

10. B. Wahlin *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **81**, 7912 (1984).
11. A. Verne *et al.*, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **33**, 197 (1984).
12. S. B. Aley *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* **160**, 1585 (1984).
13. U. K. Laemmli, *Nature (London)* **227**, 680 (1970).
14. The Camp and FCR-3 strains are different on the basis of drug sensitivity, inhibition of reinvasion by immune sera [(10); J. D. Chulay *et al.*, *Bull. WHO* **63**, 317 (1985)], and surface reactivity of merozoites with monoclonal antibodies (J. A. Lyon, personal communication). Both had been cloned by limiting dilution, repeated twice.
15. G. Pasvol *et al.*, *Lancet* **1982-1**, 947 (1982).
16. A. A. Holder and R. R. Freeman, *J. Exp. Med.* **156**, 1528 (1982).
17. D. Camus and J. A. Lyon, unpublished results.
18. W. V. Breuer *et al.*, *B.B.A. (Biochem. Biophys. Acta) Libr.* **755**, 263 (1983).
19. E. Peterson *et al.*, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **33**, 331 (1984).
20. We thank B. Welde for the malarial antiserum; L. H. Miller and G. H. Mitchell for the Tn cells; R. F. Anders for the rabbit serum against the 155K antigen; J. A. Lyon for the monoclonal antibodies against the 195K antigen; C. L. Diggs, J. D. Haynes, J. D. Chulay, and J. A. Lyon for helpful discussions; T. Reaud-Jared for assisting with these experiments; and L. Reyes for technical support. D.C. was a senior research associate of the National Research Council at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research.

27 March 1985; accepted 4 September 1985

A Common Origin of Rickettsiae and Certain Plant Pathogens

Abstract. On the basis of ribosomal RNA sequence comparisons, the rickettsiae *Rochalimaea quintana* has been found to be a member of subgroup 2 of the α subdivision of the so-called purple bacteria, which is one of about ten major eubacterial divisions. Within subgroup α -2, *R. quintana* is specifically related to the agrobacteria and rhizobacteria, organisms that also have close associations with eukaryotic cells. This genealogical grouping of the rickettsiae with certain plant pathogens and intracellular symbionts suggests a possible evolution of the rickettsiae from plant-associated bacteria.

WILLIAM G. WEISBURG

CARL R. WOESSE

Department of Genetics and Development, University of Illinois, Urbana 61801

MICHAEL E. DOBSON

EMILIO WEISS

Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20814

The *Rickettsiaceae* (1) are small Gram-negative bacteria that, with few exceptions, are capable of growth only inside eukaryotic cells. They are associated with arthropods or other invertebrate hosts and often infect vertebrates. The group as taxonomically defined contains well-known human pathogens, such as the agent of epidemic typhus (*Rickettsia prowazekii*), Rocky Mountain spotted fever (*Rickettsia rickettsii*), and Q fever (*Coxiella burnetii*), as well as animal pathogens, such as agents of tropical canine pancytopenia (*Ehrlichia canis*) and tick-borne fever of sheep and

cattle (*Ehrlichia phagocytophila*). A few species are epicellular in their natural environment and can be grown axenically (for example, *Wolbachia melophagi* and the genus *Rochalimaea*); others invade and grow in the cytoplasm of their host cells (genus *Rickettsia*); still others remain in the phagosome but do not stimulate a lysosomal response (genus *Ehrlichia*); and others are adapted to grow in the phagolysosome (*Coxiella burnetii*). The designation "rickettsia" or "rickettsia-like" is sometimes applied more broadly to include certain of the endosymbionts of protozoa, insects, and other invertebrates (2), as well as certain plant pathogens, such as the agent of Pierce's disease of grapevines (3). It is not known whether rickettsiae in this broadened definition thereof constitute a phylogenetically coherent (monophyletic) grouping. Nucleic acid hybridization studies (4) leave no doubt, however, that the genera *Rickettsia* and *Rochalimaea* are related to one another.

Because of the difficulty associated with the culture of pathogens such as the rickettsiae, relatively little is known about their biochemistry and molecular biology. If free-living, readily culturable, close relatives of the rickettsiae can be found, many of their properties might be easily studied in these more readily manipulated systems.

