

Letters

Cretaceous-Tertiary Dinosaur Extinction

Robert E. Sloan *et al.* (Reports, 2 May, p. 629) present several sets of data in support of their long held view that there was a simultaneous and gradual decline of dinosaurs and evolutionary radiation of ungulates near the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. Their interpretation is made without reference to taxonomic uncertainties and taphonomic biases. It appears to us that, when these matters are taken into consideration, the data of Sloan *et al.* are equally compatible with a hypothesis of abrupt extinction of dinosaurs followed by adaptive radiation of ungulates.

Sloan *et al.* conflate data on bone distribution in paleochannels and in paleosols of floodplains. Paleochannels and paleosols are such different depositional and preservational environments that we prefer to consider them separately. The apparent decline of dinosaur bone in paleosols toward the top of the Hell Creek Formation is accompanied by declining carbonate content of these paleosols, recently documented during fieldwork (by G.R.) in Bug Creek (1). Paleosols of the uppermost 2 meters of the Hell Creek

Formation there are almost entirely noncalcareous. Bone is dissolved in acidic, noncalcareous soil environments (2), so this pattern of bone abundance could be preservational. Since calcareous fossils are more easily dissolved than are phosphatic ones, and small bones with their high surface-to-volume ratio are more readily destroyed than large bones (2), there should be a predictable sequence of last occurrences higher in the formation: land snails below mammal bones below large dinosaur bones. Such a sequence of disappearance for bones has been noted by several workers (3). The whole preservational sequence should be more rigorously documented.

Sloan *et al.* also report declining diversity of dinosaurs in a sequence of paleochannels, most of which are Paleocene in age (4). These dinosaurs are represented by isolated teeth, and reworking from older deposits is not adequately rebutted in their account. Incision of paleochannels into earlier deposits during a time of marine regression and tectonic uplift is well documented in the area of Bug Creek (3). Arguments against resorting on the basis of the degree of abrasion are unconvincing in light of experimental studies of bone transport in modern streams (5). Also unconvincing is the argument that dinosaur teeth in paleochannels

are of Paleocene age and were contemporary with ungulate radiation, because these Paleocene channels lack remains of Cretaceous mammals. This would be expected if dinosaur remains were resorted from paleosols at a level within the Hell Creek Formation, where dinosaurs but not mammals were preserved because of differential dissolution. The declining abundance of dinosaurs in paleochannels could merely reflect increased cover of Cretaceous source beds by Paleocene floodplain deposits. This alternative interpretation is also open to further test, by searching for articulated dinosaur remains in Paleocene paleochannels, levee deposits, and paleosols. Until these are found, we regard as unproved the existence of Paleocene dinosaurs or the idea that dinosaurs were declining in diversity at the same time as an ungulate radiation.

Another line of evidence used by Sloan *et al.* is a compilation of dinosaur diversity showing gradual decline in numbers of dinosaur genera over the last 7 million years of the Cretaceous. Other compilations of dinosaur diversity for this time period (6) differ significantly from theirs, some showing declining diversity and others increasing diversity. One of us (G.D.L.) has prepared a compilation which takes into account taxonomic uncertainties between paleontologists

CNS

March 29, 1986

James H. Brown
President, CNS Associates
10000 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 200
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Mr. Alan W. Wright
Central City Development Department
Central City, CO 80427

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are pleased to submit the following proposal for development, engineering, geological, and environmental monitoring and testing services for the proposed Central City Development Department project. CNS Associates has assembled a highly qualified team that includes a geologist, a civil engineer, a geotechnical engineer, and a geotechnical engineer. The team has extensive experience in the design and construction of earth retaining structures, and the team has extensive experience in the design and construction of earth retaining structures. The team has extensive experience in the design and construction of earth retaining structures.

