

SCIENCE

Published by the **American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)**, *Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objectives are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, to advance education in science, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella
Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, *Manager*; Mary Curry, *Member Service Supervisor*; Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, *Member Service Representatives*
Promotions: Dee Valencia, *Manager*; Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeke, *Coordinators*
Research: Kathleen Markey, *Manager*; Robert Smariga, *Assistant*
Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts
Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes
Science Member Services
 Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969;
 Washington, DC: 202-326-6417;
Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400.

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner
Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith
Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley
Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold
Finance: Leslie Gelder, *Manager*; LoAnn Pham, *Analyst*
Marketing Manager: Laurie Hallowell
Traffic Manager: Tina Turano
Recruitment: Michele Pearl, *Operations Manager*; Dan Moran, *Traffic Manager*; Debbie Cummings, Millie Muñoz-Cumming, Angela Wheeler, *Sales*
Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard
Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris
Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis
Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852
Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816
European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068
 Send materials to *Science* Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 40–42 of the 1 January 1993 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. **Science Telephone:** 202-326-6500. London office: 071-435-4291. **Subscription/Member Benefits Questions:** 202-326-6417. **Other AAAS Programs:** 202-326-6400.

LETTERS

Biopesticides

The article "Research community swats grasshopper control trial" by Billy Goodman (News & Comment, 14 May, p. 887) illustrates a flaw in the evaluations of microbial insecticides as alternatives to synthetic chemical pesticides. Although biological pesticides do not create problems such as ground-water contamination and vertebrate toxicity, the ability of biopesticides to replicate and disperse throughout an ecosystem mandates that their potential interactions with nontarget organisms be carefully evaluated.

We feel that Jerry Onsager's remark that "you either grit your teeth and take chances or spend the rest of your career doing cage studies . . ." is an overstatement of the problem of making such evaluations. Clearly, host range studies of representative nontarget organisms, particularly beneficial invertebrates, could be conducted in reasonable time frames. Their results could then be evaluated by teams of experts in the fields of invertebrate and ecological sciences. Such studies would require far less time and resources than are required currently for the registration of chemical pesticides.

The potential problems of interactions between microbial insecticides and nontarget organisms are particularly important in considering the development of genetically enhanced microbial insecticides such as recombinant baculoviruses. In virtually all invertebrate host range studies with baculoviruses, symptomology has been the basis of assessment. Symptomless infections would have gone undetected. Accordingly, baculovirus infections of nontarget hosts may not have been properly assessed and the ecological consequences of their release not correctly evaluated. With genetically enhanced viral insecticides, inapparent virus infections could become lethal, depending on the properties of the toxins or hormones inserted into the virus to achieve enhanced pesticidal activity.

Whether naturally occurring or recombinant in origin, careful attention should be paid to the potential effects microbial pesticides may have on nontarget hosts. However, even if host ranges include several nontarget hosts, the benefits of use as compared with alternative control strategies might outweigh the ecological costs.

H. Alan Wood
 Patrick R. Hughes

Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research,
 Tower Road,
 Ithaca, NY 14853–1801

Tuberculosis Mortality Decline

Marcia Barinaga's statement that, "when an antibiotic treatment for tuberculosis (TB) was found in the 1940s, the disease was transformed in the developed world from a lethal plague to a vanquished and vanishing illness" (News & Comment, 7 May, p. 750), does not stand up to scrutiny. Deaths from TB were in substantial decline in the West by the latter half of the 19th century, a decline that continued unabated throughout the current century virtually unaffected by the development of chemotherapy in the 1940s or application of the bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine in the 1950s (1). That other infectious diseases were undergoing parallel decreases in deadliness implies that the improved TB survival rate was independent of specific advances in treatment. While a decreased incidence of infection probably contributed to the general decline in infectious disease death rates, other factors were involved as well. Tuberculosis is a case in point. As late as 1940, more than 95% of the population over the age of 45 had a positive tuberculin skin reaction despite an already low TB death rate in that age group (1). The affected population had thus become resistant to the most severe consequences of TB infection. It was this latter development, not the availability of drugs and vaccines, that played the major role in the decline in TB mortality.

Andrew L. Rubin

Medical Toxicology Branch,
 Department of Pesticide Regulation,
 California Environmental Protection Agency,
 1220 N Street,
 Sacramento, CA 95814

References

1. L. A. Sagan, *The Health of Nations: True Causes of Sickness and Well-Being* (Basic Books, New York, 1987).

Primate Brain Measurements

Many so-called "new" ideas are well-forgotten old ones. We write to comment on Ann Gibbons' article "Empathy and brain evolution" (News & Comment, 26 Feb., p. 1250) regarding Terrence Deacon's "bold theory" about how the human brain evolved the unique capability to represent such complex symbols as other people's