Comparisons of nucleic acid sequences provide the best method for ascertaining microbial phylogenies. Partial sequencing of ribosomal RNA's (rRNA's) has, over the last decade, begun to reveal the evolutionary relationships among the bacteria (5). If one uses full sequencing methods (6), these relationships can be further refined and the deeper ones, such as the branching order among the various eubacterial divisions, can now be determined. A precise phylogenetic placement of *Rochalimaea quintana*, the subject of this report, demonstrates the power of sequencing approaches to (microbial) taxonomy.

Figure 1 shows the sequence of the *R. quintana* 16S rRNA gene aligned with four other eubacterial sequences, from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (7), *Escherichia coli* (8), *Bacillus subtilis* (9), and *Anacystis nidulans* (10). The percent difference between the various pairs of sequences is shown in the lower left triangular portion of Table 1. The numbers in the upper right triangular portion are tallies of the specific homology between them, that is, the number of positions in which composition is both common and unique to a given pair of sequences. (These numbers are basically a measure of derived characters, characters specific for particular lines of descent.)

The *R. quintana* and *A. tumefaciens* sequences (7 percent difference between the two) are much closer to one another than any of the other pairings in Table 1 (19 percent difference or more). This nearly threefold difference makes it obvious, without any formal analysis, that *R. quintana* and *A. tumefaciens* are specific relatives of one another. The count of "derived" characters (upper right portion of Table 1) shows this specific relationship as well.

Agrobacterium tumefaciens and *E. coli* are members of the purple bacteria, which is one of about ten major divisions of eubacteria (5). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* represents the so-called α subdivision of the purple bacteria (11) and *E. coli* its γ subdivision (12). Thus, not only are the rickettsiae typical eubacteria but also they can be placed in a particular subdivision, α , of a particular eubacterial division, the purple bacteria.

Table 1. Homology measurements for the sequences in Fig. 1. The lower left triangular section shows the percentage of total positions wherein any two sequences differ. Only those positions in the Fig. 1 alignment represented in all of the sequences are used in this analysis, a total of 1477. The upper right triangular section represents the number of positions (from the 1477) in which composition is both common and unique to a given pair of sequences.

Species	<i>R. quintana</i>	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>A. nidulans</i>
<i>R. quintana</i>	----	94	6	10	17
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	7.1	----	8	4	11
<i>E. coli</i>	20.5	20.2	----	27	46
<i>B. subtilis</i>	20.0	20.4	21.1	----	63
<i>A. nidulans</i>	21.3	21.7	21.9	19.0	----

