American Association for the Advancement of Science Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advance ment of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science-including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the indi-vidual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr

News Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences); Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Assistant Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Martha Coleman, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss Associate Editors: R. Brooks Hanson, Pamela J. Hines, Kelly

LaMarco, Linda J. Miller

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston. editor: Teresa

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, head; Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Margaret E. Gray, MaryBeth

Shartle, Beverly Shields **Production Manager:** James Landry

Assistant Production Manager: Kathleen C. Fishback Art Director: Yolanda M. Rook

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Julie Cherry, Catherine S. Siskos Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

Correspondent-at-Large: Barbara J. Culliton Deputy News Editors: John M. Benditt, Jean Marx, Colin Norma

News and Comment/Research News: Ann Gibbons, David P. Hamilton, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Joseph Palca, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: Jeremy Cherfas

West Coast Correspondent: Marcia Barinaga Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease

BUSINESS STAFF Circulation Director: Michael Spinella Fulfillment Manager: Marlene Zendell

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Classified Advertising Supervisor: Amie Charlene King

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES Director: Earl J. Scherago Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera Traffic Manager: Donna Hivera Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broad-way (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Hoffman Estates, IL 60195: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (708-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 30 March 1990 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, Telephone: 202-326-6500. Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725.

SCIENCE

22 JUNE 1990 VOLUME 248 NUMBER 4962

Applications of Fuel Cells

r hen the Apollo astronauts traveled to the moon, electricity for their spacecraft was furnished by electrochemical cells fueled by hydrogen and oxygen. These gases combined in the cells to generate water and dc power. No pollutants were produced. About 70% of the chemical energy available in the reaction of hydrogen and oxygen was transformed into electrical energy. In contrast, when coal is oxidized in boiler plants, only about 33% of the chemical energy becomes available as electricity and large amounts of pollutants are released.

Since the 1960s, substantial efforts have been made to adapt fuel-cell technology to large-scale earthly applications. A major impediment has been the cost of equipment. Each cell generates 0.6 to 0.8 V at power densities of 1 to 2 kW/m². Many cells with large areas must be connected in series to obtain substantial amounts of power. Through sustained effort capital costs have been lowered by one to two orders of magnitude and further improvements are in sight. Prospects are that in the coming decades fuel-cell technology will have a large role in global energy production.

A number of different types of fuel cells are under development. Two are closest to becoming practically important. One, based on use of phosphoric acid as an electrolyte (PAFC), operates at 200°C. A second, in which a molten mixture of alkaline carbonates (MCFC) is used, runs at 650°C. Fuel for the PAFC is hydrogen and air furnishes the oxygen. Methane, other small hydrocarbons, and biogas can serve as sources of hydrogen, but they must separately undergo reforming to yield hydrogen by reaction with hot water. The MCFC is more tolerant and can convert many fuels to hydrogen internally. The conversion efficiency of PAFC for electricity is slightly greater than 40% and that of MCFC is about 55%. In both cases by-product heat is available for other uses. In some circumstances constructive utilization of fuel energy is greater than 80%.

Most operating experience has been accumulated using PAFC systems, which in turn has led to a recent first announcement of commercialization. A subsidiary of United Technologies named ONSI has received orders for 53 200-kW systems with deliveries to begin in 1992. The initial price per kilowatt will be \$2500, and as manufacturing experience is gained, the price is expected to decline to \$1500, and a further drop to \$1000 is possible. On a capital cost basis, these prices are higher than those of large gas turbine plants for production of electricity but are economic for cogeneration. The most attractive applications for near-term fuel-cell technology are on-site cogeneration. Fuel-cell plants would be located to serve energy requirements of restaurants, retail stores, apartment buildings, or hospitals. In addition to electricity, the plants could furnish hot water, space heat, steam, and absorption cooling. Space requirement for a 200-kW plant is modest: 3.2 m high, 7.6 m long, and 2.5 m wide. The plants will require practically no supervision and will be noiseless. Other attractive features of the technology are flexibility in size and number of modules. The equipment can rapidly follow changes in load. Efficiency is virtually constant as a function of demand in the range of 30 to 100% of rated capacity. The dc output of the cells is converted into ac, which can or need not be connected to a major power grid.

Flexibility with respect to size is proving particularly attractive to the American Public Power Association. Many localities cannot afford to buy a large conventional generator. They now must purchase power from private utilities or use diesel generators.

Development of the molten carbonate fuel cell is not so far along as the PAFC. However, a number of fuel cells and fuel-cell stacks have shown satisfactory performance, and enthusiasm for MCFC is increasing. Costs for the systems are projected to be less than those for PAFC. Their efficiency is better, and by-product heat at 650°C has superior usefulness.

If current concerns about air pollution and global warming were to become paramount, fuel cells would become a technology of choice. They have negligible emissions of NOx and SO2 and emit less CO2 per kilowatt-hour than steam power plants. If a carbon tax were enacted to reduce CO2 emissions, MCFC could become the most economical method of producing both small and large amounts of electricity.-PHILIP H. ABELSON