(1981); I. Renberg and T. Hellberg, Ambio 11, 30 (1982); K. Tolonen and T. Jaakkola, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 20, 57 (1983); D. F. Charles, Verh. Internat. Verein.

Linnol. 22, 559 (1984).
3. G. Nygaard, Folia Linnol. Scand. 8 32 (1956); J. G. Nygaard, Folia Limnol. Scand. 8 32 (1956); J. Meriläinen, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 4, 51 (1967); N. Foged, Bull. Geol. S. Denm. 18, 161 (1968); G. Digerfeldt, Folia Limnol. Scand. 16, 1 (1972); U. Miller, SNV Publ. (Stockholm) 7, 42 (1973); F. Berge, SNSF Intern Rap. 11 (1975); ibid. 42 (1979); I. Renberg, Early Norrland (Stockholm) 9, 113 (1976).

Norrland (Stockholm) 9, 113 (1976).

4. F. Hustedt, Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl. 16, 274 (1939).

5. J. Imbrie and N. G. Kipp, in The Late Coenozoic Glacial Ages, K. Turckian, Ed. (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, CT, 1971), pp. 71–181.

6. T. Webb III and R. A. Bryson, Quat. Res. 2, 70 (1972); T. Webb III, J. Interdisc. Hist. 4, 749 (1980).

7. R. B. Davis, S. A. Norton, C. T. Hess, D. F. Brakke, Hydrobiologia 103, 113 (1983); R. W. Batterbee, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B305, 451 (1984); R. B. Davis and D. S. Anderson, Hudwicklagia 120, 60

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B305, 451 (1984); R. B. Davis and D. S. Anderson, Hydrobiologia 120, 69 (1985); D. F. Charles, Ecology 66, 994 (1985).
8. R. B. Davis, D. S. Anderson, F. Berge, Nature (London) 316, 436 (1985).
9. J. P. Smol, R. W. Batterbee, R. B. Davis, J. Meriläinen, Eds., Diatoms and Lake Acidity (Developments in Hydrobiology 29, Nijhoff/Junk, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1986).

Sly Statistics

Gina Kolata, in her article "Shakespeare's new poem: An ode to statistics" (Research News, 24 Jan., p. 335) presents statistical evidence that a newly discovered poem may reasonably be attributed to Shakespeare.

The excerpt quoted in the article is strikingly similar to the following 17th-century poem on statistics by Speaker Ashe.

> Shall I try not to lie, Not to cheat with deceits, mistruths breeding? Shall I fend off the tend-Ency to woo with biased views so misleading? Then sly statistics mean tricks Must I renounce forever. From unmarked scales I quail, Unfair compare employing never.

> > DAVID BIRKES Statistics Department, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR 97331

Cells, Patients' Rights, and Researchers' Responsibilities

The briefing by Marjorie Sun "Who should have rights to a patient's cells?" (News and Comment, 7 Feb., p. 543) leads off with a sentence referring to "the rights of patients and the responsibilities of researchers." A passing reference is made later to "informed consent."

I am a lawyer and I am constantly being shamed by my so-called brethren at the Bar, who have distorted litigation into a form of shakedown. Of course, if the cells in question are to be separated from the "patient" in a manner posing any danger to the patient or inhibiting the use of the best state-of-theart therapy, the patient's (or his lawful representative's) consent is properly required. But suppose the cells are to be thrown away unless salvaged by the researcher. Then, since the cells are abandoned material, the salvager and not the former possessor has "ownership" of them. To suggest that such a former possessor of cells is "participating in the research" is silly. A perfect example of such abandoned cells is found in the cuttings on the floor of a barbershop.

> W. Brown Morton, Jr. "Cat Point" on Highway 634, Postal Route 1, Box 586, Warsaw, VA 22572

Erratum: The caption for the photograph accompanying the review of Neurobiology of Arachnids (28 Mar., p. 1610) should have read, "Peocilotheria regalis, an Indian bird-spider. Beneath it is a spike train recorded from a sensory neuron responding to mechanical stimuli in the spider Cupiennius salei.