R quintana	UUCAAUAUGACA	GUUUGAUCCU	GGCUCAGAAC	GAACCGCUGC	CCAGGCUUA	ACACAUCGAA	GUCCAGGCCA	CUCU.....	..UU.....AGAGUG
A tumefaciens	CUCACAUUGACA	GUUUGAUCCU	GGCUCAGAAC	GAACCGCUGC	CCAGGCUUA	ACACAUCGAA	GUCCAGGCCA	CC.....	..GCAA...GGGC
E coli	..AAAUGAAGA	GUUUGAUCAU	GGCUCAGAAC	GAACCGCUGC	CCAGGCUUA	ACACAUCGAA	GUCCAGGCCA	ACAGGAAGA	AGCUUGCUUC	UUGCUGACG
B subtilis	.UUUAUCCGACA	GUUUGAUCCU	GGCUCAGAAC	GAACCGCUGC	CCAGGCUUA	ACACAUCGAA	GUCCAGGCCA	CAGGUGGCC	..UUCG...C	UCCCCGAUGU
A nidulans	.CAAAAUGGAGA	GUUUGAUCCU	GGCUCAGAAC	GAACCGCUGC	CCAGGCUUA	ACACAUCGAA	GUCCAGGCCA	CUC.....	..UUCG...CGAGCU
R quintana	ACGGGCACAA	GGGUGAGUAA	CCGGUGGGAA	UCUACCCAUC	UCUACCGAAU	AAACACAGAGA	AAUUGGUCU	AAUACCGGAA	ACGUCCUCUG CGAGAAAGA
A tumefaciens	AGUGGCACAC	GGGUGAGUAA	CCGGUGGGAA	UCUACCCAUC	UCUACCGAAU	AAUACCGGAA	ACGUCCUC	AAUACCGGAA	ACGUCCUCCG GGGAAAGA
E coli	AGUGGGGAC	GGGUGAGUAA	CCGGUGGGAA	ACUGCCUGAU	GGAGGGGAA	AACUACGGA	AAUACCGGAA	AAUACCGGAA	ACGUCCUCAA GACCAAAAG
B subtilis	ACGGGGGAC	GGGUGAGUAA	CCGGUGGGAA	ACUGCCUGAU	GGAGGGGAA	AACUACGGA	AAUACCGGAA	GGUUGUUGA	ACGGGGGAACAUAAAAGU
A nidulans	AGUGGGGAC	GGGUGAGUAA	CCGGUGGGAA	UCUGCCUAC	CGACGGGAC	AACACUUGGA	AACGACUGU	AAUACCGGAA	G.UCCGGACA CGCUAAACA
R quintanaUU	A.....UCG	CAGGUGGAUG	AGCCCGCUGU	CGAUUAGCUA	GUUGGUGAGG	UAAGGGCUA	CCAAGGGCAC	GAUCCAUAGC	UGGUUCAGA
A tumefaciensUU	A.....UCG	GGGUUAUGAUG	AGCCCGCUGU	CGAUUAGCUA	GUAGGUGGCC	UAAGGGCUA	CCAAGGGCAC	GAUCCAUAGC	UGGUUCAGA
E coli	GGGGACCUUC	GGGCUUCUUG	CAUCCGAUG	UCUCCCGAUC	CGAUUAGCUA	GUAGGUGGCC	UAAGGGCUA	CGUAGGGCAC	GAUCCAUAGC	UGGUUCAGA
B subtilis	GGC...UUC	GG...UACCA	CUACAGAUG	GACCCGCGC	CGAUUAGCUA	GUUGGUGAGG	UAACGGCUA	CCAAGGGCAC	GAUGGCUAGC	CGACCUAGA
A nidulansUU	A.....UGG	CCUGUAGAUG	ACUCGCGC	CGAUUAGCUA	GUUGGUGGCC	UAAGGGCUA	CCAAGGGCAC	GAUCAGUAGC	UGGUUCAGA
R quintana	GGGAUACAG	CCACACUGG	ACUGAGACAC	GGCCCAGACU	CCUACGGGAC	GCACGAGUGG	GGAAUAUU	ACAAU	GGACCCUAG	CCAGCCAUGC
A tumefaciens	GGGAUACAG	CCACACUGG	ACUGAGACAC	GGCCCAGACU	CCUACGGGAC	GCACGAGUGG	GGAAUAUU	ACAAU	GGACCCUAG	CCAGCCAUGC
E coli	GGGAGACAG	CCACACUGG	ACUGAGACAC	GGCCCAGACU	CCUACGGGAC	GCACGAGUGG	GGAAUAUU	ACAAU	GGACCCUAG	CCAGCCAUGC
B subtilis	GGGGAUACG	CCACACUGG	ACUGAGACAC	GGCCCAGACU	CCUACGGGAC	GCACGAGUGG	GGAAUAUU	ACAAU	GGACCCUAG	GGAGAACAGC
A nidulans	GGGAUACAG	CCACACUGG	ACUGAGACAC	GGCCCAGACU	CCUACGGGAC	GCACGAGUGG	GGAAUAUU	ACAAU	GGACCCUAG	GGAGAACAGC
R quintana	CGCGUGAGUG	AUGAAGGCC	UAGGUUGUA	AAGCUCUUC	ACCGGUAAG	AUAA.....U	GACGUAACC	GGAGAAGAAG
