was small and statistically significant only for rat 1.
- 12. The time constant for decay of correlation was estimated by dividing the initial 10 min of the POST sleep phase into two 5-min periods and computing the mean correlation for overlapping cell pairs in each period. A third point obtained from the mean correlation of the PRE phase was assumed to be the asymptotic base line for the decay. The mean time constant was determined by the fitting of a single exponential to these three points. Rat 1 latency to sleep onset was 20 min, sleep duration was 18 min, and the time constant estimate was 15 min. Rat 2 latency was 10 min, duration was 20 min, and the time constant was 9 min. Rat 3 latency was 8 min, duration was 10 min, and the time constant was 13 min.
- 13. B. L. McNaughton, in The Neurobiology of the

Hippocampus, W. Seifert, Ed. (Academic Press, London, 1983), pp. 609–610; G. Buzsaki, *Neuro*science **31**, 551 (1989).

- 14. For rat 1, cell overlap correlation during ripples was 0.017 ± 0.003 SEM versus 0.005 ± 0.003 (P < 0.01) for non-ripples. For rat 2, correlation was 0.069 ± 0.008 for ripples and 0.011 ± 0.004 (P < 0.01) for non-ripples. For rat 3, appropriate EEG information was not available.
- 15. J. J. Chrobak and G. Buzsáki, *J. Neurosci.*, in press.
- B. J. Leonard, B. L. McNaughton, C. A. Barnes, Brain Res. 425, 174 (1987).
 J. J. Kim and M. S. Fanselow, Science 256, 675
- J. Kim and M. S. Fanselow, *Science* 256, 675 (1992); J. L. McClelland, B. L. McNaughton, R. O'Reilly, *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* 18, 1216 (1992); J. L. McGaugh and M. J. Hertz, *Memory Consolidation* (Albion, San Francisco, 1972); L. R. Squire, N. J. Cohen, L. Nadel, in *Memory Consolidation*, H. Weingartner and E. Parker, Eds. (Earlbaum Press, Hillsdale, NJ, 1984), p. 185.
- 18. We thank C. A. Barnes, L. Nadel, and J. L. McClelland for helpful comments on the manuscript. Supported by grant MH46823 from the National Institute of Mental Health (B.L.M.), the Office of Naval Research (B.L.M.), NSF grant 901449 (M.A.W.), and the McDonnell-Pew Cognitive Neuroscience Program.

14 February 1994; accepted 9 May 1994

Dependence on REM Sleep of Overnight Improvement of a Perceptual Skill

Avi Karni,* David Tanne, Barton S. Rubenstein, Jean J. M. Askenasy, Dov Sagi

Several paradigms of perceptual learning suggest that practice can trigger long-term, experience-dependent changes in the adult visual system of humans. As shown here, performance of a basic visual discrimination task improved after a normal night's sleep. Selective disruption of rapid eye movement (REM) sleep resulted in no performance gain during a comparable sleep interval, although non-REM slow-wave sleep disruption did not affect improvement. On the other hand, deprivation of REM sleep had no detrimental effects on the performance of a similar, but previously learned, task. These results indicate that a process of human memory consolidation, active during sleep, is strongly dependent on REM sleep.

Perceptual learning—the improvement of perceptual skills through practice—is a type of human learning that may serve as a paradigm for the acquisition and retention of procedural knowledge, "habits," or "how to" memories (1). Recent results suggest that when observers practice a simple texture discrimination task the large and consistent improvements that occur over the course of several consecutive daily sessions

B. S. Rubenstein and D. Sagi, Department of Neurobiology, Brain Research, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at Laboratory of Neuropsychology, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 29 JULY 1994

are subserved by discrete changes dependent on retinal input and within an early stage in the stream of visual processing (2). Psychophysical data implicate neuronal mechanisms of figure-ground segmentation at a stage in the processing pathway as early as the primary visual cortex in mediating (by becoming more efficient and faster) the learning of this basic visual skill (2, 3). These results, as well as results from several other perceptual learning paradigms (4), suggest that different levels of visual processing may, under specific retinal input and task-defined conditions, undergo longterm, experience-dependent changes (functional plasticity) (5).

