CLASHING CULTURES

A 'Model Minority' Speaks Out on Cultural Shyness

by Ronald R. Hoy

When Science asked me to write this article on Asian-Americans in U.S. science, my initial response was autonomic. My palms grew damp with sweat and my heart beat faster. The cognitive response followed, and childhood memories flashed by my mind's eye. I saw the concerned faces of my parents, telling me, "Don't show

off, don't brag, don't do anything to draw attention to yourself. Instead, work hard, do your job."

So I told the editor, "I'm sorry, I don't have time for this. I'll give you some names of other people to try."

It took me the weekend to figure out what I was doing—obeying a childhood message to make myself as invisible as possible. And when I realized what had happened, I agreed to write this essay.

My reactions are not just personal quirks; my Asian-American students and fellow scientists have told me of similar feelings at "showtime." Many of us exhibit what I will call a learned or cultural shyness that is not understood by others. This, coupled with the per-

ception of many white Americans that all Asians are foreigners—no matter whether they were born in the United States or not—are factors that can keep Asian-Americans from reaching their career goals.

Breaking the mold. Ameri-

If one pays attention to the popular media it is easy to get the impression that Asian-American students are always successful in U.S. schools—especially in science—and face no difficulties in achieving their potential. Indeed, when it comes to affirmative action and financial aid, the target population is "underrepresented minorities," a category that usually excludes Asian-Americans. It is often said that Asians are "overrepresented" at U.S. universities in general and in science in particular, because they are "overachievers."

It isn't that simple. Certainly, many Asian-American students do choose science, and there are increasing numbers of Asian faculty. But the stereotypes of the "model minority" deny or marginalize genuine problems, such as an aversion to the spotlight, and a perception that all Asians in the U.S. are foreign, not American. Stereotypes of the well-behaved "model minority" create problems for the way that other minorities relate to Asians, and deny the enormous cultural and ethnic diversity subsumed under "Asian-American."

Before going any further, it is useful to point out that the label "Asian" is applied to a disparate group of people, including those whose origins are in the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. Thus Filippinos, Pakistanis, Chinese, Japanese, Koreans, and Tahitians, among many others, are lumped into what is clearly not a monolithic group.

I myself do not even speak for all U.S. scientists of Chinese ancestry, because many are foreign born, and I am not. I am of the second generation and grew up in the 1950s in a small town in the Pacific Northwest, where there were only a few dozen Asians. My mother was born in Washington State, but her parents and my father were born in China. My experiences are therefore very different from "old-country" Asian-Americans who themselves immigrated as young adults to the United States. I identify much more strongly with the American than with the Chinese culture. So it is all the more jarring when I am perceived as a foreigner, even if the other party does so without deliberate bias.

This, of course, is the same perception that troubled children of European immigrants to this country many decades ago—but the comparison only goes so far. For both groups the formula for success has involved immi-

grant parents who devoted their lives to ensuring the success and assimilation of their offspring, by dint of hard work and personal sacrifice.

However, this analogy fails in an important way to describe the road to assimilation. No matter how many generations Asian-American scientists have been in the United States, and no matter how well they speak English, on first meeting and sometimes even after, they will invariably be perceived by others as foreigners, because Asians are a visible minority. This is not true for the children of immigrants of Caucasian ancestry, once they become fluent in American English.

can-born Ron Hoy.

American English.

While it may not be surprising that all Asians are all Asians in the U.S. are perceived as foreign until proven otherwise, especially given the extent of immigration from Asia over the past three decades, nonetheless it is a reality that all American-born Asians must deal with, whenever they make social or professional transactions with strangers.

For example, when asked where I come from, if I say Washington State, my questioner may respond, "No, I mean where are you really from?" I have also had the experience that after establishing a certain easy rapport with a stranger on the telephone, the actual face-to-face meeting elicits a double-take, or a request to see Ron Hoy. Others have had similar experiences. One American-born graduate student of Korean ancestry reports that during her first months at Cornell University, her departmental mailbox was full of foreign student circulars. Yet her mannerisms and speech are as American as can be.

The point is that although second-generation Asian-Americans may look Asian, we are Americans, and collectively form an American subculture or set of subcultures. The perception of any Asian as foreign can become a factor in how Asian-Americans advance in their chosen careers. Having a successful scientific career is not just about being excellent in the practice of science. It is also about participating in the highly social network of science, in which "schmoozing" can be as important as giving a good symposium talk.

The tendency to perceive Asians in the U.S. as foreigners can work in subtle and overt ways and cer-

No matter how many generations Asian-American scientists have been in the United States, on first meeting they will invariably be perceived as foreigners.

tainly can affect how we are judged. Teacher expectations are of special importance, since teachers are the guides—or the gatekeepers—to a career in science. From my experience, this is an important issue with real consequences. I grew up in the 1950s, long before Asian-American students were stereotyped as "model minorities." In my first geometry class in high school, I was doing "D" work, and my teacher told me I was performing as he had expected. "Chinese are good memorizers but they can't think logically, and that's what geometry is all about," he explained.

