

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

News Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Senior Editor: Eleanor Butz

Associate Editors: Keith W. Brocklehurst, Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Susan Milius

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Jane Hurd, MaryBeth Shartle, Beverly Shields

Production Manager: James Landry

Art Director: Yolanda M. Rook

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Catherine S. Siskos

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

Correspondent-at-Large: Barbara J. Culliton

Deputy News Editors: Roger Lewin, Colin Norman

News and Comment/Research News: Gregory Byrne, Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Jean L. Marx, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Marjorie Sun, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

Contributing Writer: John Walsh

BUSINESS STAFF

Circulation Director: John G. Colson

Fulfillment Manager: Ann Ragland

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burkland

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Sales: New York, NY 10036; J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60914: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 31 March 1989 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. **Advertising correspondence** should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725.

Synthetic Membranes

The level of R&D activity devoted to synthetic membranes has risen rapidly during the past several years. Great progress is being made both in separative capabilities and in the scope of practical applications.* The trend is especially marked in the field of gas separations, in which at least 20 major companies worldwide are now active. Their membranes are being used in a host of important processes ranging from food preservation to natural-gas processing, refinery operations, and enhanced oil recovery.

A key event in the technology of gas separative membranes was the development by Monsanto of a method of producing tiny hollow polymer fibers, first used practically in 1979. Gases under pressure dissolve in the fiber walls and diffuse through the membrane at different rates. For example, the rates for methane and nitrogen are low, whereas those for moisture, oxygen, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen sulfide are rapid. There is an interesting contrast between barriers that have open tiny holes and those that present a continuous wall. The separation through a barrier with small holes is dependent on the ratio of the square roots of the masses. Thus the separation factor of nitrogen and oxygen is only about 1.07 through the holes, whereas some of the synthetic membranes have a separation factor of more than 7. The synthetic membranes are usually composed of such polymers as cellulosic derivatives, polysulfone, polyamide, or polyimide. The structure of the polymer chain has a dramatic effect on separating characteristics. Bulky side groups create excess free volume within the wall. Moreover, large improvements in separative capabilities are being achieved by altering polymer formulations. Between 1985 and 1988, separative capabilities were increased from 200 to 400%. A recent product announcement by Generon, a subsidiary of the Dow Chemical Company, indicates further substantial progress.

In many instances membrane technology is in competition with existing methods. For example, cryogenic equipment for separations of gases is well established. However, in comparison to other methods membrane equipment often has low capital costs, ease of operation, low energy consumption, operational cost effectiveness, and good weight and space efficiency.

Membranes alone cannot achieve perfect separation of gases, for example, nitrogen from the oxygen of air. However, in one pass through the fibers, the gas is changed from 21% oxygen to a gas containing 2 to 5% oxygen or less. This product is excellent for many applications such as preservation of fruit and grain and for safely blanketing highly inflammable liquids. In grain elevators, a low oxygen content safeguards the grain against rodents and other pests while eliminating danger of dust explosions. Fruit can be maintained in excellent condition much longer in the presence of a low oxygen content than with ordinary air present. At locations distant from a cryogenic plant membrane separation is the simplest, most cost-effective method of achieving the low oxygen content.

Installations of gas separative membranes are increasingly occurring in energy production activities. An initial application was to isolate hydrogen from other components in refinery streams. What may become more important is the processing of gas mixtures that contain methane, moisture, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen sulfide. Such mixtures are present in much of petroleum production, non-associated natural gases, and gas produced in connection with enhanced oil recovery attained through injection of carbon dioxide. Some natural gas contains a quite toxic level of hydrogen sulfide. Both this compound and carbon dioxide are corrosive to pipes, and their level and that of water must be reduced before injection into major pipelines. In the past, many discoveries of natural gas were capped because of excessive content of non-methane gases. Cheaper membrane technology is changing the economics. Carbon dioxide has become valuable in enhanced oil recovery so that gases that were worthless now have two useful components—carbon dioxide and methane. Another situation in which membrane technology is especially helpful is in offshore production of petroleum with its associated gases. The older technology for cleaning the gases is heavy, cumbersome, space demanding, and not entirely free of hazard. Membrane separation is preferable in many instances.

Prospects are excellent for further improvements in the selectivity and performance of membranes and for their energy and cost-effective use in a growing number of large-scale applications.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

*A. S. Michaels, *Chemtech* 19, 162 (March 1989); R. W. Spillman, *Chem. Eng. Prog.* 85, 41 (January 1989).