argues strongly against neglecting platypuses, tuataras, and other less "charismatic" old lineages. We are, in fact, being forced to decide between preserving branches and twigs on the tree of life. It is crucial that we know the difference.

CAREY KRAJEWSKI
Department of Zoology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901–6501

Extinction "Hot Spots"

Contrary to what Charles Mann asserts in his article "Extinction: Are ecologists crying wolf?" (Research News, 16 Aug., p. 736), there is a good deal of specific documentation of the mass extinction impending. In two recent articles (*1*), I have analyzed a series of "hot spots," these being areas that (i) feature exceptional concentrations of plant species with unusually high endemism and (ii) face exceptional threat of habitat destruction. Fourteen of these areas are in tropical forests and include such localities as eastern Madagascar, western Ecuador, western Amazonia, Atlantic-coast Brazil, northwestern Borneo, and parts of the Philippines. Four other areas are Mediterranean-type zones. A good many of these areas have already lost 90% of their natural vegetation, a few as much as 97%. The area-by-area assessments are supported by several hundred references.

The analysis shows that the 18 hot spots contain 49,955 endemic plant species, or 20% of Earth's known plant species, in 0.5 percent of Earth's land surface. Plants are well documented; we can be sure we have identified and described all but a few thousand species. The situation is far less clear with respect to animal species, but according to local inventories of better known taxa (mammals and other vertebrates, butterflies, and certain other invertebrates), it seems that each endemic plant species in the areas listed is generally accompanied by at least 20, and perhaps as many as 50, endemic animal species. So in just these 18 hot spot areas, we face the prospect of an extinction spasm to surpass anything that has occurred since the late Cretaceous crash.

Were the hot spots analysis to be extended to other species-rich and acutely threatened areas, such as localities in woodlands and wetlands, the total of species facing imminent elimination would be all the greater.

NORMAN MYERS
Upper Meadow, Old Road, Headington, Oxford OX3 8SZ, United Kingdom

REFERENCES

1. N. Myers, *Environmentalist* **8**, 187 (1988); **10**, 243 (1990).

Toxic Waste Cleanup

In his editorial "Toxic chemicals and toxic laws" (30 Aug., p. 949), Daniel E. Koshland, Jr., cites a case where a program intended to help "minorities and the underprivileged in Detroit" might have to be canceled because of provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (or Superfund). In fact, it is hazardous waste, not Superfund itself, that threatens the health of lower-income communities in the United States. Current polluters—including factories, landfills, and incinerators—are located primarily in lower-income communities, as are most known toxic contamination sites. The Superfund statute's "polluter-pays" principle is the only hope these communities have that such health dangers will be eliminated.

Koshland asserts that a significant fraction of the money devoted to Superfund should be dedicated to projects such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's voluntary "33-50" toxic waste reduction program. Without the threat of Superfund liability, manufacturers would have no interest in such voluntary programs. Because our laws and regulations governing hazardous chemicals have so many exclusions, exemptions, and outright loopholes, only the Superfund statute's threat—that polluters will have to clean up their own fiascoes—provides an incentive for manufacturers to explore safer, less polluting processes and to begin reducing their use of toxic chamicals.

DOUGLAS W. WOLF
LINDA E. GREER
National Resources Defense Council, 1350 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20005

PCBs in the Environment

The editorial "Excessive fear of PCBs" by Philip H. Abelson (26 July, p. 361) argues that there is no justification for the regulation of all polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). He bases his argument on the results of experiments with a few highly chlorinated compounds. A working group of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluated in 1987 the carcinogenicity data on PCBs (*1*) and concluded that there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals and limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans to say that PCBs are probably carcinogenic to humans (*2*).

We believe that it would be most useful to obtain sufficient experimental data to allow an evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of specific groups of PCBs: in the meantime, however, we think that a conservative approach in regulating PCBs, like the one chosen by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and criticized by Abelson, is preferred. The experimental data on less chlorinated (42%) PCBs are insufficient to exclude a carcinogenic effect. In fact, Aroclor 1260 or similar formulations (about 60% chlorine) have shown a clear carcinogenic effect in rats in a number of independent studies (*3*); 42% chlorine formulations, on the other hand, have been tested in rats only in the study quoted by Abelson (*4*) and in a small, earlier study (*5*), which suggested an increase in hepatic nodular hyperplasia was found in an earlier study (*5*). Moreover, less chlorinated PCBs contain, at different concentrations, many of the isomers present in mixtures with higher chlorine content (*6*). PCB formulations with low chlorine content, such as Aroclor 1254 (about 54% chlorine) contain hexachlorobiphenyls and even heptachlorobiphenyls (*6*). A further aspect of the concern about exposure to PCBs derives from the almost unavoidable contamination by polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs), which are also potentially carcinogenic to humans. All the commercial PCB formulations that have been analyzed contained PCDFs, including the 2,3,7,8-substituted ones (*6*).

