

for aspects of complex chemical systems. In addition, symbiotic relationships (H. F. Schaefer, Letters, 13 June) between theory and experiment and hybrids (E. Wasserman, Letters, 13 June) of the two may become more significant.

E. WASSERMAN E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Wilmington, DE 19898 HENRY F. SCHAEFER III Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

REFERENCES

- C. F. Bender and H. F. Schaefer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 4984 (1970).
 E. Wasserman, W. A. Yager, V. J. Kuck, Chem. Phys. Lett. 7, 409 (1970); E. Wasserman, V. J. Kuck, R. S. Hutton, W. A. Yager, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 7491 (1970)
- 3. J. F. Harrison and R. C. Liedtke, J. Chem. Phys. 58,
- F. Franson and K. C. Eleutke, J. Chem. Phys. 56, 3106 (1973).
 D. R. McLaughlin, C. F. Bender, H. F. Schaefer, *Theor. Chim. Acta* 25, 352 (1972).
 P. R. Bunker, T. J. Sears, A. R. W. McKellar, K. M. Evenson, F. J. Lovas, *J. Chem. Phys.* 79, 1211 (1983); A. R. W. McKellar, C. Yamada, E. Hirota, *ibid. p.* 1200. P. B. Bunker and P. Lensen, *ibid. p.* ibid., p. 1220; P. R. Bunker and P. Jensen, ibid., p. 1224

Mental Illness

While I agree that it is important to give mental illness "its research due," the allegation in Constance Holden's article (News & Comment, 30 May, p. 1084) that "there are no well-developed scales to measure attitudes toward mental illness" is incorrect. Several psychometrically adequate scales have been available for more than 20 years. The best known, the Opinions about Mental Illness Scale (1) has been used in hundreds of studies. In addition, the Attitudes Toward Disabled Persons Scale (2) has been adapted to measure attitudes toward mentally ill persons.

> H. E. YUKER Center for the Study of Attitudes Toward Persons with Disabilities, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY 11550

REFERENCES

1. J. Cohen and E. L. Struening, J. Abnorm. Soc.

 Psychol. 64, 349 (1962).
 H. E. Yuker, J. R. Block, J. H. Young, The Measurement of Attitudes toward Disabled Persons (Human Resources Center, Albertson, NY, 1966).

Erratum: The time in the cover legend (8 Aug., p. 605) was mistakenly noted as 6:26 a.m. It should have read 6:16 a.m.

Erratum: The time of impact of a meteorite at Lake Acraman is estimated to have been around 600 million years ago, when materials were being deposited in the Bunyeroo Formation, not I billion years ago as stated in "Meteorite scenario pieced together" (This Week in Science, 11 July, p. 139).