A tumefaciens	CGCGUGAGUG	AUGAAGGCC	UAGGUUGUA	AAGCUCUUC	ACCGGUAAG	AUAA.....U	GACGUAUCC	GGAGAAGAAG
E coli	CGCGUGAGUG	AAGAAGGCC	UAGGUUGUA	AAGCUCUUC	ACCGGUAAG	AUAA.....U	GACGUAUCC	GGAGAAGAAG
B subtilis	CGCGUGAGUG	AUGAAGGUU	UCCGAUCGU	AAGCUCUUG	GUUAGGGAAC	AACAAGUACC	UUCGAUAGG	CGGGUACU	GACGUAUCC	AACCAGAAAG
A nidulans	CGCGUGGGGG	ACGAAGGUU	UCCGACUUA	AACCCCUUU	CUCAGGAAC	AAGA.....AAGU	GACGUAUCC	GGAGGAAUAG
R quintana	CCCCGGCUAA	CUUCCGUGCA	CGAGCCCGG	UAAUACGAG	GGGCUAAGC	UUGUUCGGAU	UUACGGGCC	UAAAGCCAU	GUAGGCCAU	AUUAAGUCA
A tumefaciens	CCCCGGCUAA	CUUCCGUGCA	CGAGCCCGG	UAAUACGAG	GGGCUAAGC	UUGUUCGGAU	UUACGGGCC	UAAAGCCAC	GUAGGCCAU	AUUAAGUCA
E coli	CACCGGCUAA	CUUCCGUGCA	CGAGCCCGG	UAAUACGAG	GGGCUAAGC	UUGUUCGGAU	UUACGGGCC	UAAAGCCAC	CGAGCCGUU	UGUUAAGUCA
B subtilis	CACCGGCUAA	CUUCCGUGCA	CGAGCCCGG	UAAUACGAG	GGGCUAAGC	UUGUUCGGAU	UUACGGGCC	UAAAGCCAC	CGAGCCGUU	UCUUAAGUCA
A nidulans	CCUCGGCUAA	UCCGUGCA	CGAGCCCGG	UAAUACGAG	GGGCUAAGC	UUGUUCGGAU	UUACGGGCC	UAAAGCCCU	CGAGCCGUU	AUCAAGUCA
R quintana	GAGGUAAA	CCAGGGCUC	AACCCUGAA	CUGCUUJGA	IACUGGUAU	CUCCAGGUG	GAACAGGUGA	GUGGAAUUC	GAGGUAGAG	GUAAAUCG
A tumefaciens	GGGGAGAAA	CCAGGGCUC	AACUCGGAA	CUGCUUJGA	IACUGGUAU	CUUGGAGUG	GAAGAGGUGA	GUGGAAUUC	GAGGUAGAG	GUAAAUCG
E coli	GAUCAGAAA	CCAGGGCUC	AACUCGGAA	CUGCUUJGA	IACUGGUAU	CUUGGAGUG	GAAGAGGUGA	GUGGAAUUC	GAGGUAGCC	GUAAAUCG
B subtilis	GAUCAGAAA	CCAGGGCUC	AACUCGGAG	GGCUAUJGA	IACUGGGAAG	CUUCAGGUG	GAAGAGGAGA	GUGGAAUUC	ACGGUAGGC	GUAAAUCG
A nidulans	GUUCUCAAA	CGUGGGCUC	AACUCGUAAC	AGGCAUAGGA	IACUGGUAU	CUAGGAGUA	GUAGGUGU	GCGGAAUUC	ACGGUAGGC	GUAAAUCG
R quintana	UAGAUAAUUCG	GAGGAACACC	ACUGGGCAAG	CGGGCUCACU	CGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	UAGAUAAUUCG	GAGGAACACC	ACUGGGCAAG	CGGGCUCACU	CGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
E coli	UAGACAUUC	GAGGAACACC	ACUGGGCAAG	CGGGCUCACU	CGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
B subtilis	UAGACAUUC	GAGGAACACC	ACUGGGCAAG	CGGGCUCACU	CGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
A nidulans	UAGAUAAUCG	GAAGAACACC	ACGGCCAAA	CGGGCUCACU	CGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AAAGGAAU	GAUACCCUG
R quintana	UAGUCCACCG	CGUAAACGAA	GAAGUJAGC	CCUCUGGGGGUU	UACUAC	CGGUGGCGCA	CCUAAACUUC	GGCUGGGGAG	UACGGUCCGA
A tumefaciens	UAGUCCACCG	CGUAAACGAA	GAAGUJAGC	CCUCUGGGGGUU	UACUAC	CGGUGGCGCA	CCUAAACUUC	GGCUGGGGAG	UACGGUCCGA
E coli	UAGUCCACCG	CGUAAACGAA	GAAGUJAGC	CCUCUGGGGGUU	UACUAC	CGGUGGCGCA	CCUAAACUUC	GGCUGGGGAG	UACGGUCCGA
