# Science

17 APRIL 1987 VOLUME 236 Number 4799

#### American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advance ment of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the au thors are affiliated.

Publisher: J. Thomas Ratchford, Acting

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied

Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editors: Nancy J. Hartnagel, John E.

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Ruth Kulstad
Associate Editors: Martha Collins, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L

Kelner, Edith Meyers, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, editor; Deborah F

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, head; Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Lyle L. Green, Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields

Production Manager: Karen Schools Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Kathleen Cosimano,

Eleanor Warner

Covers Editor: Grayce Finge

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton
News and Comment: Colin Norman, deputy editor; Mark H Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, deputy editor; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

#### **BUSINESS STAFF**

Associate Publisher: William M. Miller, III Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments: Shauna S. Roberts

### **ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES**

**Director:** Earl J. Scherago **Production Manager:** Donna Rivera

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

**Sales:** New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408 998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 24808 Shrubbery Hill Ct. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0392) 31645.

Instructions for contributors appears on page xi of the 27 March 1987 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Tele-

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO.

## Immortality and Risk Assessment

♦ he time has come, it seems, when I can realistically refocus on the goal of achieving immortality. I do not refer to the old-fashioned sort of immortality earned by performing monumental works in science or art, winning historic battles, procreating children, or adhering to a simple faith in the Almighty. I am talking about living forever.

From recent reports on television and in newspapers, my impression is that we are dying like flies from exposures to toxic chemicals, nuclear power stations, drunken drivers, and incompetent physicians. If one simply avoids such hazards and has a little help from an artificial organ here and there, dying seems to be pointless. All that needs to be done is to reduce life to zero risk. To provide guidance for those who would like to be immortal, this issue of Science generously shares with our readers some risk assessment analyses by the

In the article by Richard Wilson and E. A. C. Crouch, the comparative listing of various risks makes it evident that I will have to give up being a policeman with a  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  annual risk of death (AR), driving motor vehicles ( $2 \times 10^{-4}$  AR), and being a "frequent flying" professor (5  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> AR). I was, to say the least, stunned to find that by switching from city water  $(6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ AR})$  to what the Environmental Protection Agency considers contaminated well water in Silicon Valley, I could actually lower my risk by a factor of 300. It was also distinctly unnerving to discover that the potassium in my body, which contains a radioactive isotope, gave me 1500 times the radiation level of that from the atmosphere within 20 miles of a nuclear plant, and 6 times that from a transcontinental air trip. Should we, I wondered, abandon Superfund and find a substitute for potassium in the body? Lester Lave informs us that hazards around the house are half as likely to cause injuries as motor vehicle accidents and that asbestos poses a small risk in most appropriately constructed buildings. Astonishingly, corn contains aflatoxin at appreciable levels as does peanut butter and, for me, giving up those two delicacies is not going to be an easy trade-off for mere immortality. Bruce Ames' article is a further shocker, ranking chemicals in terms of potency rather than simply labeling them as carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic. And, lo and behold, food ranks as a major hazard. Apparently plants learned through evolutionary time that chemical warfare is an extremely effective way to fight off fungi, insects, and animal predators. Unfortunately, these species have the same type of genetic code as I do, so that whenever I eat, I am consuming mutagens and carcinogens rated everywhere as hazardous to my health.

Clearly, to get to zero risk I must give up walking up and down stairs, drinking alcohol, living in Denver or other high-altitude locations, and innumerable other temptations. I am willing to sit in a rocking chair with a lead roof over my head and be fed amino acids intravenously in order to live forever.

Still, Paul Slovic points out that a scientist does not necessarily see risk the same way that the public does. The public regards deaths caused by mysterious technology or the simultaneous deaths of a large number of people (in airplane crashes, for example) as being far worse than those from well-known causes or the same number of deaths occurring in multiple locations (as in automobile accidents). Therefore, as I sit in my rocking chair, I become uneasy that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, as described by David Okrent, may not really be doing its job, and that the regulatory agencies described by Milton Russell and Michael Gruber are making decisions based on politics rather than quantitative scientific appraisal. The government, I note, seems determined to remove the beautiful red color from maraschino cherries while supporting subsidies to tobacco growers and allowing cigarette advertisements, although cigarette smoking may cause as many as 350,000 premature deaths a year in the United States alone. Excessive worry about the competence of others can cause peptic ulcers and lead to my death from "natural causes."

Thus, although my commitment to the goal of immortality is unswerving, I am not positive that a zero risk society is yet in the scientific cards. This thought may force me to seek immortality in the arduous old-fashioned way, doing good deeds and taking care of my children.—Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.