

## The LIGO Controversy

I would like to clarify my views as presented in John Travis' article "LIGO: A \$250 million gamble" (News & Comment, 30 Apr., p. 612). As I explained to Travis, I see the LIGO (Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory) conflict in terms of the difference in communal values of physicists and astronomers. Physicists place great value on establishing upper limits on the detection of new quanta, so that even if there were a null result, physicists would believe that something valuable had been learned about how to measure gravitational waves. Astronomers place primary value on increasing their store of information about the cosmos, and because there can be no guarantee that LIGO will produce astronomical results, they are uneasy. There is therefore bound to be conflict over the expenditure of such large resources on a project that uses astronomical sources to make physics measurements. I see merit in both points of view and am aware of the pain that the LIGO affair is causing both communities. I allowed to Travis that they will not easily forget this pain.

This comment, the only one of mine Travis quotes in the article, is placed in a

context which makes it appear that I am among those who complain about LIGO. My remark, meant to express neutrality, was "added" to that of an anonymous individual who was far from neutral.

Because I am the chair of the National Research Council's Board on Physics and Astronomy, my primary concern is to improve communication between the astronomy and physics communities, so that we can perhaps learn how to deal better in the future with issues on the interface between them.

**Charles F. Kennel**

*Department of Physics,  
University of California,  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1547*

## A Competitive Education


The AAAS, the National Research Council (NRC), and the National Science Teachers Association (NSTA) all are involved in projects intended to improve science education in the United States. Their efforts, Project 2061 (AAAS), National Science Education Standards (NRC), and Scope, Sequence, and Coordination of Secondary School Sci-

ence (NSTA), are being coordinated and staffed by scientists and teachers of the highest competence and unswerving devotion. It is unfortunate, therefore, that the projects are likely to have little impact on the effectiveness of U.S. science education.

One of the goals of a restructured science education program is to produce graduates who would become workers as productive as those in the advanced economic nations who are our industrial competitors. Thus it is relevant to compare our system of science education with those of other industrial nations. The following features stand out.


On the average, U.S. secondary school students spend about 179 days in classroom instruction annually. Students in Germany, Israel, France, Italy, Japan, and other economically advanced nations attend school for about 240 days a year; the school days, themselves, often are longer than ours. Our short school year was set in concrete 200 years ago when children were needed to work on farms during the summer—a situation no longer reflected in modern day reality. Our 18th-century school year does not fit the needs of the 21st century. When they graduate from high school, U.S. students will have had one-third less classroom instruction than their

# Carbohydrate Analysis




**Step 1.** Start with your purified glycoprotein or isolated oligosaccharide. Hydrolyze the glycoprotein or oligosaccharide into monosaccharides, or release N-linked oligosaccharides from the glycoprotein. Glycan releasing time is just two hours instead of overnight.

© 1993 Millipore Corporation  
FACE is a registered trademark of Glyko, Inc.



**Step 2.** Label the mixture of monosaccharides or oligosaccharides with a patented fluorescent tag. Three hours is all you need.



**Step 3.** Separate the labeled carbohydrates on a high-resolution polyacrylamide gel. Electrophoresis lasts just 60-120 minutes. You can run up to 32 samples at the same time—for high productivity and accurate, side-by-side comparisons. Setup is a snap. No fluid connections or messy wires. It's a gel rig anyone can run.