Recently, we and others have found that an improvement in perceptual performance occurs neither during nor immediately after practice but rather 8 to 10 hours after a training session has ended, suggesting a slow, latent process of learning (6). As the improved visual skills were not forgotten even

A. Karni, Department of Neurobiology, Brain Research, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel, and Department of Neurology and Oscar Sager Sleep Research Lab, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer 52621, Israel.

D. Tanne and J. J. M. Askenasy, Department of Neurology and Oscar Sager Sleep Research Lab, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer 52621, Israel.

after an interval of several years, we have proposed that this time course may reflect an active, time-consuming process underlying the consolidation of experience-dependent plasticity within the adult visual cortex. Our study here was designed to investigate the possibility that processes subserving the consolidation of human skills can be supported by mechanisms active during normal sleep. Because normal sleep (unlike the waking state) is parsed into several discrete stages, each with unique neurochemical and electrophysiological characteristics (7), the functional contributions of these brain states to the acquisition of procedural knowledge could be determined.

Six young adults (three females and three males, 17 to 22 years old) with normal or corrected to normal vision and no history of neurological or chronic illness took part in these experiments. Their task was to identify the shape of a small target texture composed of three diagonal line elements that differed only in orientation from a background texture of otherwise identical elements (Fig. 1A). Psychophysical measurements were made both before (initial training session) and after an interval that included either normal sleep or sleep disrupted at a specific sleep stage. An important property of our paradigm, a specificity of the learning for visual field location and background element orientation (2), enabled us to design within-subject comparisons across different sleep conditions, as well as to assess the differential effects of sleep-stage deprivation on performance on a novel stimulus configuration (learning) compared to that on a wellpracticed one (control). The latter provided an independent measurement of visual discrimination performance to control for factors such as diurnal variation, stress, and fatigue (8), which would presumably affect performance on a previously learned, as well as on a new, configuration.

The initial night of each study phase was spent at the sleep laboratory with no recording or interference (night 1). This was followed by two consecutive nights of baseline recording during normal sleep (nights 2 and 3) and then by either one or two consecutive nights of deprivation of selected sleep stages when either rapid eye movement (REM) or slow wave (SW) sleep (stages 3 and 4) was systematically disrupted (night 4, or nights 4 and 5 of the study). These in turn were followed by one or two nights of recovery (rebound). Figure 1C depicts the course of one study phase during which REM sleep was disrupted. This was repeated after an interval of at least 1 week (mean = 6 weeks), and the complementary target sleep stage was disrupted. Selective deprivation of selected sleep stages was effected by forced arousal (through the ringing of an electric bell) after an epoch of the

Fig. 1. (A) An example test stimulus with a small vertical target texture (three diagonal bars in the right lower quadrant of the display) embedded within a background of horizontal elements. A small rotated letter (either T or L) at the center served as the fixation target. The target texture's position was varied randomly from trial to trial but was always within a specific display guadrant and at 2.5° to 5° eccentricity from the center of the display. (B) Mask patterns made of randomly oriented V-shaped micropatterns, with a superimposed T and L micropattern in the center as the fixation letter's mask (24). (C) The sequence of events within a study phase (pilot study). Standard poly-



somnographic tracings of two-channel electroencephalograms [electrodes at T3-A2 and T4-A1 (10–20 international system)], three-channel electrooculogram monitoring, and electromyograms (surface electrodes under the chin) were recorded on four to six consecutive nights. Shading represents periods of sleep. Psychophysical testing (practice) sessions were administered twice each day at 9 to 10 p.m. and 7 to 8 a.m. (vertical lines). Representative data from a single participant are shown. Each data point corresponds to the threshold SOA (80% correct discrimination) interpolated from the psychometric curve for the corresponding session. Performance on several stimulus configurations was measured within each session. Filled triangles, learning across a normal night's sleep and during the following day (target in lower right field); filled squares, performance in a new visual quadrant, before and after an interval of REM-disrupted sleep and across the following day and night (target in lower left field); empty circles, performance orns a normal night verbund) sleep (target in upper left field); empty circles, performance orns a control, previously well trained, stimulus configuration (target in lower right field, but the orientation of the background elements was flipped to vertical).

relevant sleep stage was recorded. Although this procedure allowed adherence to sleep stage scoring criteria (9), several epochs of the target sleep stage were of necessity included. Sleep stage scoring was done in real time. The recordings were later rescored independently. Two observers completed just one phase of the study (NK, REM sleep deprivation, and IN, SW sleep deprivation).

