

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell Member Services: Rebecca Dickerson, Manager; Mary Curry, Supervisor; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, Representatives Marketing: Dee Valencia, Manager; Jane Pennington, Europe Manager; Hilary Baar, Associate; Angela Mumeka, Coordinator Research: Renuka Chander, Manager Business and Finance: Jacquelyn Roberts, Manager, Robert Smariga, Assistant Manager Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes Science Member Services Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969; Washington, DC: 202-326-6417 Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Finance: Randy Yi, *Senior Analyst*; Shawn Williams, *Analyst* Marketing: John Meyers, *Manager*; Allison Pritchard,

Marketing: John Meyers, Manager; Allison Pritchal Associate Traffic Manager: Tina Turano

Recruitment: Terri Seiter, Assistant Manager; Dan Moran, Traffic Manager; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, Sales Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

PRODUCT ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Elizabeth Mosko, 312-665-1150, FAX 312-665-2129 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852 RECRUITMENT ADVERTISING SALES: US: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816 • Europe: (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068 • Australia/New Zealand: Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100 Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 37–39 of the 7 January 1994 Issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Internet addresses: science_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews); membership@aaas.org (for member services); science_classifieds@aaas.org (for submitting classified advertisements)

LETTERS

Biological Diversity and Agriculture

In his article "Biological diversity, soils, and economics" (10 Dec. 1993, p. 1676), Michael Huston argues that market forces, if left to operate on their own, would ensure that areas of high plant species richness (his surrogate for biological diversity) would be excluded from agricultural production because they occur on infertile soils. He states (p. 1676) that the "preservation of areas of high plant biodiversity does not require the sacrifice of productive agricultural lands." The implication from an uncritical reading might be that biodiversity conservation and agricultural production are not in conflict. In fact, they are.

Species richness, the number of species per unit area, is a measure of biological diversity, but it does not necessarily follow that high species richness equals high value for biodiversity protection. The potential value of an area to overall plant biodiversity conservation depends on which plant species that area contains, not how many. If an area contains few species and they do not occur elsewhere, it has high value indeed. Emphasis on species-rich areas allows for the possibility of species-poor areas being ignored, even if they contain different species (1).

The pattern of plant species richness Huston describes-poor in areas of very low soil productivity, rich in areas of low and intermediate productivity, and poor again in areas of high productivity-is widely acknowledged to hold at fine scales. However, land use planning decisions, including whether to conserve an area or not, are made at broader scales, where the patterns are quite different. Strong positive correlations between measures of productivity and tree species richness have been demonstrated at broad scales (2.5 degrees latitude \times 2.5 degrees longitude and 72,000 square kilometers) (2). Nations or states within nations represent the real scale of conservation planning. Even if the hump-shaped model Huston describes were to hold, it is likely that, across many of those nations or states, only part of the curve would apply, making it unlikely that the overall relationship would be taken into account in decision-making.

In the real world, market forces only partly determine patterns of agricultural activity. Governments intervene with subsidies, tax incentives, and the like, making the use of marginal lands profitable. For

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 22 JULY 1994

example, cattle ranching in Latin America caused an estimated loss of 20,000 square kilometers of forest per year (presumably mostly on soils of low productivity) in the late 1970s (3).

Huston's definition of agriculture appears to exclude grazing on indigenous pasture. The deserts of the Middle East and North Africa have been greatly extended by thousands of years of grazing. Both the species and structural composition of arid rangelands in Australia have been changed profoundly by less than 200 years of grazing by introduced herbivores (4).

Finally, Huston does not account for human population pressure, which acts to bring marginal agricultural land into production in two ways. (i) Market demand from first world countries can make production from marginal lands economic (as happens in the production of hamburger meat for North America). (ii) Marginal lands may be all that is available to impoverished people. Population pressure, for example, forced farmers of small plots to migrate into Ecuadorian Amazonia, increasing the population there from 45,000 in 1950 to more than 350,000 today (5).

It would be comforting to think that agriculture and the conservation of biological diversity were not in conflict. Scientists, planners, and policy-makers, though, have to face the reality that they are in conflict before real progress can be made.

