

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella
Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Member Service Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Member Service Representatives*
Promotions: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeka, *Coordinators*
Research: Kathleen Markey, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant*
Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts
Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes
Science Member Services
Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;
Washington, DC: 202-326-6417
Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner
Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith
Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley
Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold
Finance: Leslie Gelder, *Manager*
Marketing Manager: Laurie Hallowell
Traffic Manager: Tina Turano
Recruitment: Michele Pearl, *Operations Manager*; Dan Moran, *Traffic Manager*; Debbie Cummings, Celeste Wakefield, Angela Wheeler, *Sales*
Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard
Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris
Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis
Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852
Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816
European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068
Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 40–42 of the 1 January 1993 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

LETTERS

Synchrotron X-rays from a B Factory

Faye Flam provides an insightful perspective (News, 27 Aug., p. 1111) into the competition between Cornell and Stanford universities for a B factory, an accelerator that promises to yield answers to such fundamental questions as why the universe contains more matter than antimatter.

The review committee, commissioned by the Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation and headed by Stanley Kowalski of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, was asked to evaluate the relative merits of the Cornell and the Stanford B factory proposals. To help ensure a level playing field, a set of conditions was laid down, one of which was to limit the evaluation to only those factors that contributed to B-quark production. The possibility of using these machines as synchrotron radiation sources was explicitly excluded from the evaluation process. However, the Cornell proposal as initially submitted to the National Science Foundation explicitly included a synchrotron radiation facility, CHSS (Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source) B, as an integral part of the larger accelerator project.

In contrast with the SLAC (Stanford Linear Accelerator Center) B factory design and the implications of SLAC director Burton Richter's remarks, the synchrotron radiation produced by the Cornell storage rings would not all go to waste: it has been, and would continue to be, put to good use providing extremely intense x-ray beams for relatively little additional cost and in a manner that does not compromise the design for particle physics purposes.

The novelty of the B factory as a synchrotron x-ray source results from circulating currents of up to 1 or 2 amperes of electrons and positrons at energies of 8 and 3.5 gigaelectron volts, respectively, which could be used as a high-flux x-ray source after the installation of passive, permanent magnet assemblies called undulators and wigglers into the storage rings. Having more than 10 times the design current of present third-generation high energy x-ray sources such as the Advanced Photon Source and the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, this new facility would deliver at least an order of magnitude more photons to flux-limited experiments. In the high energy photon regime it would deliver about 100 times more x-rays than the present CHSS laboratory, which has, for the past 13 years, successfully served a community of about 500 scientists

each year from diverse university, corporate, and governmental laboratories that are involved in research with x-rays (and not high energy particle physics). The incremental cost to upgrade CHSS for the B factory project would be close to \$15 million. Operating costs would be roughly the same as the present CHSS facility (\$2.5 million a year).

In today's lean times, it makes good sense to consider funding a B factory project that could make available to the scientific communities an extraordinary x-ray source at a modest scale and which would greatly advance the quality of x-ray experimentation by tapping the power of a new world-class accelerator.

Boris W. Batterman
Director,

Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source,
Ithaca, NY 14853–8001

Donald H. Bilderback
Associate Director,
CHSS

In his letter of 17 September (p. 1505), Burton Richter, director of the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC), lists some of the technical risks involved in the Cornell design for a B factory. In fact, there are technical risks inherent in both designs. The B factory review panel concluded in its report that both proposals were workable and that in each case the engineering challenges would be met and overcome. It also concluded that the cost of the Cornell proposal—including the cost of the collider, the detector, commissioning, and operation—would be significantly lower than that of the SLAC proposal.

It is to be hoped that the decision of where to build the B factory will be based on this unbiased report rather than on the statements of laboratory directors like Richter and me.

Karl Berkelman
Director,

Floyd R. Newman Laboratory
of Nuclear Studies,
Cornell University,
Ithaca, NY 14853–5001

Biodiversity Entreaty

D. L. Burk *et al.* paint a grim picture of the future of the U.S. biotechnology industry if the United States complies with the Biodi-