Both REM and SW sleep deprivation required 20 to 60 arousals and awakenings, with test participants repeatedly reverting to the disrupted stage (Fig. 2). However, deprivation was quite effective, with REM (a total of seven nights) and SW (a total of six nights) sleep decreasing to $5.7 \pm 1.6\%$ (mean \pm SD) and 8.1 \pm 3.1% of total sleep time (corresponding to 19 ± 6 min in REM sleep and 30 ± 12 min in SW sleep) in the respective deprivation conditions. The difference between time spent in the two target stages during the respective deprivation nights was not significant (t test, P =0.16). The mean length of uninterrupted REM or SW sleep was 30 s (a single epoch). Also, the difference between time spent in the awake state in each deprivation condition was not statistically significant (t test, P = 0.27), and the numbers of arousals and awakenings during the REM and the SW sleep deprivations were comparable (t test, P = 0.34) (10).

mal sleep, with a performance gain of 23 \pm 4 ms (mean \pm SD) [with thresholds decreasing from 97 \pm 18 ms to 74 \pm 16 ms (paired t test, P < 0.001)], but no improvement occurred during a comparable interval with REM-disrupted sleep [performance gain of 0 ± 6 ms (mean \pm SD), with thresholds changing from 85 ± 13 ms to 85 \pm 18 ms (paired t test, P = 0.42)] (11). At the same time, performance on a previously learned stimulus configuration was unaffected by REM sleep deprivation. In contrast, significant improvements occurred for all observers after an interval of SW-deprived sleep [performance gain of 19 ± 4 ms (mean \pm SD), with thresholds decreasing from 84 \pm 13 ms to 66 \pm 12 ms (paired t test, P < 0.001)]. Perceptual learning during the REM sleep deprivation condition compared to the gain during SW sleep deprivation was significantly less [F(1,12) = 37.009, P]< 0.001]. On the other hand, compared to the REM sleep deprivation condition there was a small but significant detrimental effect of SW sleep deprivation on the already learned (control) task |F(1,12)| = 17.896, P = 0.001]. This dissociation suggests that REM deprivation affected the consolidation of the recent perceptual experience, but not perceptual performance by itself, making it

Learning, however, was found to be

strongly dependent on the type of sleep

(Fig. 3). Improvement occurred during nor-

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 29 JULY 1994

Reports

Fig. 2. Percent of total sleep time (TS time) spent in the various sleep stages during normal, REM deprivation, and SW deprivation sleep intervals. The total time in bed (polysomnographic recording time) was 415 ± 29 min (mean ± SD, 38 nights) for the six test participants shown. S1, sleep stage 1; S2, sleep stage 2; SW, sleep stages 3 and 4; % in W, percent of time in bed spent in the awake state: No. of W. number of forced arousals and awakenings during the sleep interval; >5 min, number of episodes of more than 5 min spent in the awake state. No participants had more than 1.5 min in SW stage 4 sleep during the SW deprivation phase. Two participants (IA and NK) underwent two consecutive nights of



disruption of specific sleep stages (nights 4 and 5) at one or both experimental phases.



Fig. 3. Performance gain (in terms of threshold SOA) across the sleep intervals. (A) Performance gains on a novel stimulus configuration, first presented on the evening session before the sleep interval. (B) Performance on a previously well-practiced stimulus configuration (control task). Participant IA was tested during the first night of the REM deprivation phase on two novel stimulus configurations (that is, two independent measurements).

less likely that the effects we observed were nonspecific consequences of disturbed sleep.