The proposal describes the basic needs surrounding the development and construction of the proposed Central City Development Department project. The proposal describes the basic needs surrounding the development and construction of the proposed Central City Development Department project. The proposal describes the basic needs surrounding the development and construction of the proposed Central City Development Department project.

We look forward to discussing this project in greater detail with you. Should you wish to discuss this project in greater detail, please contact us at the following address:

Respectfully,

James H. Brown
President, CNS Associates
Beverly Hills, CA

CNS Associates

10000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200, Beverly Hills, CA 90210

213-941-1000

Table of Contents

1. Project Issues and Approach	1
2. Project Description	2
3. Project Objectives	3
4. Project Organization	4
5. Project Schedule	5
6. Project Budget	6
7. Project Risks	7
8. Project Conclusions	8
9. Project Recommendations	9
10. Project Appendices	10
11. Project References	11
12. Project Glossary	12
13. Project Index	13
14. Project Bibliography	14
15. Project Figures	15
16. Project Tables	16
17. Project Maps	17
18. Project Photographs	18
19. Project Videos	19
20. Project Audio	20
21. Project Software	21
22. Project Hardware	22
23. Project Network	23
24. Project Security	24
25. Project Backup	25
26. Project Recovery	26
27. Project Disaster	27
28. Project Continuity	28
29. Project Compliance	29
30. Project Audit	30
31. Project Review	31
32. Project Closeout	32
33. Project Handover	33
34. Project Support	34
35. Project Training	35
36. Project Documentation	36
37. Project Archiving	37
38. Project Migration	38
39. Project Upgrade	39
40. Project Patching	40
41. Project Configuration	41
42. Project Monitoring	42
43. Project Alerting	43
44. Project Reporting	44
45. Project Archiving	45
46. Project Migration	46
47. Project Upgrade	47
48. Project Patching	48
49. Project Configuration	49
50. Project Monitoring	50
51. Project Alerting	51
52. Project Reporting	52
53. Project Archiving	53
54. Project Migration	54
55. Project Upgrade	55
56. Project Patching	56
57. Project Configuration	57
58. Project Monitoring	58
59. Project Alerting	59
60. Project Reporting	60
61. Project Archiving	61
62. Project Migration	62
63. Project Upgrade	63
64. Project Patching	64
65. Project Configuration	65
66. Project Monitoring	66
67. Project Alerting	67
68. Project Reporting	68
69. Project Archiving	69
70. Project Migration	70
71. Project Upgrade	71
72. Project Patching	72
73. Project Configuration	73
74. Project Monitoring	74
75. Project Alerting	75
76. Project Reporting	76
77. Project Archiving	77
78. Project Migration	78
79. Project Upgrade	79
80. Project Patching	80
81. Project Configuration	81
82. Project Monitoring	82
83. Project Alerting	83
84. Project Reporting	84
85. Project Archiving	85
86. Project Migration	86
87. Project Upgrade	87
88. Project Patching	88
89. Project Configuration	89
90. Project Monitoring	90
91. Project Alerting	91
92. Project Reporting	92
93. Project Archiving	93
94. Project Migration	94
95. Project Upgrade	95
96. Project Patching	96
97. Project Configuration	97
98. Project Monitoring	98
99. Project Alerting	99
100. Project Reporting	100

1 Project Issues and Approach



GREG RETALLACK
*Department of Geology,
University of Oregon,
Eugene, OR 97403*

GUY D. LEAHY
*2405 Bailey Hill Road,
Eugene, OR 97405*

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. This has been noted before by B. Brown [*Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 23, 823 (1907)].
2. G. J. Retallack, *Paleobiology* 10, 59 (1984).
3. D. E. Fastovsky and R. H. Dott, *Geology* 4, 279 (1986); D. A. Russell, *Sci. Am.* 246, 58 (January 1982); L. Dingus, *Paleobiology* 10, 420 (1984).
4. J. K. Rigby, Jr., *Bull. Soc. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 135, 17 (1985).
5. A. K. Behrensmeyer, *Paleobiology* 8, 211 (1982); *Am. Sci.* 72, 555 (1984).
6. D. A. Russell, *Sylogaeus* 53, 1 (1984); *Nature (London)* 307, 360 (1984); T. J. M. Schopf, *Spec. Pap. Geol. Soc. Am.* 190, 415 (1982).

