Texas, Galveston, TX 77550; Omar Pantoja, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3RB, United Kingdom; Dale Sanders, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, United Kingdom; Julian Schroeder, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; Clifford Slayman,\* Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510; Roger Spanswick, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853; Alan Walker, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia 2006; Alan Williams, National Heart and Lung Institute, London SW3 6L4, United Kingdom.

\*Corresponding author.

## **Bioremediation Review**

Richard Stone's article about the use of biore-mediation for destroying oil on the beach in Alaska after the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill in Prince William Sound (News & Comment, 17 July, p. 320) is somewhat misleading with regard to the technical review of the project by the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Science Advisory Board (SAB). The headline and the text at the beginning of the article imply that the SAB draft report concluded that bioremediation was generally ineffective. In fact, the draft SAB report and EPA's own study clearly state that bioremediation was effective, but not at all sites. Given

that this is the first detailed, full-scale assessment in the field of bioremediation of an oil spill of great magnitude, the finding that bioremediation worked at two of the sites is considered to be a positive and significant accomplishment. Field research in heterogeneous environments exposed to highly variable conditions frequently does not give identical results at different sites or at different times

Admittedly, the studies and evaluations conducted by EPA have several limitations. Many of these limitations were known to the researchers involved in the field and laboratory assessments. Many are pointed out in the SAB report. In contrast to the text of the article, the SAB did not conclude "that the treatment's efficacy wasn't all it was cracked up to be." We did, however, seek to further define the limitations of the program, as establishing those deficiencies and shortcomings is a necessary step in increasing the frequency of success of bioremediation.

The SAB considers this EPA project to be a significant accomplishment that should lay the foundation for improved research and planning for emergency responses in the future. Implementation of the SAB recommendations by EPA should contribute to that understanding. In addition, the SAB urges EPA to join with other informed parties in sharing data and developing guidance and principles to respond to future oil spills.

Martin Alexander\*
Department of Soil, Crop and
Atmospheric Sciences,
Cornell University,
Ithaca, NY 14853
Raymond C. Loehr†
Department of Civil Engineering,
University of Texas,
Austin, TX 78712

\*Chair, Alaskan Bioremediation Task Group, Science Advisory Board, Environmental Protection Agency. †Chair, Executive Committee, Science Advisory Board, Environmental Protection Agency.

## **Biomimesis: An Apology**

National science policy has been a primary focus of mine for many years. It is a subject to which I have dedicated considerable time and energy. The issue of overselling science is an issue in national science policy that deserves not only mine but others' time and energy. Over the past year, I have conducted a public debate with editors from *Nature*, *The Scientist*, and *Research/Penn State* about overselling science regarding biomimesis and bio-derived materials (Research News, 30 Aug. 1991, p. 968).

However, as a part of that debate, I am afraid that a colleague of mine, Patricia Bianconi, may have been unfairly caught in the middle, and to the extent that she feels her research has been a victim in this debate, I extend to her this apology, as I never intended for her research itself to be the focus of the debate.

In the policy memo I privately circulated to various agencies and persons, I used the world "duplicating." The statement was, "this result—duplicating work precipitating very small crystals of any one of a dozen phases including CdS in an inorganic gel. ..." While I believe the work derives from the general experiments done by many on crystallization in gels, Bianconi's work had the special feature that she obtained an organized array of crystals of cadmium sulfide in an organic host. In this respect her work did not duplicate earlier research and contains novel and unreported findings. The significance of this work will, as in all science, be determined over the course of time. I recognize that some well-respected scientists find her results to be quite significant.

It was also imprecise for me to state that Bianconi had not "read or cited" the literature. I had no first-hand knowledge of whether she had or had not read the literature. It was not cited. In large part, the literature to

## WE'LL SHIP YOUR OLIGO IN 48 HOURS, OR WE'LL EAT THE NEXT ONE.

You get your primer or probe on time, or you get your next one for free. No questions, no quibbles. For \$5.00 a base (and \$20 setup charge), you'll receive a research-ready, cartridge-purified product, complete with PAGE gel pedigree. And you'll get it on time, or we'll be eating more than our words.

<b>SPECIAL OFFER!</b> Call <b>1-800-2345-DNA</b> , or fax a copy of this coupon, and we'll drop the setup charges on your first order. That's a savings of \$20 per oligo! (If you don't need any oligos at the moment, we'll send you a coupon for future use.)	
Name Title	
Institution/Company	
Address	
City State	z Zip
Telephone	
Genosys Biotechnologies, Inc. 8701A New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77381-4241 Phone: (713) 363-3693 (800) 2345-DNA Fax: (713) 363-2212	

Circle No. 3 on Readers' Service Card