

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

#### Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella Deputy Director: Marlene Zendell Member Services: Rebecca Dickerson, Manager; Mary Curry, Supervisor; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, Representatives Promotions: Dee Valencia, Manager; Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeka, Coordinators Research: Kathleen Markey, Manager; Robert Smariga, Assistant Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes Science Member Services Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969; Washington, DC: 202-326-6417 Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance Associate Publisher: Beth Bosner

Associate Publisher: Beth Hosner Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Traffic Manager: Tina Turano Recruitment: Michele Pearl, Operations Manager; Dan Moran, Traffic Manager; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, Sales Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/ Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852 Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816

European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068

Australia/New Zealand Recruitment: Keith Sandell, (61) 02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100 Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street,

NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 37–39 of the 7 January 1994 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

# LETTERS

# Frog Endangerment

In their letter "Tropical poison frogs," Charles W. Myers and John W. Daly (19 Nov., p. 1193), who are undoubtedly experts in the biology of dendrobatid frogs, provide incorrect information about the meaning of the frogs' listing under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES).

All dendrobatid frogs of the genera Dendrobates and Phyllobates are listed in CITES Appendix II, which does not equate to "threatened" status, nor does it require evidence of "endangerment." The listing, designed to regulate trade in vulnerable species, does require that an exporting country first make a judgment that trade will not be detrimental to the species before issuing an export permit. If range countries are refusing to issue such permits, it may be because they have made determinations that trade from their populations is not sustainable, or because they have other, stricter domestic measures separate from CITES which ban such trade, a sovereign right of every nation with or without international treaties.

Perhaps we in the regulatory agencies need to do a better job in working with the scientific community to explain what trade is regulated internationally, and why. Cooperative efforts between scientific researchers and conservationists are crucial if we are to conserve tropical biodiversity and the genetic bank it encompasses.

Marshall P. Jones

Chief, Office of CITES Management Authority, Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240

## **Smallpox Virus Stocks**

Having thus far stayed out of the debate about smallpox destruction, I am moved to comment on the thoughtful Policy Forums published recently in *Science* (19 Nov., pp. 1223 and 1225) where two sets of eminent virologists (B. W. J. Mahy *et al.* and W. K. Joklik *et al.*) come to such opposite conclusions.

I am persuaded by the arguments of Mahy *et al.* for destroying existing stocks of the virus. The opponents are not really arguing against destruction, but rather for continued research. They might even agree

SCIENCE • VOL. 263 • 7 JANUARY 1994

that if no research is being done on the virus, it might as well be destroyed.

I find it hard to believe that we need to, or even will, continue research on a virus whose release from containment would be such a disaster while its present threat is nil. The opponents of its destruction propose a broad program of "studying in detail [smallpox's] molecular pathogenesis." They apparently believe that this can be done in a secure P4 facility. I have seen such facilities, and they are cumbersome, to say the least. I cannot see carrying out a wideranging program of molecular analysis on an eradicated disease in such a difficult and expensive facility. If any other facility were used, the problem of security would be serious: the opponents of destruction themselves note that in the laboratory in Birmingham, England, where escape occurred, "simple but essential administrative precautions were ignored." The sole insurance against a repeat would be the type of vigilance that only a P4 facility can maintain over the long haul.

While I agree that a deeper understanding of pathogenesis will help counter microbial infections, I doubt that we so desperately need to study smallpox that it would be worth the risk inherent in the experimentation. Much of the value of research can be gained from studying related viruses, especially vaccinia. Eradication of the virus as well as its disease will better serve the long-term interests of humanity as the proponents of destruction have argued.

David Baltimore Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021–6390

## The Importance of Restaurants in Superconductivity Research

We were surprised to read in Gary Taubes' Research News article "Holding the lines in high-temperature superconductors" (17 Sep., p. 1521) that the "ultimate solution to the problem" of pinning in high- $T_c$  superconductors "was suggested at a Chinese restaurant in Anaheim, California, in March 1990" when "[John] Clem suggested that since a vortex is a linear object, 'a really keen way to immobilize it'... would be to create ... 'a line-like potential well, a line of normal non-superconducting material, a microscopic hole through the super-