Sloan *et al.* report gradual reduction in both diversity and population density of dinosaurs at the end of the Cretaceous. Their assertion of decreasing diversity during the last 9 million years of the Cretaceous is based on the numbers of genera in progressively younger assemblages. They found 30 genera in the combined Judith River–Oldman–St. Mary River formations; 23, 22,

and 19 genera in progressively younger strata; and finally 12 genera in the upper 16 meters of the Hell Creek Formation. We disagree with the suggestion that diversity was declining because the total of 30 genera in the oldest fauna was obtained by summing total genera from a 2.5-million-year interval, while the other totals were drawn from faunas derived from about 1-million-year intervals (figure 1 of Sloan *et al.*).

A more reasonable approach would be to compare the number of dinosaurs present in the 2.5-million-year time interval at the end of the Cretaceous with the Judith River–Oldman–St. Mary River fauna. Figure 1 of Sloan *et al.* reveals 28 genera present during the last 2.5 million years of the Cretaceous. A decline from 30 to 28 genera seems very modest.

We performed a statistical test of dinosaur diversity changes on data taken from figure 1 of Sloan *et al.* We determined generic diversity at each of the time lines from 76 to 67 million years ago. We selected the two time lines with the highest diversities (23 and 27 genera at 75 and 74 million years ago, respectively) and compared them to diversities at the two most recent time lines (17 and 18 genera at 68 and 67 million years ago, respectively). We then compared the summed diversities of older and younger

Sloan *et al.* also suggest that dinosaur abundance was declining through the Hell Creek Formation. On the basis of a chi-square test, they state that the highest level of the formation had a significantly lower dinosaur abundance than lower levels. However, using data from table 2 of Sloan *et al.* we compared the number of bones in each stratigraphic interval with the number that would be expected if each interval contained the average of 2.63 bones per square kilometer ($\chi^2 = 9.79$, d.f. = 5, $P > 0.05$). The test indicates that no sample (including the youngest) differed significantly from the others. In a second test, we compared the youngest interval with the combined collections of the older intervals ($\chi^2 = 2.86$, d.f. = 1, $P > 0.05$) and found no significant difference.

It appears to us that the data discussed above are consistent with constant diversity and constant abundance of dinosaurs through the last 9 million years of the Cretaceous. That the same data are open to the alternative interpretations of gradual decline and of relative constancy probably reflects the fact that the collections were made from many facies over many years and

Was your word processor designed to write like this?

1.1.1.2 Settlement The HRT settlement data is shown below the evaluation of the groundwater on the construction program indicated to make sure that the settlement of the ground is not excessive. The settlement data is shown in the table below. The settlement data is shown in the table below. The settlement data is shown in the table below.	1.1.1.3 Groundwater The HRT settlement data is shown below the evaluation of the groundwater on the construction program indicated to make sure that the settlement of the ground is not excessive. The settlement data is shown in the table below. The settlement data is shown in the table below.	1.1.1.4 Surface Drainage After the dumping area of the park, the surface drainage is shown in the table below. The surface drainage is shown in the table below. The surface drainage is shown in the table below.	1.1.1.5 Soil Conditions The soil conditions are shown in the table below. The soil conditions are shown in the table below. The soil conditions are shown in the table below.	1.1.1.6 Soil Use and Development Issues The soil use and development issues are shown in the table below. The soil use and development issues are shown in the table below. The soil use and development issues are shown in the table below.	1.1.1.7 Soil Contamination The soil contamination is shown in the table below. The soil contamination is shown in the table below. The soil contamination is shown in the table below.	1.1.1.8 Soil Remediation The soil remediation is shown in the table below. The soil remediation is shown in the table below. The soil remediation is shown in the table below.	1.1.1.9 Soil Restoration The soil restoration is shown in the table below. The soil restoration is shown in the table below. The soil restoration is shown in the table below.
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	---

