SCIENCE

26 August 1988 VOLUME 241 **NUMBER 4869**

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advance ment of science, including the presentation of minority or con flicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science-including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews-are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Alvin W. Trivelpiece Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Phillip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan Assistant Managing Editor: Nancy J. Hartnagel Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Ruth Kulstad Associate Editors: Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, editor: Deborah Field

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, head: Mary McDaniel

Patricia L. Moe, Barbara E. Pattersor

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Beverly Shields, Anna Victoreen,

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Assistant Production Manager: James Landry Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, James J. Olivarri,

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

lews Editor: Barbara J. Culliton News and Comment: Colin Norman, deputy editor; William Booth, Gregory Byrne, Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, Mariorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, deputy editor; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Jean L. Marx, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle mber and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments:

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera
Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60194: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 24808 Shrubbery Hill Ct. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 24 June 1988 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-

Science and Technology Awards

↑his past 15 July, President Reagan awarded 20 National Medals of Science and 10 National Medals of Technology to some of our most outstanding citizens. The presentation ceremony was well attended by the families and friends of the medalists as well as media representatives (Science, 22 July, p. 410). In his remarks, the President pointed out the vital role played by science and technology in our nation's development and well-being. This event, however, did not receive much coverage, either by the electronic or print media. This is unfortunate because it is individuals like these medalists who have discovered or invented many of the things that we take for granted in our daily lives.

The discoveries made and honored have kept millions of people alive, reduced suffering, and opened up new frontiers for technology. There have been inventions that have made computers work better and faster and improvements to our weapons systems that have made the difference in our national security. The list of discoveries and developments from this group of medalists alone includes nuclear magnetic resonance imaging, high-temperature superconductors, a better understanding of human memory, and the design and production of many of our high-performance military aircraft.

Events like this, at which some of our leading scientists and engineers are honored, are not generally regarded as news by most of the media. This is also true of some of our other national awards, such as the Department of Energy's Fermi and Lawrence awards or the National Science Foundation's Waterman and Vannevar Bush awards. Sometimes the awards are mentioned by the recipient's hometown media because of the local interest, but otherwise there is not much coverage. This is not a criticism of the media. It is a just a fact of life. There is not much pressure for the media to report on these matters. I wonder what would happen if as many of us wrote in to our local newspaper, television, or radio station and asked about the latest award or discovery as do those interested in hockey, football, or baseball scores. Maybe we just might begin to see better coverage of events like the awarding of the medals of science and technology.

Whether or not we get better coverage of the various awards, it is important to see to it that those deserving of recognition are nominated. The screening and evaluation panels should have the appropriate documentation as well as lists of candidates that include the very best in the various categories. For most of the awards with which I am familiar, the screening panels are not permitted to add to the list of nominees. Many of us assume that all the best people are included among the nominees available to be selected. Although the various lists do not want for outstanding candidates, all the outstanding candidates who should be considered are not always on the lists. I am a member of the evaluation committee for the National Medal of Technology. The individuals that we have recommended have all been outstanding, but there are other outstanding individuals who should be nominated and who have not yet been. In that regard, the various engineering and technical societies should examine their membership roles to identify worthy candidates and then persuade some of their members to do the work of preparing well-documented, first-class nominations.

There is today much concern with science and technology education and with the lack of interest shown by our children and young people in pursuing careers in science and technology. Many people lament that we no longer seem to produce the kinds of heroes and role models for our young people to admire and follow as we used to. Well, maybe, but to me these medalists and their fellow medalists from previous years are modern American heroes. Maybe it would help to inspire more young people and educate the public if there were a few more news stories about achievements of scientists and engineers who have saved millions of lives or changed the dynamics of the world economy as a result of a key scientific discovery or invention.—ALVIN W. TRIVELPIECE