

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella
Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell
Member Services: Rebecca Dickerson, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Representatives*
Marketing: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Jane Pennington, *Europe Manager*; Hilary Baar, *Associate*; Angela Mumeka, *Coordinator*
Business and Finance: Jacquelyn Roberts, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant Manager*
Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes
Science Member Services
Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;
Washington, DC: 202-326-6417
Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner
Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith
Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley
Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold
Finance: Randy Yi, *Senior Analyst*; Shawn Williams, *Analyst*
Marketing: John Meyers, *Manager*; Allison Pritchard, *Associate*
Traffic Manager: Tina Turano
Recruitment: Terri Seiter, *Assistant Manager*; Dan Moran, *Traffic Manager*; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, *Sales*
Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris
Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis
Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Elizabeth Mosko, 312-665-1150, FAX 312-665-2129 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852
Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816
European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068
Australia/New Zealand Recruitment: Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100
Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 37–39 of the 7 January 1994 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Internet addresses: science_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews)

LETTERS

Livermore Lab Head

Ellis Rubinstein, in his 8 April article describing the events surrounding the resignation of John Nuckolls as director of the Livermore Laboratory (News, p. 195), refers to “negative testimony given to the review committee” by me and by several key staff members. In contrast with that statement, the thrust of my remarks to the review committee was in strong agreement with Nuckolls’ strategic vision for the laboratory and was very supportive of the management structure that he had recently put in place. On the basis of informal conversations, I believe that a similar perspective was conveyed by the laboratory’s associate directors.

C. Bruce Tarter
Deputy Director,

*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,
Livermore, CA 94550, USA*

Response: *Science*’s account was based on well-placed sources who were part of the evaluation process. Moreover, repeated calls to Livermore staff members to whom confidentiality was guaranteed elicited only one call from an individual already known to be critical of Nuckolls. A manager at the highest level of the laboratory volunteered to recruit individuals who supported Nuckolls; there were no spontaneous calls to *Science* and no response to repeated calls by *Science* to staff members.

—**Daniel E. Koshland Jr.**

Viral Recombination in Transgenic Plants

Bryce W. Falk and George Bruening (Perspectives, 11 Mar., p. 1395) mistakenly cite our Union of Concerned Scientists’ report *Perils Amidst the Promise* (Washington, DC, 1993) as assuming that viral recombination could occur “only when a virus infects a plant that is transgenically expressing the genes of other viruses” (emphasis added). Although our report does identify the creation of new viruses through recombination as a risk associated with transgenic, virus-tolerant plants, it does not suggest that transgenic plants represent the only circumstances under which recombination occurs. In fact, the report recommends that “rates and impacts of recombination” in traditional crops be compared to those in transgenics as

a way of evaluating the risk represented by the transgenics (p. 38).

With regard to those tests, the Union of Concerned Scientists recommends that they be done *before* the government approves commercial release of virus-resistant transgenic crops. Sixteen virus-resistant transgenic crops comprising 39 crop-viral protein combinations have been field-tested, and many will soon be ready for commercialization. Virus-resistant plants may have a place in tomorrow’s agriculture if, as Falk and Bruening say, their risks are indeed “vanishingly small.” So far, however, the magnitude of the risks of transgenics is a matter of conjecture rather than experimental data. Our society has brushed off concerns about the risks of earlier technologies to our detriment. Let’s do better this time around.

Margaret Mellon
Director,

*Biotechnology and Agriculture Program,
Union of Concerned Scientists,
Suite 310, 1616 P Street, NW,
Washington, DC 20036, USA*

Jane Rissler

*Senior Staff Scientist,
Union of Concerned Scientists*

Response: Mellon and Rissler refer to possible detriments from widespread introduction of transgenic crop plants expressing RNA encoding plant virus genes and support comparing rates of recombination between virus RNA and transgenic RNA with rates of recombination between two virus RNAs. We presented in our Perspective a figure that compares the apparent ease with which recombination has been detected for the two pairs of RNA types. We believe our figure takes a first small step in comparing rates of recombination and provides an indication that recombination between transgenic RNA and virus RNA, as reported in three cited studies, has been no more readily detected than recombination between two virus RNAs, all results under conditions of strong selection.

Mellon and Rissler state, quoting a phrase from our Perspective, that we assert that a report of the Union of Concerned Scientists assumes recombination could occur only when a virus infects a plant that is transgenically expressing the genes of other viruses. We made no such assertion. The sentence in our Perspective that contains the quoted phrase is concerned with the possibility of recombination between RNAs