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. J. D. Archibald, *Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol. Sci.* **122**, 1 (1982).
2. D. E. Fastovsky and R. H. Dott, Jr., *Geology* **14**, 279 (1986); R. J. Petrijohn, P. E. Potter, R. Siever, *Sand and Sandstone* (Springer Verlag, New York, 1972).
3. A. K. Behrensmeyer, *Paleobiology* **8**, 211 (1982).
4. Forty-eight localities in the Z coal complex interval, 37 yielding articulated specimens (in the University of California collections); L. J. Bryant, thesis, University of California, Berkeley, CA (1985).

Response: There are six major events recorded in the upper Hell Creek Formation of McCone County, all within chron 29r [the 0.6-million-year reversed magnetic interval, including the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) boundary], and at least four of them are discrete. The oldest is the latest Maastriichtian sea level lowering (1) and consequent minor unconformity; closely associated with this are the introductions of ungulates and of multituberculates of Asian origin and perhaps the introduction of *Leptoceratops* from Asia and *Alamosaurus* from South America. A lowering of sea level would make intercontinental migrations across a filter bridge easier. Also associated with this is part of the reduction of pollen taxa. Retallack and Leahy's interval of deep weathering follows. The next identifiable event is the K-T boundary, marked by the iridium-rich layer in some but not all sec-

tions, principally those in contemporary ox-bow lakes. This is also marked by the abrupt termination of several Cretaceous pollen taxa. Immediately following this is a short stratigraphic interval with an enrichment of fern spores, in turn followed by the great increase in conifer pollen and wood. The next event is the deposition of the continuous upper Z coal, the top of the Hell Creek Formation, followed by the major change in sedimentation type that results in the typical and definitive Tullock shales of distinctly yellowish color. This color change is due to the deposition of many closely spaced layers of siderite cemented clay, separated by layers of light gray clay. These siderite bands are mainly absent from the Hell Creek Formation. We presume this change is due to the Danian sea level rise and consequent change in base level and water table. The final event is the terminal extinction of dinosaurs in Montana. The last dinosaurs occur in a channel that does not cut down into the Hell Creek Formation. Since our report was submitted we have demonstrated that Bug Creek West (BCW), Scmenge Point and Harbicht Hill (HH) are Paleocene channels using the same criteria that we used for Ferguson Ranch (FR). We have also located two more Paleocene localities, By George and Wounded Toe, within the Hell Creek

Formation, and one not yet named in the basal Tullock Formation (2). The K-T boundary thus falls between Bug Creek Ant-hills (BCA) and BCW. Only one dinosaur genus disappeared at the K-T boundary, and 11 dinosaur genera survived into the Paleocene in Montana.

The possible sources for the fossils found in the channels are (i) reworking from older and lower channels, (ii) reworking from adjacent older floodplain deposits, (iii) being swept off the surface of the floodplains, and (iv) drowning in the channels. The question is, Are all of the dinosaur teeth in the Paleocene channels of sources (i) and (ii), or are at least some of them from source (iii)? Source (iv) could of course produce semiarticulated skeletons, but we have not found any in 18 field seasons. A possible reason for the lack of articulated skeletons of dinosaurs is the lower sedimentation rate of the Hell Creek Formation and the lower Tullock formations as compared with the Lance Formation or the Judith River Formation of Alberta containing the classic Oldman fauna. Depositional units in the lower Tullock Formation are only 1 to 10 centimeters thick, too thin for the burial of skeletons other than small vertebrates. The known Tullock fossils come dominantly from coals and the channel sands. The major

very technical document, mixing text and graphics?