Though it has long been hypothesized that memory-related processing, specifically the consolidation of long-term memory, may occur during REM sleep, early experiments designed to demonstrate this for human learning have provided equivocal results. Supporting evidence has come mainly from the work of Empson and colleagues (12). Others, however, have found no beneficial mnemonic effects related to REM sleep (13) [for recent reviews, see (14, 15)]. One reason for these conflicting results may have been the choice of learning paradigm. Previous studies were concerned with the effects of different sleep stages on the reten-

tion of material memorized before sleep (that is, the differential effects of selectively disrupted sleep on the rate of forgetting). Here, we examined how the evolving, time-dependent learning (that is, improvement, not loss) of a simple skill proceeds across both normal and deprived sleep. Thus, two factors may be critical for our results: (i) the fact that a nondeclarative memory system was probed [though it has been suggested that REM sleep may be important for the post-sleep recall of semantically well-integrated materials (12, 14, 15)] and (ii) the time course of perceptual learning-the finding that people perform much better on a later session than during, or even up to several hours after, the initial one-have provided a more direct measure of the consolidation process.

Our findings suggest that a mnemonic process occurs during normal sleep in the adult brain and that this process is critically dependent on the integrity of REM sleep (16). These results are consistent with several paradigms of animal learning in which postlearning REM sleep deprivation has impaired the acquisition and long-term retention of both perceptual and motor "habits" (14, 17). Two lines of evidence converge to suggest constraints on possible neuronal substrates that may underlie the learning of perceptual skills during sleep. (i) REM sleep has been shown to be strongly related to cholinergic activity (18). Cholinergic stimulation of the brainstem can elicit a state that is behaviorally and polygraphically indistinguishable from physiological REM sleep. Furthermore, desynchronized electroencephalogram activity (REM sleep as well as the waking state) is correlated with increased amounts of acetylcholine (ACh) in the neocortex, whereas REM deprivation is related to a reduction in the amount of ACh (19). (ii) Recent studies have demonstrated that a cholinergic input is

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 29 JULY 1994

a necessary requirement for the evolution of experience-dependent plasticity within the adult sensory cortex (20). Thus, a strong cholinergic input may be a critical factor for processes underlying the consolidation of some types of memory. As texture discrimination learning is determined by the specific retinal input presented during the pre-sleep practice session, the role of REM sleep may reside in providing a critical milieu (21) for the transformation of the activity-dependent neural change, presumably initiated during the pre-sleep session, into a more efficient and stable (consolidated) modification. A possible mechanism for such a process at the cellular level, suggested by Bear and Singer (22), may be for example the ACh-dependent phosphorylation of proteins involved in the longterm, structural modification of synaptic transmission.

We have previously shown that consolidation occurs during the waking state (6). Though parsimony would suggest a common process at the cellular level, the question whether the mnemonic process of REM sleep is qualitatively different from the waking state consolidation process remains open. Also open for empirical determination is the intriguing suggestion, raised by Smith and Butler, of specific, spaced REM "windows" occurring after the training session, when consolidation processes are presumably active (23). Finally, assuming that a limited repertoire of neuronal mechanisms underlies memory consolidation throughout the mammalian cortex, we conjecture that our results may be generalized to other types of human skill learning (for example, motor skill learning) and perhaps to the formation of some types of long-term association memory.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- M. Mishkin, B. Malamut, J. Bachevalier, in *The Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, G. Lynch, J. L. McGaugh, N. M. Weinberger, Eds. (Guilford Press, New York, 1984), pp. 64–88; L. R. Squire, *Memory and Brain* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1987), pp. 164–169.
- A. Karni and D. Sagi, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88, 4966 (1991).
- _____, in Maturational Windows and Adult Cortical Plasticity, I. Kov'acs and B. Julesz, Eds. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994); A. Karni, thesis, Weizmann Institute of Science (1992).
- K. Ball and R. Sekuler, *Vision Res.* 27, 935 (1987);
 A. Fiorentini and N. Berardi, *ibid.* 21, 1149 (1981);
 T. Poggio *et al.*, *Science* 256, 1018 (1992).
- 5. This classical Hebbian view of learning [M. Mishkin and D. G. Forgays, J. Exp. Psychol. 43, 43 (1952)] can be accounted for by a minimal level hypothesis (3), which conjectures that practice-dependent plasticity would occur at the earliest level within the visual processing stream where the minimally sufficient single unit response properties (or the responses of a local neuronal assembly) are available for explicitly representing stimulus parameters relevant for the performance of a specific task (local texture gradients in the task here). See also M. Ahissar and S. Hochsthein, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 90, 5718 (1993); A. Karni and D. Sagi, Invest. Ophthalmol.

