

Letters

AIDS Risk

Harry Rubin states (Letters, 10 June, p. 1389) that available information on the prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in the United States and on the risk of AIDS after infection with HIV are inconsistent with observed AIDS incidence. He perceives a "three- to fivefold shortfall" in the observed number of AIDS cases in 1986 (compared with the expected number) and attributes this to differences in risk of AIDS after HIV infection resulting from differences in behavior. Rubin goes on to imply that the probability of developing AIDS after HIV infection may be much lower for heterosexuals who do not use IV drugs than for other HIV-infected individuals and that observed latency periods (times between HIV infection and onset of AIDS) of more than 5 years may be "an illusion created by the need for chronic or repeated exposure to an array of microorganisms or immunosuppressive practices." As we demonstrate below, this reasoning is flawed.

The basis of Rubin's argument for an inconsistency between the 16,000 AIDS cases diagnosed in 1986 and the estimated 1 to 1.5 million persons infected with HIV is that approximately 5% of infected people will develop AIDS each year. In fact, available epidemiologic data indicate that the risk of developing AIDS is not constant, but rather increases with time since infection (1). Therefore, people who have been infected for several years have a greater risk of developing AIDS in the coming year than those recently infected. It follows that the proportion of an HIV-infected population that develops AIDS in a 1-year period depends on the distribution of time since infection in this population. This distribution changes over chronologic time because those infected longer develop AIDS at a higher rate and because the rate of new infection also changes over chronologic time.

In order to determine whether the observed number of AIDS cases is consistent with an estimated number of HIV-infected individuals, it is necessary to take into account the dynamics of the epidemic, that is, changes over time in the prevalence of HIV infection and the incidence of AIDS. Modeling these dynamics under the assumption of a common latency distribution (but allowing different mixes of times since infection) demonstrates that a large range of cumulative infection curves are consistent

with the observed annual incidence of AIDS (2, 3). Indeed, this is one of the approaches used to arrive at the estimate of 1 to 1.5 million people infected with HIV (3). There is, therefore, no inconsistency between the estimated number of people infected with HIV and observed AIDS incidence.

While these results contradict Rubin's statement, they do not prove that behavior or mode of infection is unrelated to latency. Although there is no direct epidemiologic evidence for differences in the latency distribution among gay men, transfusion recipients, and hemophiliacs (4), it would be premature to conclude that no difference exists because of limitations of available data both in structure and in sample size. Precise quantification of this relation will require follow-up of cohorts of individuals infected with HIV from different kinds of contact.

V. DE GRUTTOLA

S. W. LAGAKOS

Department of Biostatistics,
School of Public Health,
Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115

REFERENCES

1. J. Curran *et al.*, *Science* **289**, 610 (1988).
2. V. De Gruttola and S. Lagakos, "The value of AIDS incidence data in assessing the course of the AIDS epidemic" (Technical Report No. 564, Dana-Farber Cancer Center, Boston, MA, 1987).
3. Centers for Disease Control, *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* **36**, S-6 (1987).
4. M. Eyster *et al.*, *Ann. Intern. Med.* **107**, 1 (1987); K. J. Lui, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **83**, 2913 (1986); G. F. Medley, R. M. Anderson, D. R. Cox, *Nature* **328**, 719 (1987); S. W. Lagakos, L. M. Barraj, V. De Gruttola, *Biometrika*, in press.

Viviparity and Testability

Two recent explanations for the absence of viviparity in birds have been described by Roger Lewin (Research News, 17 Oct. 1986, p. 285; 29 Jan. 1988, p. 465), one by Blackburn and Evans (1) and the other by Anderson, Stoyan, and Ricklefs (2). Although the dialogue on this issue is continuing elsewhere, the alternative interpretations thus far expressed ought not obscure a significant area of agreement: the utility of framing the relevant evolutionary hypotheses in testable terms.

Historically, macroevolutionary issues often have been judged on the basis of plausibility and concordance with unquestioned assumptions and accepted paradigms. When dealt with in terms of falsifiable predictions and testable assumptions, evolutionary questions are brought fully within the bound of empirical science, minimizing the roles of individual bias, chance, and disciplinary parochialism. The universality of oviparity among birds is an example of a long-

standing evolutionary issue that remains approachable, if indirectly, through empirical investigation and rigorous theoretical analysis.

DANIEL G. BLACKBURN

Department of Biology,
Trinity College,
Hartford, CT 06106

REFERENCES

1. D. G. Blackburn, and H. E. Evans, *Am. Nat.* **128**, 165 (1986).
2. D. J. Anderson, N. C. Stoyan, R. E. Ricklefs, *ibid.* **130**, 941 (1987).

Military Funding of Biological Research

Reviewing a book on the military-physics relationship in postwar America (15 Apr., p. 341), Karl Hufbauer comments that chapter author Paul Forman's perspective "would surely need major modification for those disciplines such as the biological sciences where military patronage is small."

Military patronage in the biological sciences is by no means small, as attested by federal contracts cited in *Commerce Business Daily*. In a single day's issue (1), large biological research contracts (for more than \$100,000) awarded by the Office of Naval Research alone have totaled \$1,850,217.

