

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science-including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews-are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, Manager, Gwen Huddle, Assistant Manager, Mary Curry, Member Service Supervisor, Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, Member Service Representatives Promotions: Dee Valencia, Manager, Hilary Baar, Angela Mumeka, Coordinators Research: Kathleen Markey, Manager, Robert Smariga, Assistant Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes Science Member Services Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969: Washington, DC: 202-326-6417

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Financial Manager: Leslie Gelder Marketing Manager: Laurie Hallowell Traffic Manager: Tina Turano Recruitment: Michele Pearl, Operations Manager, Dan Moran, Traffic Manager, Debbie Cummings, Millie Muñoz-Cumming, Angela Wheeler, Sales Marketing Associate: Allison Pritchard Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis Sales Associate: Carol Maddox

ADVERTISING SALES: East Coast/E. Canada: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-516-8882, FAX 708-516-8883 • West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands: Andrew Davies, (44) 457-838-519, FAX (44) 457-838-898 • Germany/Switzerland/Austria: Tracey Peers, (44) 270-760-108, FAX (44) 270-759-597 • Japan: Mashy Yoshikawa, (3) 3235-5961, FAX (3) 3235-5852

Recruitment: 202-326-6555, FAX 202-682-0816 European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis, (44) 0223-302067, FAX (44) 0223-302068

Send materials to Science Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 40-42 of the 1 January 1993 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. *Science* Telephone: 202-326-6500, TDD 202-408-7770. Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400.

Speaking Out

LETTERS

I was astonished to see in the 22 March 1993 issue of The Scientist an article reporting on events at the Boston AAAS meeting (1), where Science reporter Christopher Anderson was said to have announced the existence of a "blacklist" of scientists—including Edward O. Wilson, Bruce Ames, and me-engaged in "advocacy." Anderson is quoted as saying that I left the realm of credibility when I began writing and talking about nuclear winter. Please help me to understand: The first scientific report on nuclear winter was published after extensive peer review in Science (2). An update was published 7 years later in Science (3), also after peer review.

Suppose you had found that the global consequences of nuclear war were much worse than had been generally understood and that military establishments worldwide had overlooked those consequences, especially in a time of a swiftly proliferating strategic arms race between the United States and the Soviet Union and when allegedly responsible officials were talking about nuclear war being "survivable" and "winnable." Wouldn't you be concerned? Would you think it your responsibility to keep quiet about this because the results were not absolutely certain, or because the full-scale experimental verification had not vet been obtained?

Or would you consider it your obligation to your children and the children of everyone else to speak up? Keeping quiet under such circumstances seems bizarre and reprehensible to me.

Because our technology has achieved formidable powers, and because we sometimes can be careless in its application, this issue is of broad importance. If scientists will not speak out when they see such a danger to the human species, who will? And how can it possibly be in the interest of science or the public to "blacklist" scientists who advocate policy emanating from peer-reviewed scientific research?

Carl Sagan

Director, Laboratory for Planetary Studies, Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

References

1. S. Veggeberg, Scientist 7, 1 (22 March 1992).

2. R. P. Turco, O. B. Toon, T. P. Ackerman, J. B. Pollack, C. Sagan, *Science* **222**, 1283 (1983).

З. , ibid. 247, 166 (1990).

SCIENCE • VOL. 260 • 25 JUNE 1993

Like Sagan, I was puzzled at being "blacklisted" by Christopher Anderson at the AAAS meeting for having addressed the larger public on an environmental problem and advocated action to solve it. In presenting estimates of species extinction rates in my recent book, The Diversity of Life (Harvard University Press, 1992), I asked a wide range of other scientistsincluding all those I thought might be most skeptical-to examine the evidence presented. In the end I felt I had arrived at something close to a consensus. The problem is real, and calls for advocacy.

It is reasonable then to ask what scientists are expected to do when they hit upon a serious environmental problem. Whisper in the ear of a journalist? Entirely and chastely refrain from publishing outside technical journals, hoping the results will be discovered by nonscientists? Science does an outstanding job of reporting public issues, but it would be hobbled if it relied on scientists immune to Anderson's blacklist.

> Edward O. Wilson Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138-2902

Response: Christopher Anderson has written a letter to the editor of The Scientist (17 May 1993, p. 12) correcting misquotations and misrepresentations in The Scientist's article. Anderson was expressing his personal views at the meeting, not those of Science's editors. The use of the term "blacklist," which he regrets, was meant to be facetious. The thrust of his remarks was that even great scientists sometimes have to be assessed with a grain of salt when they become advocates.

Let me state Science's policy on covering scientist-advocates. We encourage our reporters to cover contentious issues of science and particularly to seek out protagonists who are scientists and who care about the policy implications of their research. Under no circumstances would we have a policy of failing to seek out the leaders in an area just because they are advocates, but we owe it to our readers to check their extrapolations or to interview proponents of alternative points of view when we believe it desirable to present a balanced view of a controversial subject.

–Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.