## **POSTER YOUR WALLS** WITH SCIENCE

SCIENCE cover posters are once again available. These beautiful, full-color reproductions are suitable for framing, and the sturdy mailing tube keeps them creasefree during shipment. Only \$7.95, U.S. postage paid.

MARK quantity in box.
#01 <b>LOCOMOTIVE IN CHINA</b> 9 February 1979 (18 x 24)
#02 <b>LIGHTNING OVER ST. LOUIS</b> 27 July 1979 (20 x 28)
#03 <b>CHEETAH AND CUB</b> 29 July 1983 (20 x 28)
#04 <b>SNOWSHOE HARE</b> 2 December 1983 (20 x 28)
#05 CATHEDRAL WINDOW/DNA MOLECULE 23 December 1983 (20 x 28)
#06 <b>NEURONS IN MOTOR CORTEX</b> 26 September 1986 (18 x 24)
#07 <b>BYRD GLACIER, ANTARCTICA</b> 28 November 1986 (18 x 24)
#08 COMBINATION OF SPACE COVERS IN SCROLL FORMAT 1 June 1979, 23 November 1979, 10 April 1981 (12 x 37)
#09 <b>MOONS OF URANUS</b> 9 September 1988 (18 x 24)
#10 CATERPILLAR/YOU ARE WHAT YOU EAT 3 February 1989 (18 x 24)
#11 ATMOSPHERIC LAYERING ON EARTH'S EDGE 10 February 1989 (18 x 24)
#Total number ordered @ \$7.95 \$Subtotal \$For shipment to California, add applicable sales tax. \$Total
☐ Check enclosed Please charge my: ☐ Mastercard ☐ Visa
Card # Exp
Name
Address
City
State/Zip
MAIL TO: AAAS Books, Dept. 8 P.O. Box 753 Waldorf, MD 20604
Orde Yours now

while supplies last!

mined statistically by noting an increase in the expected incidence rate for that particular cancer. Even when such an increased incidence rate is observed, we cannot distinguish between those cancers that would have normally occurred and those that were due to exposure to the carcinogen.

> HERMAN CEMBER Department of Civil Engineering The Technological Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

## Balance in Science

I disagree with Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.'s, statement that "the chance of being published in Science is approximately the same for all fields of research" (Editorial, 28 July, p. 341).

To favor papers in physics and social sciences over those in biology does help represent the former two disciplines. But a definition of "biology" based on the contents of Science excludes many fields of biology. So many of the papers in Science are concerned with molecular, cell, and biochemical biology, especially those with human applications, that the journal's name might be changed to "Biomedical Science." One has to be in one of those specialized fields to even understand the titles! Science publishes so few papers on organismal biology (in such fields as ecology, zoology, or vertebrate morphology-which is the major division of the American Society of Zoologists), that it is no wonder authors from these fields do not bother to submit their papers to Science.

I think that Science has a long way to go before it "publish[es] the cutting edge of research in every branch of science as well as present[s] research that will interest readers ranging from physicists to social scientists."

> STEPHEN M. REILLY Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92717

Response: Physicists will find it hard to believe that a biologist feels discriminated against by Science, but reader Reilly illustrates the point I was trying to make. Within each major field (physics, biology, and so forth), there are subdivisions (organismal, AIDS, crystallography, cell, and so forth in biology), each of which thinks their own area is underrepresented. What appears in Science is to a first approximation a constant fraction of what we receive in each area. That distribution is affected by funding and fashions that control the number of workers in a field, but we do not want it to be further influenced by the preconceptions of authors. We try to judge all papers equally but, if anything, give a slight edge to underrepresented areas—Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

## Correction

We wish to make a correction concerning our 9 September 1988 report "Selection of variable-joining [VJ] region combinations in the  $\alpha$  chain of the T cell receptor" (1). We have discovered that the V<sub>58</sub>J<sub>3</sub> isolates actually contain part of the 3' heptamer-spacer sequence from the  $V_{58}$  germline (2) and thus these do not, in fact, contain a joining segment. Because these isolates exhibit diversity at the coding-signal junction, we incorrectly assumed that they were bona fide VJ transcripts. At this time we do not know whether the junctional bases are nongermline elements introduced at the coding-signal joints, or whether transcripts from such nonrearranged V<sub>\alpha</sub> genes might serve some function. Transcripts from nonrearranged gamma genes have recently been reported, and these are inducible by interleukin-3 (3).

We would also like to comment on the observation that the V<sub>58</sub>J<sub>58</sub> isolates do not contain diversity at the VJ joint. This lack of diversity, in contrast to that of all other VJ isolates from our laboratory, has raised the question of whether these may have been derived, as a polymerase chain reaction contaminant (4), from the  $\alpha$  chain gene isolated from CTL 2C. At this time we do not have a definitive answer.

Despite the error in our analysis of the  $V_{58}J_3$  isolates, the main tenet of our report (that is, the preferential association and expression of particular VJ combinations) holds true. Thus, the J<sub>1</sub> and J<sub>2</sub> isolates (figures 1 and 3) and only a few other J segments (5) have been found to be expressed as the predominant V<sub>58</sub> transcripts.

> MATTHEW E. ROTH MICHAEL J. LACY LESLIE KLIS MCNEIL DAVID M. KRANZ Department of Biochemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

## REFERENCES

- M. E. Roth, M. J. Lacy, L. Klis McNeil, D. M. Kranz, Science 241, 1354 (1988).
   A. C. Hayday et al., Nature 316, 828 (1985).
- Y. Weinstein, K. Morishita, J. L. Cleveland, J. N. Ihle, J. Exp. Med. 169, 2059 (1989)
- S. Kwok and R. Higuchi, Nature 339, 237 (1989).
- M. E. Roth, M. J. Lacy, L. Klis McNeil, D. M. Kranz, unpublished observations

SCIENCE, VOL. 245 1032