KARIN WISIOL

Karin Wisiol & Associates,
614 Indian Road, Glenview, IL 60025

REFERENCES

1. *Commerce Business Daily* (7 April 1988), p. 21. "The Physiology of Selective Attention" (\$324,682); "Research on Creation of a Digital Database of Marine Animal Sounds" (\$350,000); "Natural Antifouling Strategies in Gorgonian Corals" (\$129,954); "Molecular Regulation of Light Adaptation and Nitrogen Assimilation in Marine Diatoms" (\$210,000); "Continued Research to Develop Procedures for Enzymatic Conversion of A Red Cells to Type O for Transfusion" (\$265,000); "Molecular Basis of RNA Catalysis" (\$240,531); "Optical Neuromorphs" (\$330,050).

Longevity and Gender

R. Fischer (Letters, 8 Apr., p. 130) suggests that the main reason why women live longer than men is that they burn their "fire of life"—to use Kleiber's phrase (1)—more efficiently. He falls into the trap, however, of expressing energy expenditure in terms of surface area. For reasons that are not entirely clear this appears to be satisfactory for interspecies comparisons over a wide range of body mass, from mouse to elephant (1). It does not, however, hold for comparisons between the sexes in humans, where body fat as a percentage of total body weight in

women is about twice that in men. The actively metabolizing tissue is the lean body mass, and an experiment carried out by medical students in this university on themselves showed that sex differences in resting oxygen consumption when related to surface area, and of the order of those given by Fischer, disappeared when expressed in terms of lean body mass (2).

DONALD S. McLAREN
Department of Medicine,
University of Edinburgh,
The Royal Infirmary,
Edinburgh, Scotland EH3 9YW

1. M. Kleiber, *The Fire of Life: An Introduction to Animal Energetics* (Krieger, Huntington NY, 1975).
2. M. G. MacMillan, C. M. Reid, M. Shirling, R. Passmore *Lancet* i, 728 (1965).

Dolphin Research

I write in response to the article "The social lives of dolphins" by William Booth (Research News, 3 June, p. 1273). First, the last sentence of the article mistakenly attributes to me the idea that "large brains may have something to do with the amount of sexual behavior that is pursued outside of

any reproductive context." This was not my idea. Second, Booth implies a disagreement between myself and Randy Wells concerning comparisons between primate and dolphin social systems. We do not disagree; I concur with Wells that dolphin-terrestrial mammal comparisons should proceed on a broad front and not focus exclusively on chimpanzees or any other single species. Finally, Andrew Richards is the unnamed "third graduate student" mentioned in paragraph 7 of the article. He has been closely associated with the Shark Bay dolphin research for 5 years.

BARBARA SMUTS
Departments of Psychology
and Anthropology, University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1346

Is Science "Gender-Blind"?

In response to Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.'s editorial "Women in science" (25 Mar., p. 1473), I would like to point out yet another way in which the scientific community ignores the value of women members—by limiting their participation on editorial boards and boards of reviewing editors (25

Mar., p. 1469). Koshland is correct when he states that the "old boys" system "has been only partly eradicated": only 8% of the *Science* boards' members are women. I would bet that the percentage of women actually reviewing manuscripts is much greater. Women don't choose to sit in the wings; they are an untapped resource. Women don't need role models; they need opportunities.

BERNADINE J. WISNIESKI
Department of Microbiology,
University of California,
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Response: The main point of my editorial was that we should increase the representation of women in the scientific community. We checked our reviewers for the past 3 months as a result of Wisnieski's letter and found the number of women to be 10%. It is noteworthy that both this number and the percentage of women on our boards are comparable to or slightly higher than the percentage of women on U.S. science faculties (for example, women make up 4% of U.S. chemistry faculties). If the total number of women scientists increases, these percentages will undoubtedly go up.

—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

BURN YOUR REFERENCE CARDS!

REF-11™

Computerizes your REFERENCES
and prepares your BIBLIOGRAPHIES

- ☐ Maintains a data base of references
- ☐ Searches for any combination of authors, years of publication, reference title, publication title, keywords or abstract
- ☐ Formats bibliographies exactly as you want them
- ☐ Reads your paper, inserts citations into the paper, and prepares a bibliography of the references cited (optional)
- ☐ Downloads references from MedLine data bases such as NLM, BRS and DIALOG (optional)

IBM PC/XT/AT, MS-DOS, CP/M 80 ... **\$195⁰⁰**

RT-11, TSX-Plus, RSX-11, P/OS **\$250⁰⁰**

VAX/VMS (native mode) **\$350⁰⁰**



ANY
MANUAL **\$15⁰⁰**

ANY
MANUAL
& DEMO **\$20⁰⁰**

322 Prospect Ave., Hartford, CT 06106
(203) 247-8500

Connecticut residents add 7½% sales tax.

THE GERMAN HYPERTENSION LEAGUE

announces



THE FRANZ VOLHARD FELLOWSHIP

The German Hypertension League has created a new research fellowship, now available to scientists currently involved in fundamental or clinical research relevant to hypertension. Recipients will be selected by the Scientific Committee of the German Hypertension League on the basis of scientific merit and a detailed research proposal. Candidates from any country can work for 1 or 2 years in a laboratory of their choice in Germany. This award is sponsored by

Essex Pharma GmbH, München.

The deadline for receipt of research proposals is September 1, 1988.

Further details may be obtained from

German Hypertension League Fellowship Program

c/o Detlev Ganten, MD, PhD
German Institute for High Blood
Pressure Research
Im Neuenheimer Feld 366
D-6900 Heidelberg, FRG