SCIENCE

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor-in-Chief: Daniel E. Koshland Jr.

Editor: Ellis Bubinstein

Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences); Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, David Lindley, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss

Associate Editors: Gilbert J. Chin, Pamela J. Hines, Paula A. Kiberstis, Suki Parks, L. Bryan Ray

Letters: Christine Gilbert, Editor; Steven S. Lapham Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Editor; Annette Theuring, Assistant Editor; Susan Randolph, Editorial As-

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Editing: Valerie Jablow, Cara Tate, Senior Copy Editors, Douglas B. Casey, Harry Jach, Erik G. Morris, Christine M.

Copy Desk: Ellen E. Murphy, Supervisor; Joi S. Granger, Beverly Shields, Melissa Q. Rosen, Kameaka Williams,

Editorial Support: Sherryf Farmer, Supervisor; Linda Dienavs, Carolyn Kyle, Michele Listisard, Diane Long,

Administrative Support: Sylvia Kihara, Charlene King,

Telephone: 202-326-6501: FAX: 202-289-7562: TDD: 202-

News Staff

News Editor: Colin Norman

Features Editor: John M. Benditt
Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, Joshua Fischman,

Jean Marx, Jeffrey Mervis

News & Comment/Research News Writers: Christopher Anderson, Linda B. Felaco (copy), Faye Flam, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Rachel Nowak, Robert F. Service, Richard Stone, Lisa Seachrist (intern) U.S. Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (Berkeley), Jon Cohen (San Diego), Anne Simon Moffat (Chicago), John Travis

Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, Ann Gibbons, Virginia Morell, Dennis Normile (Tokyo), Robert Pool, Gary

Administrative Support: Fannie Groom, Jennifer Hodgin Telephone: 202-326-6500; FAX: 202-371-9227; Internet

Address: science_news@aaas.org

Art & Production Staff

Production: James Landry, Director; Wendy K. Shank, Manager; Lizabeth A. Harman, Assistant Manager; Laura A. Creveling, Scherraine B. Mack, Linda C. Owens, Associates

Art: Amy Decker Henry, Director, C. Faber Smith, Associate Director, Katharine Sutliff, Scientific Illustrator, Holly Bishop, Graphics Associate, Elizabeth Carroll, Graphics Assistant, Leslie Blizard, Assistant

Europe Office

Senior Editor: Richard B. Gallagher Associate Editor: Jeffrey Williams News Editor: Daniel Clery Correspondent: Peter Aldhous Editorial Associate: Belinda Holden

Address: Thomas House, George IV Street, Cambridge,

UK CB2 1HH

Telephone: (44) 0223 302067; FAX: (44) 0223 302068

Science Editorial Board

Charles J. Arntzen David Baltimore J. Michael Bishop William F. Brinkman E. Margaret Burbidge Pierre-Gilles de Gennes Joseph L. Goldstein Mary L. Good Harry B. Gray John J. Hopfield

F. Clark Howell Paul A. Marks Yasutomi Nishizuka Helen M. Banney Bengt Samuelsson Robert M. Solow Edward C. Stone James D. Watsor Richard N. Zare

EDITORIAL

Minimizing Wastes

Among the advanced countries, efforts are increasing to reduce wastes and associated pollution. The U.S. National Academy of Engineering (NAE) has sought to bring good judgment to this effort. It has sponsored workshops addressing what it calls "Industrial Ecosystems." It has issued three publications* derived from the workshops that present experiences of a number of engineers in activities aimed at achieving waste reduction. The overall NAE approach is summarized in the following statement: "...the reshaping of industrial systems for environmental and economic success is based on efficient use of materials and energy, substitution of more abundant and environmentally preferable materials for those that are rare or environmentally problematic, reuse and recycling of products and materials, and control of waste and emissions.'

Progress is being made in the reduction of the content of waste streams, but the task is complex. Each of the thousands of industrial materials and consumer items presents a different set of problems. Some are tractable; for example, the recycling of paper products, aluminum, and scrap iron. In these instances the compositions are simple, the volumes to be recycled are large, a market exists, and the economics are favorable. But for other items a variety of impediments exists, such as the fact that the compositions of items are complex, or toxic substances are involved.

About 75% of the weight of automobiles is recycled. When a vehicle reaches a junkyard, useful subcomponents such as batteries, starters, tires, and generators are removed. Then the remainder is crushed to small lumps, and the iron separated for recycling. The remaining 25% (called "fluff") consists of plastics, liquids, and glass and has been sent to waste dumps. Recently, the German government has mandated that auto manufacturers take total responsibility for disposing of their products at the end of the vehicles' useful life. This edict will impact American companies that have sales there. It will ultimately lead to changes in designs that will facilitate disassembly and enhanced recycling of parts.

Components present in computers are numerous and complex. Processes involved in manufacture earlier gave rise to pollution, notably emission of chlorinated hydrocarbons. Teams of engineers have been devising means of minimizing pollution during production and in disposal of outmoded products. In one instance, they found that current technology was environmentally superior to alternatives. Replacement of lead solder by a solder containing either bismuth, indium, or silver was not justified when one considered total environmental impacts, beginning with the mining of the various elements.

In seeking to minimize hazardous wastes, it is sometimes necessary to consider tradeoffs. A new, highly efficient lamp has been created that if widely used would save an enormous amount of energy. The lamp contains mercury. Should use of the lamp be promoted?

To achieve minimization of wastes and environmental contamination in the United States, a combination of improved regulations and economic incentives will be required. At present, the regulatory machinery is not functioning optimally. For example, some of the regulations concerning the transportation and processing of waste discourage the reuse of waste. The result is unnecessary use of virgin material and unnecessary management and disposal of potentially useful material.

One of the workshops brought together engineers from Japan and the United States. In general, the technical problems encountered in the two countries are similar. Both sets of engineers also are affected by government regulations. In contrast to the situation in the United States, the Japanese government and industry work closely together.

As Japan and other countries gain experience and improve their waste management, they may well provide useful lessons for the United States. In turn, technology developed in the United States will be helpful to others. The rate of progress will be enhanced if means are found to improve the economics of recycling and waste minimization.

Philip H. Abelson

*B. R. Allenby and D. J. Richards, Eds., *The Greening of Industrial Ecosystems* (National Academy of Engineering, Washington, DC, 1994); D. J. Richards and A. B. Fullerton, Eds., *Industrial Ecology: U.S.-Japan Perspectives* (NAE, Washington, DC, 1994); D. J. Richards and R. A. Frosch, Eds., Corporate Environmental Practices, Climb-[†]From Allenby and Richards, page v. ing the Learning Curve (NAE, Washington, DC, 1994).