

## American Association for the Advancement of Science

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

**Publisher:** William D. Carey

**Editor:** Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

**Deputy Editors:** Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*); Gardner Lindzey (*Social Sciences*)

## EDITORIAL STAFF

**Managing Editor:** Patricia A. Morgan

**Assistant Managing Editors:** Nancy J. Hartnagel, John E. Ringle

**Senior Editors:** Eleanor Butz, Lawrence I. Grossman, Ruth Kulstad

**Associate Editors:** Martha Collins, Sylvia Eberhart, William Greaves, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers

**Letters Editor:** Christine Gilbert

**Book Reviews:** Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Linda Heiserman

**This Week in Science:** Ruth Levy Guyer

**Chief Production Editor:** Ellen E. Murphy

**Editing Department:** Lois Schmitt, *head*; Caitilin Gordon, Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

**Copy Desk:** Isabella Bouldin, *chief*; Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields

**Production Manager:** Karen Schools

**Graphics and Production:** John Baker, *assistant manager*; Holly Bishop, Kathleen Cosimano, Eleanor Warner

**Covers Editor:** Grayce Finger

**Manuscript Systems Analyst:** William Carter

## NEWS STAFF

**News Editor:** Barbara J. Culliton

**News and Comment:** Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey Smith, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

**Research News:** Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

**European Correspondent:** David Dickson

## BUSINESS STAFF

**Associate Publisher:** William M. Miller, III

**Business Staff Supervisor:** Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

**Associate Business Supervisor:** Leo Lewis

**Membership Recruitment:** Gwendolyn Huddle

**Member and Subscription Records:** Ann Ragland

**Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments Editor:** Richard G. Sommer

## ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

**Director:** Earl J. Scherago

**Production Manager:** Donna Rivera

**Advertising Sales Manager:** Richard L. Charles

**Marketing Manager:** Herbert L. Burklund

**Sales:** New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); Beverly Hills, CA 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

Instructions for contributors appears on page xi of the 28 March 1986 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050.

## Global Manufacturing Competition

The United States has been experiencing enormous trade deficits, largely due to inability to compete in the production of high-quality, low-cost durable goods. The situation will not be quickly remedied, but emerging new technology and better management practices hold the promise of better days. A crucial determinant in the outcome of global competition will be activities occurring in Michigan, the leading manufacturing state.

Until the late 1970's, a smug arrogance was the dominant mood in the automobile industry. To a major degree, the research laboratories of the big three automobile manufacturers were merely window dressing. In 1979, when a Japanese engineer described at a symposium his painstaking analysis of stresses in the shell of a Honda, he was an object of derision. The industry had little contact with universities except to hire some of the graduates. The state government's principal actions were to pile taxes and regulations on the industry while cutting back support for engineering at the universities.

The oil crisis, demand for high-quality small cars, and a recession that brought Michigan unemployment in 1981 to 17 percent had the effect of that of a two-by-four on a mule. The state government, industry, universities, and citizens in general recognized the need for change as well as to learn some lessons from the Japanese.

On a recent visit to Ann Arbor and Detroit, I noted evidence of changes that have occurred in the intervening years. The state government has provided \$70 million for construction at the University of Michigan of new buildings for engineering. It has substantially increased its support for the engineering faculty and their research. The state is assisting in the financing of start-up companies such as those in robotics and machine vision.

The automobile industry is in the midst of change in the use of electronics, robots, machine vision, and new materials, as well as in supplier and employee relations. A new Buick-Oldsmobile-Cadillac plant at Hamtramck has 260 robots and many new computer-controlled features. To meet the needs for robots of various kinds and for machine vision, a large number of new small companies have become active in southeast Michigan. They bring an excitement reminiscent of that of an early Silicon Valley.

Two nonprofit institutes in Ann Arbor have impressive leadership and roles of increasing importance. The Environmental Research Institute of Michigan has some of the world's best experts in remote sensing. They have produced robots with good shape discrimination, devices for very accurate measurements of features of automobiles, and a device for inspecting the complete exterior of an automobile for proper trim, taillights, and other features. The machine vision is fast, cheap, and capable. They have also developed a computer with parallel processing especially suitable for processing the complex data related to machine vision.

The Industrial Technology Institute has activities that include a flexible machining group looking at machine tools, sensors, materials handling, and automated design for manufacturability. It is a robotic evaluation center. The institute is helping to write the specifications for a manufacturing automation protocol. This will provide a common computer and control language that will allow robots and other equipment to communicate no matter who makes them.

The University of Michigan has been positioning itself to interact with these institutes and with companies large and small. In turn, auto companies now welcome professors and their students and permit them to use assembly plants as laboratories. One of the aims at the university is to achieve interaction of experts in robotics, machine cognition, machine vision, and machine action with experts in computer-aided design of systems involving those technologies and new and superior materials. A goal is to develop highly adaptable robots with large-scale computing capability and expanded artificial intelligence.

One can encounter a great deal of enthusiasm and a climate of can-do in Ann Arbor. A new culture seems to be evolving there. If the rate of evolution continues, something will be created that will have impact beyond Michigan.—PHILIP H. ABELSON