C. R. Margules K. J. Gaston Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin, Wallostrasse 19, D-14193, Berlin, Germany

References

- C. R. Margules, I. D. Cresswell, A. O. Nicholls, in Systematics and Conservation Evaluation, P. L. Forey, C. J. Humphries, R. I. Vane-Wright, Eds. (Clarendon, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1994), Systematics Association Special Volume No. 50, pp. 372–350.
- J. Zurie and V. Paquin, *Nature* **329**, 326 (1987); J. M. Adams and F. I. Woodward, *ibid.* **339**, 699 (1989); D. J. Currie, *Am. Nat.* **137**, 27 (1991).
- World Conservation Monitoring Centre Staff, Global Biodiversity: Status of the Earth's Living Resources (Chapman Hall, London, 1992).
- S. R. Morton, D. M. Stafford Smith, M. H. Friedel, G. F. Griffin, G. Pickup, *J. Environ. Manage.*, in press.
- 5. N. Myers, Environ. Conserv. 20, 9 (1993).

One significant underappreciated threat to biodiversity is the human conviction that there are many "win-win" solutions promising cost-free resolution to the severe problems that threaten the continued existence of life on Earth. Huston's is one such argument and it rests on a fundamental observation: at a global scale, the tropical belt is underlain by infertile soils that support the highest diversity of vascular plants on Earth. In contrast, the more fertile soils of both the temperate and tropical zones are less species-rich.

Huston's tidy solution works only by oversimplification. First, he states that the "fundamental unit" of biodiversity is the species; he therefore limits biodiversity to alpha species richness, whereas most commonly held definitions include genetic and ecosystem components and incorporate processes as well as composition (1). The goal of representation requires conservation of all ecosystem types and unique assemblages of species in blocks of original habitat sufficiently large to sustain the system. Often biodiversity found on rich soils is endangered. Huston also assumes that plant species diversity is correlated with alpha diversity for other taxa, an assumption questioned by recent work (for example, 2). Huston states that this correlation may not hold, but does not address the problem.

Second, Huston seeks patterns at too coarse a scale for effective conservation planning. Even within subtropical and tropical countries, largely underlain by unproductive soils, substantial heterogeneity in soil fertility exists. Volcanic soils, flood plains, and river deltas are often species-rich and productive sites for agriculture, and they can often be found adjacent to less fertile areas. Huston regards these numerous cases as exceptions to a general pattern, but they point to the inappropriate scale of his analysis. Unfortunately, studies such as Huston's are used by international decision-makers, while conservation action must take place at the level of the local landscape.

Third, soils that are too poor to support commercial agriculture can yield valuable forest products that are harvested to gain foreign currency in developing countries. Just because large-scale agriculture is unsustainable on poor soils does not mean that these areas will be set aside for conservation by governments or ignored by landless farmers. We must recognize that there are no cost-free solutions to maintaining biodiversity and agricultural productivity.

Kent H. Redford The Nature Conservancy, 1815 North Lynn Street, Arlington, VA 22209, USA Eric Dinerstein World Wildlife Fund, 1250 24th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037, USA

References

- U.N. Environmental Programme, Convention on Biological Diversity (United Nations Environmental Law and Institutions Programme Activity Centre, New York, June 1992).
- J. R. Prendergast, R. M. Quinn, J. H. Lawton, B. C.
 Eversham, D. W. Gibbons, *Nature* 365, 335 (1993); R. J. Ranjit Daniels, M. Hegde, N. V. Joshi, M. Gadgil, *Conserv. Biol.* 5, 464 (1991).

Response: I agree that conservation of biological diversity will not be achieved by protecting areas of high species richness alone and have not argued otherwise. Biodiversity is generally considered to have components at scales ranging from genes to continents and to include all taxa, not simply plants, to which my report was limited. Nonetheless, plants are a critical component of biodiversity and provide most of the energy and structural heterogeneity on which terrestrial (and most aquatic and marine) heterotrophs depend (1). In my report, I did not pretend to address all components of biodiversity; I do pretend to address them in my forthcoming book (1). As I stated in my report, areas with high plant diversity do not necessarily have high diversity of all types of animals. Likewise, areas of high diversity do not necessarily contain rare or endemic species. Nonetheless, an understanding of how diversity is related to physical properties of the environment, and how different components of diversity are related to one another, should provide a better basis for conservation planning than would a misunderstanding of these issues.