I dropped geometry and took it again the next year, with a different teacher. This time, my teacher admonished me to work harder because I was "only" doing "B" work—and "Chinese are born mathematicians." My modest abilities in geometry hadn't changed, but teacher expectations had.

At least I wasn't called an "overachiever," which I consider a particularly demeaning Asian stereotype. It somehow implies that Asian-Americans aren't playing fair in their drive to succeed, and that they do well because they work harder, not because they are creative

Asian or American?

In 1982, at a meeting on herpes viruses at Cold Spring Harbor, while 23-year-old graduate student Kuan-Teh Jeang was preparing two scientific talks, he learned that a prominent virologist had asked a co-worker whether Jeang spoke English. To Jeang—who was born in Taiwan but who has lived in the United States since he was 5 and speaks fluent English—that was just another example of a common assumption of many white Americans: "If they can't pronounce your name, then you probably can't pronounce theirs either."

For Asian-American scientists who immigrated to the United States, the perception of being "foreign" and cultural differences are even stronger than for those born here. They can add up to what Jeang considers an identity crisis.



Brown-bagging it. Renee Sung has learned to network informally.

Yong Kim, a University of Virginia biomedical engineering researcher who left South Korea in 1970 for graduate school in the U.S., agrees: "You have two different species of Asian-Americans—those born here, who have little in the way of a cultural or language barrier, and those like myself who have a difficult challenge."

That challenge is to find common ground with American colleagues, and failure to do so can hinder career advancement. "Promotions rely on information not transmit-

ted through 'normal' mechanisms," says Renee Sung, a biology professor at the University of California, Berkeley, who was born in China. Sung says she has in the past missed out on brown bag lunches and other golden networking opportunities—because she and her colleagues have so little in common.

Another major stumbling block is a non-confrontational personal style. Kim, for example, grew up with the teachings of Confucianism, which, he says, encouraged him to lead a "quiet life" that can keep him from forming bonds with colleagues outside of work. For an Asian immigrant, leaving the "quiet life" may be the hardest journey of all.

-Richard Stone

or talented. Such stereotypes about how and why Asian-Americans succeed are not only demeaning, in the worst case they can be used to exclude Asians from jobs that require imagination and creativity.

Negative stereotypes about Asians may crop up at promotion time too. In 1974, when an Asian colleague of mine came up for tenure, she was temporarily denied, because as she heard later, administrators felt that she had a "devious nature."

Another aspect of Asian-American life that can prevent our being accepted and rising to the top is this cultural shyness I referred to earlier. Some first generation white Americans report childhood memories of coming home from school to be met with their parents query: "Did you ask any good questions in class today?" But good Asian kids don't question authority. And although not every Asian-American may feel this shyness, it is something that has been encouraged by our culture.

This cultural difference can have consequences later. Working to become an excellent but "invisible" scientist may earn you a Ph.D. and even an entry-level faculty or industry position. But further advancement often depends not only on scientific expertise, but on social skills, such as the ability to network among the people who make the hiring decisions.

Thus cultural shyness may contribute to the "glass ceilings" that hover between many Asian-American scientists and career advancement. We know that while Asian-Americans are proportionally "overrepresented" at the lab bench, they are underrepresented in the administration buildings of their universities and on the boards of scientific corporations. The cultural injunctions that permeate some Asian societies—those values that discourage assertiveness, outspokenness, and competitiveness in groups—work against Asian-Americans, especially in the culture of science. Thus, an Asian job candidate may be viewed, at best, by an Anglo-European department chair as being shy or indifferent or, at worst, as having nothing to say or being unable to act decisively.

Change will come about when, on the one side, Asian-Americans learn to exercise certain skills in presenting themselves in public life. On the other side, corporate and academic leaders must recognize that creative viewpoints can emerge from cultural diversity. Indeed, the collaborative research enterprises of today could no doubt benefit from a more cooperative approach.

In discussing these Asian-American issues, I do not mean to minimize the very real problems of recruiting more blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians into science, discussed elsewhere in this issue. My point concerns a common tendency to dismiss Asians as having no problems at all and to focus on the underrepresented minorites. While it is true that Asian-Americans are better off than some minorities in science, it is not true that everything is just fine in model-minorityland. The larger problem facing all minorities in science is the same: to live up to our potential and achieve in our careers, avoiding the pitfalls laid by cultural differences and prejudice. We should focus on what we have in common—our love of science—and what the diverse viewpoints of all minorities and women can contribute to the scientific enterprise.

Ronald R. Hoy is a professor of neurobiology and behavior at Cornell University.