

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor-in-Chief: Daniel E. Koshland Jr. Editor: Ellis Bubinstein

Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Ap-plied Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences); Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, David Lindley, Linda J. Miller,

Associate Editors: Gilbert J. Chin, Pamela J. Hines, Paula A. Kiberstis, Suki Parks, L. Bryan Ray

Letters: Christine Gilbert, Editor; Steven S. Lapham Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Editor: Annette Theuring, Assistant Editor; Susan Randolph, Editorial Ascictant

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Editing: Valerie Jablow, Cara Tate, *Senior Copy Editors;* Douglas B. Casey, Harry Jach, Erik G. Morris, Christine M. Pearce

Copy Desk: Ellen E. Murphy, Supervisor; Linda B. Felaco, Joi S. Granger, Beverly Shields, Melissa Q. Rosen, Kameaka Williams, Assistant

Editorial Support: Sherryf Farmer, Supervisor; Linda Dienavs, Carolyn Kyle, Michele Listisard, Diane Long, Patricia M. Moore

Administrative Support: Sylvia Kihara, Charlene King,

Jeanette Prastein Telephone: 202-326-6501; FAX: 202-289-7562; TDD: 202-408-7770

News Staff

News Editor: Colin Norman

Features Editor: John M. Benditt Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, Joshua Fischman, Jean Marx, Jeffrey Mervis

News & Comment/Research News Writers: Christopher Anderson, Faye Flam, Troy Gately, *copy*, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Rachel Nowak, Robert F.

Service, Richard Stone, Lisa Seachrist (intern) U.S. Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (Berkeley), Jon Cohen (San Diego), Anne Simon Moffat (Chicago), John Travis (Boston)

Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, Ann Gibbons, Virginia Morell, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Gary Taubes, M. Mitchell Waldrop

Administrative Support: Fannie Groom, Jennifer Hodgin Telephone: 202-326-6500; FAX: 202-371-9227; Internet Address: science_news@aaas.org

Art & Production Staff

Production: James Landry, Director; Wendy K. Shank, Manager; Lizabeth A. Harman, Assistant Manager; Laura A. Creveling, Scherraine B. Mack, Linda C. Owens, Associates

Art: Amy Decker Henry, Director; C. Faber Smith, Associate Director; Katharine Sutliff, Scientific Illustrator; Holly Bishop, Graphics Associate; Elizabeth Carroll, Graphics Assistant, Leslie Blizard, Assistant

Europe Office

Senior Editor: Richard B. Gallagher Associate Editor: Jeffrey Williams News Editor: Daniel Clery Correspondent: Peter Aldhous Editorial Associate: Belinda Holden Address: Thomas House, George IV Street, Cambridge, UK CB2 1HH Telephone: (44) 0223 302067; FAX: (44) 0223 302068

Science Editorial Board

Charles J. Arntzen David Baltimore J. Michael Bishop William F. Brinkman E. Margaret Burbidge Pierre-Gilles de Gennes Joseph L. Goldstein Mary L. Good Harry B. Gray John J. Hopfield

F. Clark Howell Paul A. Marks Yasutomi Nishizuka Helen M. Ranney Bengt Samuelsson Robert M. Solow Edward C. Stone James D. Watson Richard N. Zare

EDITORIAL

National Institute for Science and Technology

The National Institute for Science and Technology (NIST)* is enjoying substantially enhanced funding and status at a time when most U.S. research and development (R&D) organizations face uncertain futures. Direct federal appropriations for NIST that were \$246 million in fiscal 1992 are \$520 million this year and are projected to rise to \$935 million in fiscal 1995. NIST is expected to be a key federal factor in facilitating improvements in U.S. global economic competitiveness. Rationale for showering funds on NIST includes its special culture in which cooperation with many branches of industry has long been a way of life.

Prior to 1988 NIST was known as the National Bureau of Standards (NBS). Research to create precise weights and measures and distribution of thousands of calibrated samples provided benefits to many companies. The NBS maintained an excellent worldwide reputation. However, during much of the Cold War its budget was static. Direct appropriations were about \$200 million a year in 1990 dollars. Many of the staff of 3000 found it necessary to seek and obtain funds from other government agencies such as the Department of Defense. The change in NBS's fortunes began in 1988. Congress perceived that the United States was lagging in global competition. It passed legislation changing the name to NIST and mandating an emphasis on improving U.S. technology.

New programs were initiated at NIST. They included a Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) and an Advanced Technology Program (ATP). These initiatives were well-chosen mechanisms for responding to the needs of the times. Plans for them were carefully made and then they were launched on a pilot scale. The MEP responds to a national need to upgrade the capabilities of hundreds of thousands of small manufacturing companies. Many of them need guidance in utilizing computer-based manufacturing and other advances in technology. By 1992 seven Manufacturing Technology Centers were established. These are located in areas with relatively high concentrations of industrial firms. They are managed by local sponsors and draw on expertise from a wide variety of sources, including universities. They are partially supported by federal funds channeled through NIST. They have already established a record of effectiveness. Their number is being increased.

The ATP began in 1990 with an initial \$10-million allotment. The Clinton Administration has expanded support and has stated an intention to recommend that the annual appropriation be \$750 million in fiscal 1997. The broad objective of the ATP is to promote rapid commercialization of high-risk technologies. ATP relies on industry to suggest, define, and implement R&D programs having potential substantial long-term economic impacts. A large number of companies apply to participate. Selection criteria used by NIST are designed to identify recipients who have excellent R&D plans and a vision of how success in them would be translated into competitive marketable products. The companies also must furnish half of the funds to implement R&D programs which are to be conducted in their facilities. Once they receive awards, their progress is monitored. Awards to individual companies are limited to \$2 million over 3 years. Awards to members of joint ventures can be for up to 5 years. Past awards have been made in a broad spectrum of technologies, including agriculture, biotechnology, microelectronics, machine tools, and information technology.

Latest awards, made 25 April, involve a 5-year government investment of \$745 million in five new R&D programs. The ATP had received more than 550 project proposals since last October. Based on ideas in more than 150 of the proposals, the five new program areas were selected. These included Tools for DNA Diagnostics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing for Electronics.

With only a tiny fraction of the U.S. R&D budget, NIST is expected to perform the next thing to miracles. Although participation in and management of new programs will require extensive attention, there will be only a small increase in total staff. Some personnel will be diverted from basic research to projects closely related to technology. The ongoing research program at NIST will receive support for improved facilities.

NIST is the focal point of a major experiment in government-industry collaboration. Staff members who have central roles have high morale and the thrill of participating in an important mission.

Philip H. Abelson

SCIENCE • VOL. 264 • 20 MAY 1994

^{*}For further information about NIST call 301-975-3058.