Margules and Gaston cite papers in which large-scale patterns of the numbers of plant and animal species were found to correlate positively with "energy." The data on species richness for these taxa are based on published range maps. The data on "environmental energy" or "productivity" are based on patterns of solar radiation and estimated actual evapotranspiration (AET), which under some conditions have been shown to be correlated with plant productivity. However, the large scale at which these estimates were made [and the fact that there has been no verification of the regressions for the regions to which they were applied, or for the scales at which they were applied (that is, actual measures of productivity)], makes the hypothesized positive relation between cause (productivity) and effect (diversity) highly suspect. Many important environmental factors that are correlated with AET vary across the study areas (Europe and North America), and the degree of environmental heterogeneity (specifically, variation in AET or actual measures of productivity) within the large areas for which estimates were made is not addressed. Furthermore, in the New World these patterns break down south of the southern limit of the published studies, which is the United States/Mexican border; thus they do not apply in the region where biodiversity is highest.

SCIENCE • VOL. 265 • 22 JULY 1994

Income from grazing is included in the agricultural land values I used in my report. Grazing can lead to an increase, decrease, or maintenance of plant species diversity. Appropriate grazing may be compatible with preserving diversity in some types of grasslands, although arid rangelands with no evolutionary history of grazing may be particularly susceptible to degradation by introduced grazers. While grazing does seem to lead to desertification under some circumstances, many fluctuations in desert area are the consequence of climatic variations.

Redford and Dinerstein criticize me for using "too coarse a scale for effective conservation planning." I believe this criticism is directed at my use of the world soil map to address global-scale patterns of agricultural productivity and biodiversity. I also presented data at the scale of square meters, 0.1 hectare, 1.0 hectare; proportional land use within states in the United States; and proportional land use within countries of the world. Available data indicate that diversity patterns closely correspond to the scales of soil heterogeneity that Redford and Dinerstein mention (and which I discuss in my report), particularly the local scale at which conservation and land-use decisions are actually made. The figures in my report demonstrated that both within the United States and among the countries of the world, the potential agricultural value of land is related to the amount of land set aside for conservation and other public uses.

The problem in many tropical forests is that forest conservation laws are ignored or poorly enforced, corruption often determines the sale and rate of destruction of timber resources (including those in national parks), and pressure from populations displaced from valuable lands by governments or international corporations results in destruction of forests on marginal lands. Many tropical forest areas are not suitable for sustainable productive agriculture or even plantation forestry. However, forest management schemes have been developed that, if properly implemented, can allow sustainable management of natural forests with maintenance of most biodiversity.

"Subsidies, tax incentives, and the like" (to quote Margules and Gaston) are precisely the sort of subversion of market economics that results in habitat destruction, loss of biodiversity, and unsustainable agriculture. This occurs in South Florida, as well as in Brazil and elsewhere. In an economically rational system where agriculture was only practiced where it was sustainable and profitable, there would be no inherent conflict between conservation of plant diversity and agricultural production.

Many components of biodiversity have been and will continue to be lost. Many will only be preserved at some cost or with some economic subsidy. However, most of the Earth's biodiversity will have to be preserved in coexistence with the growing demands of the human population. Identifying "win-win" solutions and capitalizing on them before both biodiversity and agricultural potential are destroyed should be one of the primary tools for the preservation of biodiversity.

Michael Huston

Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831–6038, USA

References

 M. Huston, Biological Diversity: The Coexistence of Species on Changing Landscapes (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1994).

Omission of References

In our report of 8 April "Molecular nanotube aggregates of β - and γ -cyclodextrins linked by diphenylhexatrienes" (p. 249), we presented our observations of cyclodextrin nanotubes. Earlier reports of a similar phenomenon by R. A. Agberia and D. Gill at Ben Gurion University [J. Phys. Chem. 92, 1052 (1988), and J. Photochem. Photo-

LETTERS

biol. A. Chem. 78, 161 (1994)] have since been brought to our attention. In their work, extended linear aggregates (linear beads) were formed by inclusion of oxadiazole derivatives in γ -cyclodextrin and characterized by fluorescence techniques and light scattering. We regret the omission of these references and appreciate the opportunity to correct it.