Abelson simplifies the available epidemiological data by stating that PCB exposure "led to no known cases of cancer" in humans. The epidemiological studies on carcinogenicity of PCBs suffer from the limitations encountered for many other occupational exposures, namely lack of large study populations, lack of specificity of exposures, and presence of nonoccupational confounding factors. It is unclear whether (if ever) definitive human data will be available, but we believe it is reasonable for regulatory agencies to take actions before conclusive evidence is reached.

PAOLO BOFFETTA
Unit of Analytical Epidemiology, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 150, Cours Albert-Thomas, 69372 Lyon Cedex 08, France
HARRI VAINIO
Unit of Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 150, Cours Albert-Thomas, 69372 Lyon Cedex 08, France

REFERENCES

1. M. Kuratsune, Y. Nakamura, M. Ikeda, T. Hirihata, in *Dioxin 86. Proceedings of the VI International Symposium on Chlorinated Dioxins and Related Compounds* (Abstract, Fukuoka, Japan 1986), p. FL17; P. Gustavsson, C. Hogstedt, C. Rappe, *Am. J. Ind. Med.* **10**, 341 (1986); D. P. Brown, *Arch. Environ. Health* **42**, 333 (1987); P. A Bertazzi, L. Riboldi, A. Pesatori, L. Radice, C. Zocchetti, *Am. J. Ind. Med.* **11**, 165 (1987).
2. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Overall Evaluations of Carcinogenicity: An Updating of IARC Monographs Volumes 1 to 42, Suppl. 7* (International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1987), pp. 322–326.
3. R. D. Kimbrough *et al.*, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **55**, 1453 (1975); D. H. Norback and R. H. Weltman, *Environ. Health Perspect.* **60**, 97 (1985); C. V. Rao and A. S. Banerji, *Cancer Lett.* **39**, 59 (1988).
4. E. Schaeffer, H. Greim, W. Goessner, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **75**, 278 (1984).
5. N. Ito, H. Nagasaki, S. Makiura, M. Arai, *Gann* **65**, 545 (1974).
6. *PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs: Prevention and Control of Accidental and Environmental Exposures* (World Health Organization, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1987).

The statement by L. W. Robertson *et al.* (Letters, 20 Sept., p. 1334), in response to the editorial by Philip H. Abelson ("Excessive fear of PCBs," 26 July, p. 361) to the effect that the more highly chlorinated congeners of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) remain in the environment is not necessarily correct. Several groups have discovered that anaerobic bacteria (*1–5*) and fungi (*6*) can reductively dechlorinate even the most heavily chlorinated PCB congeners. For example, a *Pseudomonas* strain is able to degrade 2,4,5,2′,4′,5′-hexachlorobiphenyl (*4*), and PCB congeners containing up to five chlorines are metabolized by *Alcaligenes* Y42 and *Acinetobacter* P6 (*5*). Most of the PCBs in Aroclor 1242 are reductively dechlorinated under anaerobic conditions (*3*), and the anaerobic dechlorination of Aroclor 1260 has been observed by several groups (*1*). The resulting less toxic, lightly chlorinated PCBs are known targets for aerobic bacterial oxidative biodegradation (*1, 2*), resulting in the total breakdown of the biphenyl ring system.

This tandem biomechanism of anaerobic reductive dechlorination of PCBs in aquatic sediments followed by oxidative biodegradation in aerobic environments, resulting ultimately in the elimination of PCBs, has apparently existed in the environment for years, but was only recently uncovered (*1–6*).

GORDON W. GRIBBLE
Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822

REFERENCES

1. D. A. Abramowicz, *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **10**, 241 (1990).
2. D. J. Hardman, *ibid.* **11**, 1 (1991); J. F. Brown, Jr., *et al.*, *Science* **236**, 709 (1987).
3. J. F. Quensen, J. M. Tiedje, S. A. Boyd, *Science* **242**, 752 (1988).
4. L. H. Bopp, *J. Ind. Microbiol.* **1**, 23 (1986).
5. K. Furukawa, N. Tomizuka, A. Kamibayashi, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **38**, 301 (1979).
6. J. A. Bumpus *et al.*, *Science* **228**, 1434 (1985).

Clarification: In the cover caption for the issue of 6 September (p. 1069), the magnification for the image of the mite *Proctlaelaps regalis* was approximately 400 times its actual body size (actual length was about 500 micrometers).

Erratum: The Author Index for volume 253 (27 Sept., p. 1601) covered the months July through September 1991, not "August–September," as printed.

Erratum: In the article "Natural language processing" by A. K. Joshi (13 Sept., p. 1242), "(S\P)" should have been "(S\NP)," throughout the right-hand column on page 1244. In the same column, in line 14, "function composition" should have been "function application," and in the example sentences (b) through (g), the leftmost open parentheses should not have appeared.

Erratum: An item in the 27 September ScienceScope (p. 1475) misstated the federal agencies that manage 90% of federal land. Those agencies are the Department of Defense, the Department of the Interior, the Forest Service, and the Tennessee Valley Authority.

Erratum: The color photograph accompanying a ScienceScope item on computational mechanics (18 Oct., p. 365) should have been credited to the San Diego Supercomputing Center. The photograph is a graphic rendering of the brain and not a simulation of Alzheimer's disease, as the caption suggested.