Visual Sci. 31, 562 (1990); L. P. Shiu and H. Pashler, Percept. Psychophys. 52, 282 (1992).

- A. Karni and D. Sagi, Nature 365, 250 (1993). A similar time course has been recently shown for learning a contrast detection task [U. Polat and D. Sagi, in Maturational Windows and Adult Cortical Plasticity, I. Kov'acs and B. Julesz, Eds. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994)] and for a stereo fusion task (I. Kov'acs and B. Julesz, personal communication).
- 7. J. A. Hobson, J. Neurosci. 10, 371 (1990).
- Previous studies have shown that the selective disruption of REM or SW sleep, for one or two consecutive nights, did not induce a differential effect on performance on a variety of vigilance tasks (motor and perceptual) [H. Agnew, W. B. Webb, R. L. Williams, *Percept. Mot. Skills* 24, 851 (1967); J. M. Moses, L. C. Johnson, P. Naitoh, A. Lubin, *Psychophysiology* 12, 141 (1975)]. However, test participants felt less refreshed and more fatigued after SW sleep deprivation.
- A. Rechtshaffen and A. Kales, A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Scoring Stages of Human Subjects (Brain Information Service, Los Angeles, 1968).
- 10. Compared to their sleep on control nights, test participants had slightly less sleep during the deprivation nights, but the difference between the two deprivation conditions was not significant. This is accounted for by compensatory increases in the sleep stages that were not disrupted (8).
- 11. NK, the only test participant to show some improvement across the REM deprivation interval (on his second deprivation night), had spent more than 5 hours of the interval in the awake state and more than 25% of his total sleep time in stage 1 sleep (see Figs. 2 and 3). Thus, the improvement may have resulted from the waking state consolidation process.
- J. A. C. Empson and P. R. F. Clarke, *Nature* 227, 287 (1970); A. J. Tilley and J. A. C. Empson, *Biol. Psychol.* 6, 293 (1978).
- V. Casteldo *et al.*, *Percept. Mot. Skills* **39**, 1023 (1974); D. A. Chernik, *ibid.* **34**, 283 (1972); M. J. Fowler *et al.*, *Science* **179**, 302 (1973).
- K. Dujardin, A. Guerrien, P. Leconte, *Physiol. Behav.* 47, 1271 (1990).
- 15. J. A. Horne and M. J. McGrath, *Biol. Psychol.* 18, 165 (1984).
- 16. Whether mnemonic processing during sleep is also dependent on a critical amount of SW sleep (below the reduction achieved here) or on other non-REM stages (such as stage 2) is unresolvable by our method. REM stage sleep may be a necessary but not a sufficient condition for consolidation.
- For reviews, see W. Fishbein and B. M. Gutwein, Behav. Biol. 19, 425 (1977); C. Smith, Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 9, 157 (1985).
- 18. J. A. Hobson, *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **2**, 759 (1992).
- 19. H. H. Jasper and J. Tessier, *Science* 172, 601 (1971).
- S. L. Juliano, W. Ma, D. Elsin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88, 780 (1991).
- R. R. Llinas and D. Pare, *Neuroscience* 44, 521 (1991); J. Winson, *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 3, 243 (1993).
- 22. M. F. Bear and W. Singer, *Nature* **320**, 172 (1986).
- C. Smith and S. Butler, *Physiol. Behav.* 29, 469 (1982).
 Stimulus parameters and procedure were as
- 24. Similar parameters and procedule were as described (*2*, 6). Performance was measured as the percent correct discrimination for progressively shorter time intervals between the briefly presented stimulus and a patterned mask [called the stimulus-to-mask onset asynchrony (SOA)]. For each stimulus configuration, 16 to 24 blocks of 50 trials (stimulus presentations) were run per session (three to five consecutive blocks per SOA). Observers were instructed to fixate a small central cross and then activate the trial sequence, which was as follows: blank screen interval (250 to 300 ms), the stimulus (10 ms), and then a blank screen again until a response was

made through a computer keyboard (no time limit). The observers were required first to identify the letter at fixation and then to decide whether the texture target's shape (alignment of the target elements) was vertical or horizontal (for example, vertical in Fig. 1A). Because stimuli were presented for only 10 ms, no eye movement could displace the stimulus on the retina, ensuring that the target consistently appeared in a specific retinotopic location. A psychometric curve was constructed for each session, from which a threshold SOA for 80% correct discrimination was derived (2, 6).

