

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: William D. Carey

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editors: Nancy J. Hartnagel, John E. Ringle

Senior Editors: Eleanor Butz, Ruth Kulstad

Associate Editors: Martha Collins, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Phillip D. Szuroni, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Deborah F. Washburn

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Caitilin Gordon, Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Lyle L. Green, Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields, Anna Victorien

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Graphics and Production: John Baker, *assistant manager*; Holly Bishop, Kathleen Cosimano, Eleanor Warner

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

News and Comment: Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Elliot Marshall, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

BUSINESS STAFF

Associate Publisher: William M. Miller, III

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle

Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments: Shauna S. Roberts

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Production Manager: Donna Rivera

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 24808 Shrubbery Hill Ct. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nicholas Jones, +44(0647)52918.

Instructions for contributors appears on page xi of the 19 December 1986 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO.

NSF's Budget and Economic Competitiveness

The nation's science and engineering enterprise must have the financial resources to do two things: remain at the leading edge of discoveries and produce the technical personnel that the country needs. Both are essential to our economic competitiveness and must be done even in times of fiscal stringency.

The President has responded decisively to this challenge by taking the unprecedented step of establishing as Administration policy the doubling of the National Science Foundation's budget over 5 years, starting with a major increase of 17 percent in 1988. There are also increases for some of the other federal agencies that support basic research at universities. This is a dramatic statement of the fact that university basic research and education deserve the continuing attention and support of the nation. It is also a major departure from the previous practices of making budgets a year at a time and will make possible the formulation and support of long-term strategies, projects, and programs.

Why this action? Basic research and education are our key assets in the battle for international competitiveness. Where we have a clear lead, we must preserve it; where we are lagging, we must catch up. Investing in basic research and education will not immediately reduce the trade deficit, but it will provide the new knowledge and technically educated people that are prerequisites for anything we do in commerce, industry, defense, or health.

This initiative comes at an opportune point in time: the ferment in the sciences and engineering offers opportunities that we cannot afford to miss. Long-standing problems that defied solutions are now within our grasp, with the use of modern instrumentation and newly developed computational techniques.

NSF is being called upon to participate, in a major way, in support of this number one policy topic, economic competitiveness. Accordingly, the budget for 1988 and the following years will focus on three major themes:

The first concerns human resources. Our people—with their creativity, skills, and education—are our most important resource. Yet too few of our best students study science and engineering, and of those who do, too few finish the Ph.D. We need to make careers in science and engineering more attractive. Better curricula, better equipment, better pre-college preparation, research opportunities for undergraduates, more fellowships and post-doctoral awards are some of the approaches we need to employ.

We also need to broaden participation among women and minorities, and other underrepresented groups, as well as institutions not now participating fully, in the educational and research process. We simply cannot afford to waste their talent.

The second theme calls for establishing Science and Technology centers. Patterned after the Engineering Research centers, they will be created in appropriate areas of science. They will be multidisciplinary centers, organized on university campuses but with strong industrial participation, doing basic research that is scientifically exciting in areas that are likely to be economically significant. These centers will provide universities with new resources for research and teaching and facilitate the transfer of knowledge from universities to the marketplace.

Last, but by no means least, NSF will address the cornerstone of the foundation: grants to individual investigators. We must strengthen and provide stability for this, the traditional activity of NSF, and this activity will not be shortchanged.

All this implies change; changes in programs, institutions, and relationships. The science and engineering community must support this effort, participate in priority-setting, continue what is useful in the system, and support new approaches where they are required.

Economic competitiveness is a bipartisan issue that concerns us all, as does support for our universities, basic research, and education. Therefore I am confident that, as in the past, Congress will support this initiative.—ERICH BLOCH, *Director, National Science Foundation, Washington, DC 20550*