

graduate summer schools, a postgraduate fellowship scheme, and support for a number of collaborative research projects.

These projects currently include research on the tunneling microscope with the Universities of Marseilles in France and Madrid in Spain; on potential applications of the microscope to the structural analysis of DNA molecules, which is being carried out with research workers at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich; and on computer science with the universities of Stuttgart and Aachen in West Germany.

What seems to set IBM apart is the singlemindedness with which its strategy is pursued. This can be seen in the efforts that are put into recruitment. The company never advertises research staff vacancies; young scientists are either handpicked from among those who attend its postgraduate courses, or have been spotted through the network of links that have been formed with European universities.

"It is important to get the best and brightest people," says Reiser. "You do not get these by advertising, but by being close to universities, and knowing the best institutions." The close relations established with major European universities, he says, has been "a key ingredient in our ability to hire those people who make our high level of achievement possible."

Ironically, Binnig came to the attention of IBM not through a visit by Rohrer to his university in Frankfurt-which Binnig has said he was unaware of at the time-but through the fact that his research had been picked out by Müller, then head of the laboratory's physics department, during an assessment of some of the university's research projects.

The same single-mindedness operates in ensuring that IBM scientists remain productive. Research division head Armstrong points out that, although scientific freedom is highly prized, scientists "have to earn their freedom through the quality of their research contributions.'

Another factor distinguishing the center

from a university research laboratory is the omnipresence, even in a research setting, of a powerful corporate culture which stretches from the four explicit principles of the research division-"excel technically," "know IBM," "know the technical world," and "provide technical leadership"—to a highly developed system of company awards recognizing scientific achievement.

The IBM Lab An international center

sciences.

To a certain extent, the corporate culture helps to compensate for a marked ambiguity over the national status of the Zurich laboratory. Armstrong and Reiser both argue strongly that the laboratory should be seen as a European research institution, emphasizing that it employs almost exclusively European scientists (from 12 different nations). As such, they claim a right to full partnership in joint European research programs, such as the information technology program ESPRIT run by the European Economic Community-a claim which is still contested in some quarters.

There is also ambiguity over who, apart from IBM and the individual scientists involved, can claim credit for the research results. The superconductivity breakthrough, for example, is frequently acclaimed-to the frustration of some of the laboratory scientists-as an American discovery.

This ambiguous identity is reflected within the laboratory itself, which operates through a mixture of an American-style working atmosphere grafted on to a strong European cultural background giving rise, for example, to debates about the rival values of German and English as operating languages.

But if the status of the laboratory is ambiguous, its value to IBM is not. The success of the Zurich laboratory, says Armstrong, is clear evidence of the strength of the company's research strategy. "It should not be seen as implying that we have some special policy that was applied in Zurich. But we are just as pleased as punch that in the recent past the flower has shot up here." **DAVID DICKSON** 

## U.K. Lifts Veto on **Plans for EEC** for research in the physical

The British government claims to have lifted its veto on plans for future joint research spending by the 12 member nations of the European Economic Community. It has agreed to support a 5-year research program for 1987-1991-known as the Framework Program—providing that initial spending is kept at the current rate of \$1.2 billion a year.

Britain has been at odds with the 11 other members of the EEC for the past 6 months over the future of a research program that ranges from biotechnology through microelectronics-the ESPRIT program-to the operation of the Joint European Torus for fusion research. While the majority of countries have supported a program costing \$5.9 billion over the 5 years, Britain had previously insisted that the budget should be limited to \$4.7 billion, citing the need for improved management and better evaluation of research projects. However, during a meeting of Common Market leaders in Brussels last week, Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher said Britain is now prepared to endorse a program costing \$5.9 billion over this period, equivalent (after various adjustments) to extending the spending at its current level. She added that the question of additional funding for the Framework Program should be discussed at the next EEC summit, to be held in Copenhagen in 6 months time.

Commission officials in Brussels have yet to respond to the revised British offer. The \$400-million gap with the budget they had previously been hoping for will require further cuts in the plans for several research programs, already considerably reduced from initial proposals for a 5-year program costing \$8.7 billion. A spokesman for ES-PRIT, which brings together university and industrial scientists on a range of projects jointly funded with the private sector and designed to help Europe meet the challenge from U.S. and Japanese microelectronics industries, says that 600 out of 2900 research workers will have left the program by the end of the year due to uncertainty over future funding. British officials, however, say that their new offer should now allow the whole joint research program to go forward, even if support for a growth in the budget will have to await the resolution of other topics-in particular, subsidies to European farmerson which Britain remains isolated from the other EEC member states.

**DAVID DICKSON** 

126