

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella

Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Member Service Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Member Service Representatives*

Promotions: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeka, *Coordinators*

Research: Kathleen Markey, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant*

Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts

Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes

Science Member Services

Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;

Washington, DC: 202-326-6417

Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner

Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith

Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley

Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Finance: Leslie Gelder, *Manager*

Marketing Manager: Laurie Hallowell

Traffic Manager: Tina Turano

Recruitment: Michele Pearl, *Operations Manager*; Dan

Moran, *Traffic Manager*; Debbie Cummings, Celeste

Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, *Sales*

Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard

Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris

Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis

Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast:

Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 •

Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-

8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-

9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France,

Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44)

457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/

Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108,

FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3)

3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852

Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816

European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-

302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068

Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street,

NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 40–42 of the 1 January 1993 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

LETTERS

Use of NSFNET

Christopher Anderson's article about the privatization of the National Science Foundation's NSFNET (News & Comment, 21 May, p. 1064) mentions an Office of Technology Assessment report which says that most U.S. researchers use computer networks only for electronic mail and suggests that the benefits of Internet to scientific collaboration are yet to be realized. Earth scientists, and seismologists in particular, however, are already making extensive use of NSFNET and Internet facilities for the rapid distribution of large data sets and for collaboration between researchers at widely separated institutions. We are concerned about plans that could restrict the high-speed NSFNET backbone to a select group of scientists and could encourage a system of charges that hampers access by the wider research community.

The Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS) supports an automatic system that gathers data, through Internet and phone modem, from up to 27 globally dispersed broadband digital seismic observatories, including stations in Russia, Western Europe, Australia, and Japan, and at the South Pole. Whenever a significant earthquake occurs around the globe, researchers can obtain data by Internet from the IRIS Data Management Center within hours. Data from this system was critical in the planning of the aftershock surveys that followed the 19 October 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake in the San Francisco Bay area and the 28 June 1992 Landers earthquake in Southern California. On 21 May 1992, the Chinese detonated a high-yield (Richter magnitude, 6.6) nuclear explosion underground. University seismologists in the United States augmented the automatically retrieved data set for this explosion with digital waveforms from the IRIS open seismic station in Obninsk (outside of Moscow) by a satellite telemetry link and sent the data across the United States on the Internet system. Within a day of the explosion, scientists in Russia, California, and Colorado had analyzed the data in a collaborative effort.

The utility of the current academic networking system is a direct consequence of its fairly uniform software protocols and its low cost to individual users. Easy access to large shared databases and seamless collaboration between distant researchers are becoming essential to modern research. We

trust that, as the National Science Foundation and Internet respond to pressures for expansion and privatization, access restrictions and burdensome charging structures do not curtail these healthy trends.

Jeffrey Park*

Department of Geology and Geophysics,
Yale University,
New Haven, CT 06511-8130

*Cosigners: Tim Ahern, The IRIS Consortium; Thomas Boyd, Colorado School of Mines; Goran Ekstrom, Harvard University; John Filson, U.S. Geological Survey; Karen Fischer, Brown University; Charles Langston, Pennsylvania State University; Jonathan Lees, Yale University; Arthur Lerner-Lam, Columbia University; Alan Levander, Rice University; Guy Masters, University of California, San Diego; Guust Nolet, Princeton University; Thomas Owens, University of South Carolina; Gary Pavlis, Indiana University; Robert Phinney, Princeton University; Paul Richards, Columbia University; David Simpson, The IRIS Consortium; Stewart Smith, University of Washington; Brian Stump, Southern Methodist University; Frank Vernon, University of California, San Diego; Terry Wallace, University of Arizona; and Francis Wu, State University of New York, Binghamton.

Whither Directed Mutation?

In their letter of 28 May (p. 1222), Richard E. Lenski and John E. Mittler made several misleading statements about the experiments that John Cairns and I have published (1, 2). The relevant results can be summarized as follows. In most of our experiments we used a strain of *Escherichia coli* that cannot use lactose because of a frame-shift mutation affecting the *lacZ* gene. When these Lac^- cells were plated on medium with lactose as the sole source of carbon, Lac^+ revertants, scored as colonies, appeared after 2 days and continued to appear for a week or more. Early-appearing Lac^+ revertants had a Luria-Delbrück distribution, indicating that they arose during nonselective growth before plating, from which we calculated a mutation rate of 1.4×10^{-9} per cell per generation. Later appearing revertants had a Poisson distribution, as they should if they arose after plating, and appeared at a constant rate of 2.2×10^{-8} per cell per day. Lac^+ revertants did not accumulate if the cells were starved in the absence of lactose or in its presence if the cells were also deprived of another requirement for growth (1).

Lenski and Mittler state that our methods were not sufficiently sensitive to detect the growth of Lac^- cells in the presence of lactose or the death of cells (both Lac^- and