ination was derived (2, 6).
25. We thank R. Desimone, P. DeWeerd, P. Jezzard, M. Mishkin, J. I. Nelson, and J. Winson for discussions and helpful comments on this manuscript and D. Offenbach for technical assistance. Supported by the Israeli Center for Psychobiology.

25 February 1994; accepted 1 June 1994

Neutrophil and B Cell Expansion in Mice that Lack the Murine IL-8 Receptor Homolog

Grace Cacalano, James Lee, Kristine Kikly, Ann M. Ryan, Sharon Pitts-Meek, Bruce Hultgren, William I. Wood, Mark W. Moore*

Interleukin-8 (IL-8) is a proinflammatory cytokine that specifically attracts and activates human neutrophils. A murine gene with a high degree of homology to the two known human IL-8 receptors was cloned and then deleted from the mouse genome by homologous recombination in embryonic stem (ES) cells. These mice, although outwardly healthy, had lymphadenopathy, resulting from an increase in B cells, and splenomegaly, resulting from an increase in metamyelocytes, band, and mature neutrophils. Thus, this receptor may participate in the expansion and development of neutrophils and B cells. This receptor was the major mediator of neutrophil migration to sites of inflammation and may provide a potential therapeutic target in inflammatory disease.

L-8 is a member of a family of proinflammatory cytokines that are related by a C-X-C motif, where X is any amino acid between two cysteines. IL-8 is a major factor in acute inflammation, being responsible for the activation of neutrophils and their chemotaxis to the site of acute injury (1, 2). Neutrophils destroy bacteria by phagocytosis and the release of superoxides and peroxides, providing the first line of defense in fighting infection; the response is rapid and is neither acquired nor antigen specific (3). Many cells produce IL-8 in vitro, and it has been implicated in neutrophil migration and, to a lesser extent, T-cell migration, to sites of IL-8 injection (4). Neither mouse nor rat IL-8 has been identified (5), but antibodies (Ab) to human IL-8 inhibit lung inflammation in rats (6), which suggests the presence of a similar molecule in rodents.

Two high-affinity human IL-8 receptors have been cloned and characterized (7–9). These receptors share 77% amino acid se-

S. Pitts-Meek and B. Huitgren, Department of Endocrine Research, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 29 JULY 1994

quence identity and are members of the superfamily of seven transmembrane domain receptors that are coupled to GTPbinding proteins. We have cloned a murine homolog of the human IL-8 receptor by screening a mouse genomic library at reduced stringency with complementary DNA (cDNA) probes from both human IL-8 receptors (7, 8). DNA sequencing shows that the mouse receptor is encoded by a single exon (as are the two human receptors) containing a 350-amino acid open reading frame with 68% and 71% amino acid identity with human IL-8 receptors A and B (10). Using several different restriction enzymes and genomic DNA blots hybridized under low-stringency conditions, we found a single cross-hybridizing band (10), suggesting that unlike the human genome, the murine genome contains a single gene for the putative IL-8 receptor. We refer to this gene as the murine IL-8 receptor homolog (mIL-8Rh).

To determine the function of this receptor in inflammation, we used homologous recombination in ES cells to generate a mouse strain lacking this gene. We constructed a gene-targeting vector by deleting the single exon containing the open reading frame of the mIL-8Rh and replacing it with the neomycin resistance gene (*neo*). This ensures the complete elimination of the gene after homologous recombination (Fig. 1A). Of 814 individual ES clones screened by genomic blot hybridization, 7

G. Cacalano, K. Kikly, M. W. Moore, Department of Cell Genetics, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA.

J. Lee and W. I. Wood, Department of Molecular Biology, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA.

A. M. Ryan, Department of Pharmacology, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA. S. Pitts-Meek and B. Hultgren, Department of Endo-