> Linda B. McGown Guang Li Department of Chemistry, Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708–0354, USA

Corrections and Clarifications

- A caption for the illustration on page 1694 accompanying Eliot Marshall's article "Highs and lows on the research roller coaster" (Genes and Behavior News Report, 17 June, p. 1693), cited the wrong chromosome as the focus of a 1987 study of manic depression among the Amish; that study focused on chromosome 11 (not 18).
- In the introduction to the Women in Science '94 special section (11 Mar., p. 1467), associate editor Pamela J. Hines should have been credited with planning, inviting, and editing the Policy Forums in that section.

SAVE YOUR COPIES
OF Science
CASES These custom-made, imprinted cases and binders are ideal for protecting your valu- able Science copies from damage.
case holds one volume of <i>Science</i> , or 13 weekly issues
BINDER or cases to hold a complete year of issues. Constructed from reinforced board and covered with durable, leather- like red material and stamped in gold, the cases are V-notched for easy access; binders have a special spring mechanism to hold individual rods which easily snap in.
Cases 1 – \$7.95 2 - \$14.95 4 - \$27.95 Binders 1 - \$9.95 2 - \$18.95 4 - \$35.95
SCIENCE Jesse Jones Industries, Dept. SCE 499 East Erie Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19134 Enclosed is \$forCases; Binders. Add \$1 per case/binder for post- age & handling. Outside USA \$2.50 per case/binder (US funds only). PA residents add 7% sales tax.
Print Name
Address No P.O. Box Numbers Please City
State/Zip
CHARGE ORDERS (Minimum \$15): Am Ex, Visa, MC, DC accepted. Send card name, #, Exp. date. CALL TOLL FREE 7 days, 24 hours 1-800-825-6690 Outgide the LIS call 215-252-6600
SATISFACTION GUARANTEED

MP-85

A classic Huxley type micromanipulator design offering stable, drift free operation for patch clamp and intracellular recordings.

Ultrafine movement has zero backlash.

Ultrafine (Huxley) resolution is 0.2 microns. Coarse travel: X - 37 mm Y - 20 mm Z - 26 mm

Construction is of solid brass with chrome plating. Mounted on a precision stainless steel rotating base featuring a positive stop and lock.



Circle No. 21 on Readers' Service Card

Sophisticated cyclic AMP and cyclic GMP Analogues Available!

- cyclic nucleotide based inhibitors of cAMP-/cGMPdependent protein kinases (cAK/cGK)
- hydrolysis-resistant activators of cAK/cGK for long-term activation experiments
- rapidly metabolizable structures for pulse type activation
- potent activators of cyclic nucleotide dependent ion channels
- analogues with different cGK isozyme selectivity ($I\alpha/\beta$)
- pairs of analogues with opposite site selectivity for preferential activation of either type I or type II of the cAK isozymes
- pairs of synergistic analogues with high activation potential
- analogues with extremely high membrane-permeability
- affinity gels with PDE-stable ligands for cAK, cGK & PDE
- main metabolites of PDE-sensitive structures

Fax:

- common cAMP/cGMP analogues
- fluorescent structures
- reactive intermediates

BIOLOG

- LIFE SCIENCE INSTITUTE -

Forschungslabor und Biochemica - Vertrieb GmbH

Head office: Flughafendamm 9 a, P.O. Box 10 71 25 D-28071 Bremen, Germany Phone: 49 (0) 421 59 13 55 Fax: 49 (0) 421 59 47 71 US / Canada distributor: Ruth Langhorst Int'l. Marketing 7514 Girard Ave. Suite 1-411 La Jolla, CA 92037 Phone: (619) 457-1573

In Japan contact: Wako Pure Chemical Ind., Ltd. Phone: Tokyo: 03-270-8571 Osaka: 06-203-3741

Circle No. 1 on Readers' Service Card

(619) 456-0810

tor e nd

SIMPLE, YET ELEGANT.