

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford

Deputy Editors: Phillip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*); Thomas R. Cech (*Biological Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Senior Editors: Eleanor Butz, Martha Coleman, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kerner, Phillip D. Szuroni, David F. Voss

Associate Editors: R. Brooks Hanson, Pamela J. Hines, Kelly LaMarco, Linda J. Miller

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Teresa Fryberger

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Julianne Hunt, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Margaret E. Gray, MaryBeth Sharlie, Beverly Shields

Production Director: James Landry

Production Manager: Kathleen C. Fishback

Art Director: Yolanda M. Rook

Assistant Art Director: Julie Cherry

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Catherine S. Siskos

Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

Managing News Editor: Colin Norman

Correspondent-at-Large: Barbara J. Culliton

Deputy News Editors: John M. Benditt, Jean Marx

News and Comment/Research News: Ann Gibbons, David P. Hamilton, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Elliot Marshall, Joseph Palca, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: Jeremy Cherfas

West Coast Correspondent: Marcia Barinaga

Contributing Correspondents: Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Karen Wright

BUSINESS STAFF

Marketing Director: Beth Rosner

Circulation Director: Michael Spinella

Fulfillment Manager: Marlene Zendell

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Amie Charlene King

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Hoffman Estates, IL 60195: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (708-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 29 June 1990 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. **Advertising correspondence** should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725. **Subscription/Member Benefits Questions:** 202-326-6417.

Clean Coal Technology

The United States is going to find it necessary to use more intensely its principal fossil fuel, coal. One of the major technological challenges of the next decades will be to develop means of using it imaginatively as a source of chemicals and in a more energy-efficient manner while reducing the resultant pollution. Progress in the United States in achieving improvements in the use of coal will be beneficial globally and especially in Eastern Europe, the Soviet Union, China, and India.

The recently enacted Clean Air Act will lead to a diminution in acid rain, but it will not be helpful in reducing CO₂ emissions from the burning of coal. The new law affects about half the nation's coal-fired power plants. Most utilities east of the Mississippi River must give precedence in their planning and capital expenditures to reducing SO₂ and other emissions. The responses in many instances will be to install scrubbers. This will be costly and time consuming and will require outages of generating equipment. Such responses will entail a loss of thermal efficiency.

A cost-sharing Clean Coal Technology Program sponsored by the Department of Energy (DOE) is fostering innovations that are likely to have more positive long-term effects. A total of 38 proposals have been approved, a substantial fraction of which deal with improved methods of combating pollution. Two others are of particular interest. One is a pressurized fluid bed combustion (PFBC) combined-cycle demonstration.* The new PFBC plant is now becoming operational at Ohio Power Company's Tidd plant near Brilliant on the Ohio River. The PFBC technology is capable of reducing SO₂ emissions by more than 90% and NO_x emissions by 50 to 70%. With its combined-cycle feature, it has the potential to operate at a thermal efficiency of 45% in contrast to a conventional 36%. The technology lends itself to modular shop fabrication. Engineers state that capital and maintenance costs of additional modules will be less than those of existing conventional boilers. With PFBC, the high-sulfur coals of the eastern United States can be burned in compliance with the environmental standards of the Clean Air Act.

A second interesting new technology co-sponsored by DOE under the Clean Coal Technology Program is the Encoal mild coal gasification project.† The process will convert a sub-bituminous low-BTU coal into a useful higher-BTU solid while producing significant amounts of a liquid fuel.

Major reserves of sub-bituminous coal are located in Wyoming, North Dakota, Montana, Alaska, and Texas. The seams in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming are under minimal overburden and range in thickness from 25 to 60 meters. Mining is highly mechanized. The coal has a sulfur content of about 0.5%, ash, 5%, and water, about 30%. Its heating value is about 8000 BTU per pound. Substantial amounts are sent east of the Mississippi even though the cost of transportation far exceeds that of mining.

Encoal Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Shell Mining Company of Houston, Texas, will have responsibility for supervision of design, construction, and operation of a 1000-ton per day demonstration plant at the Buckskin Mine near Gillette, Wyoming. The plant will use liquids from coal (LFC) technology developed by Shell and SGI International of La Jolla, California. The new technology is based on extensive studies of the behavior of coals heated to different temperatures for various periods of time. At temperatures between 100° and 200°C, H₂O is the principal product. A short exposure at 540°C yields many products, including CH₄, CO, CO₂, H₂O, and aromatic chemicals such as phenol and creosols. The product slate is sensitive to the treatment regimen. The plant will be highly instrumented and automated. The 1000 tons per day are projected to give rise to 500 tons of solids with low sulfur content and heating value of 12,000 BTU per pound. Five hundred barrels per day of a low-sulfur, valuable liquid will also be produced.

Construction of the plant began 26 October 1990. Components will mainly be standard commercial items. As a result, completion is scheduled for mid-1992. Already Encoal is discussing with potential customers contracts for product to be supplied for test burns. If all goes well, the next step would be construction of commercial-scale plants processing 10,000 tons of coal per day. The liquids and the solids from such plants would have a substantial impact on the energy economy of this country.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

*"Tidd: the nation's first PFBC combined-cycle demonstration." *Clean Coal Technol.* 1 (March 1990).

†U.S. Department of Energy, "Encoal mild coal gasification project" (Washington, DC, June 1990).