cially consumers—will be necessary if we are to negotiate these difficult waters successfully.

Francis S. Collins Director, National Center for Human Genome Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

References

- 1. National Advisory Council for Human Genome Research, J. Am. Med. Assoc. 217, 785 (1994).
- NIH Guide Grants Contracts 23 (no. 5) (4 February 1994).

Plutonium's Existence

The article "No easy way to shackle the nuclear demon" (Science after the Cold War, 4 Feb., p. 629) by Gary Taubes states that plutonium is an element that did not exist on the planet before it was made in the laboratory in 1940. Plutonium (²⁴⁴Pu) has existed in nature at low concentrations for eons (1). Primordial ²³⁹Pu would have long since decayed into ²³⁵U. Yet in uranium-bearing minerals small quantities of ²³⁹Pu are formed by the action of natural neutrons on ²³⁸U to

form ²³⁹U, which forms ²³⁹Np through beta decay. After another beta emission, the ²³⁹Np becomes ²³⁹Pu (2). In fact, we now know the isotope ²³⁹Pu was formed in natural reactors in western Africa almost 2 billion years ago (3).

Plutonium (later shown to be ²³⁸Pu) was first isolated in trace quantities by Glenn T. Seaborg and his co-workers at the University of California, Berkeley, on 24 February 1941 (4). It was not until spring 1941 that ²³⁹Pu, the fissile stuff of nuclear bombs, was isolated and identified at Berkeley. The element with atomic number 94 was not named plutonium until March 1942.

The article also states that all the isotopes of Pu are fissionable. However, of the 16 isotopes of Pu (5), only 239 Pu is fissile and used in nuclear bombs. The shorter-lived 241 Pu is fissile, but not of importance to nuclear bombs.

Finally, the article refers to tritium as being "short-lived." Actually, its 12.35-year physical half-life, although short by comparison with that of 239 Pu, is relatively long compared with the life-span of human subjects. For example, after 30 years, about 19% of tritium atoms still remain.

C. R. Richmond Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

References

- 1. D. C. Hoffman et al., Nature 234, 132 (1971).
- G. T. Seaborg and M. L. Pearlman, in *The Transuranium Elements*, G. T. Seaborg, J. J. Katz, W. M. Manning, Eds. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1949).
 G. A. Cowan, *Sci. Am.* 235, 36 (July 1976).

- G. A. Cowali, *oc. An. 255*, 36 (July 1976).
 G. T. Seaborg, in *Radiobiology of Plutonium*, B. J. Stover and W. S. S. Jee, Eds. (J. W. Press, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, 1972), pp. 1–21.
- C. M. Lederer, J. M. Hollander, I. Pearlman, *Table of the Isotopes* (Wiley, New York, ed. 6, 1967).

Fusion Confusion

James Glanz, in his article "DOE lifts the veil of secrecy from laser fusion" (News & Comment, 17 Dec., p. 1811), refers to "Project Matterhorn" as the early name of the U.S. magnetic fusion program. That was the name of the (originally classified) magnetic fusion program at Princeton University, which became the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. "Project Sherwood" was the overall name for the U.S. fusion program (1), which in the 1950s and 1960s was virtually all based on magnetic confinement.

The Princeton fusion program inherited its name (and some key players) from an earlier Project Matterhorn, which under the direction of John Archibald Wheeler studied some



Come see us at AACR, Booth #528 Circle Reader Service No. 23