

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella

Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Member Service Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Member Service Representatives*

Promotions: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeka, *Coordinators*

Research: Kathleen Markey, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant*

Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts

Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes
Science Member Services

Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;

Washington, DC: 202-326-6417

Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner

Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith

Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley

Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Traffic Manager: Tina Turano

Recruitment: Michele Pearl, *Operations Manager*; Dan

Moran, *Traffic Manager*; Debbie Cummings, Celeste

Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, *Sales*

Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard

Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris

Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis

Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 •

Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-

8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-

9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France,

Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44)

457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/

Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108,

FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3)

3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852

Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816

European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-

302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068

Australia/New Zealand Recruitment: Keith Sandell, (61)

02-922-2977, FAX (61) 02-922-1100

Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street,

NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 40–42 of the 1 January 1993 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

LETTERS

Success in Science

I read with much interest James D. Watson's playful "Succeeding in science: Some rules of thumb" (*Careers in Science*, 24 Sept., p. 1812). His first rule is that you have to avoid dumb people. His fifth and final one is that if you can't stand to be with your real peers, get out of science.

While somewhat tongue in cheek, his rules are right on target, although they are not very helpful. For example, if you can't stand your real peers and get out of science, how can you succeed? It could be, "If you wish to succeed at science, you may have to overcome, circumvent, outlive, persuade, or otherwise learn to deal with your peers." My personal response to this rule reaffirms me as a scientist.

More important, you cannot avoid dumb people, because it is inevitable that in peer review you will encounter them—frequently. If you really are good at your science then, sooner or later, you may think of something that no one else knows or suspects. Furthermore, even after you explain your discovery it will not be readily understood or accepted. Under these circumstances everyone is "dumber" than you are, at least on this one point.

Good reviewers expect to judge contributions from people who are "smarter." And, while they can detect some errors and unoriginal work, and even good new ideas in their realm of knowledge, they recognize when they cannot reject foreign ideas with confidence. Relinquishing control and, if necessary, suspending their convictions, they step aside to let the general community evaluate these ideas.

Walter E. Frick

2111 Southeast Marine Science Drive,
Newport, OR 97365–5260

Nationality of Engineering Students

In Constance Holden's article "Foreign nationals change the face of U.S. science" (*Careers in Science*, 24 Sept., p. 1769), I am quoted as saying, "Our mechanical engineering department [which turns out about five Ph.D.'s a year] hasn't had an American Ph.D. for some years now."

The data I gave are wrong. For the last year (1992–1993) at Northwestern University, 3 and 11 Ph.D.'s in mechanical engineering were granted to U.S. and foreign-

born students, respectively. For the past 3 years, 9 and 31 Ph.D.'s were granted to U.S. and foreign-born students, respectively. For the 1993–1994 academic year, 3 foreign-born and 16 U.S.-born graduate students were admitted to the mechanical engineering program. A new trend may be starting.

We need to think more about how to attract U.S. advanced science and engineering degree students and worry less about foreign students. After all, we should consider our advanced science and engineering education for the foreign-born as one of our "exports" to help our balance of payments!

For the longer run, we could do more to create a higher industrial value and demand, particularly for the engineering Ph.D., if more thesis problems were directly related to and ideally done in an industrial setting. While this may be dismissed by some in academia, such Ph.D. work can be as valuable academically as an "in-house" thesis, and the industrial company would learn more directly the value of a Ph.D. I have had my students do this a number of times. They were assured of a job and continued to be paid by their employers while they worked on their thesis. In a case or two, they also moved rapidly after finishing their degree.

Donald N. Frey

Department of Industrial Engineering
and Management Sciences,
Robert R. McCormick School
of Engineering and Applied Science,
Northwestern University,
Evanston, IL 60208–3119

M.D.-Ph.D. Degrees

The discussion by Elizabeth Culotta of M.D.-Ph.D. degrees (*Careers in Science*, 24 Sept., p. 1784) is right on the mark. A fuller treatment of the important issues associated with seeking such training can be found in a newly published book on M.D.-Ph.D. programs and other pathways to scholarship in medicine (1).

Culotta's article focuses on M.D.-Ph.D.s in the biomedical sciences, which are the fields traditionally associated with medical research. There is also a great need for M.D.-Ph.D.'s in the physical and engineering sciences, the social sciences, and the humanities. Many of the important