B subtilis	UAGUCCACCG	CGUAAACGAA	GAAGUJAGC	CCUCUGGGGGUU	UACUAC	CGGUGGCGCA	CCUAAACUUC	GGCUGGGGAG	UACGGUCCGA
A nidulans	UAGUCCACCG	CGUAAACGAA	GAAGUJAGC	CCUCUGGGGGUU	UACUAC	CGGUGGCGCA	CCUAAACUUC	GGCUGGGGAG	UACGGUCCGA
R quintana	AGAUAAAAC	UCAAAGGAAU	UACAGGGGCG	CGCGCAGAAC	CGGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	AGAUAAAAC	UCAAAGGAAU	UACAGGGGCG	CGCGCAGAAC	CGGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
E coli	AGGUAAAAC	UCAAAGGAAU	UACAGGGGCG	CGCGCAGAAC	CGGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	AACAGGAAU	GAUACCCUGG
B subtilis	AGACUAAAAC	UCAAAGGAAU	UACAGGGGCG	CGCGCAGAAC	CGGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	UACCCAGGUC	UGACAUCCU
A nidulans	AGUUGGAAAC	UCAAAGGAAU	UACAGGGGCG	CGCGCAGAAC	CGGCUUACUAC	IGACCCUGAC	GUGGGAAGC	GUGGGGAGCA	UACCCAGGUC	UGACAUCCU
R quintana	GAUCGGGAAGC	UGGAGACACC	UCCCUUCAUU	AGCGCUUACU	CGGAGGCUA	GUUGGUAU	UCCAGGCUA	CGGAGGCUA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	GGGUUJGGGAG	UGGAGACACC	UCCCUUCAUU	AGCGCUUACU	CGGAGGCUA	GUUGGUAU	UCCAGGCUA	CGGAGGCUA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
E coli	CGGAAGUUU..	CAGAGAUGAG	AAUGUGCC.UU	CG.GGAACGU	GAGCAGGUG	GUUGGAGAU	UCCAGGCUA	CGGAGGCUA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
B subtilis	CUGACAUCCU..	UAGAGAUGAG	ACGGGGGCC.UU	CG.CGGCAGA	CUGACAGGUG	GUUGGAGAU	UCCAGGCUA	CGGAGGCUA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A nidulans	CGGAACUUCU..	UGGAAGGAGC	AGAGUGCC.UU	CG.GAGGGGG	CAGAGAGGUG	GUUGGAGAU	UCCAGGCUA	CGGAGGCUA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
R quintana	AACGAGGCCA	ACGGCCGGCC	UUAGUWCCCA	UCAUUAAGU	UGGGCACU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	AACGAGGCCA	ACGGCCGGCC	UUAGUWCCCA	UCAUUAAGU	UGGGCACU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
E coli	AACGAGGCCA	ACGGCCGGCC	UUAGUWCCCA	UCAUUAAGU	UGGGCACU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
B subtilis	AACGAGGCCA	ACGGCCGGCC	UUAGUWCCCA	UCAUUAAGU	UGGGCACU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A nidulans	AACGAGGCCA	ACGGCCGGCC	UUAGUWCCCA	UCAUUAAGU	UGGGCACU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
R quintana	CUCAUGGCC	UACGGGGCUC	GGCUACACAC	GUGCUUACAU	GGGCUUACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	CUCAUGGCC	UACGGGGCUC	GGCUACACAC	GUGCUUACAU	GGGCUUACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
E coli	AUCAUGGCC	UACGGGGCUC	GGCUACACAC	GUGCUUACAU	GGGCUUACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
B subtilis	AUCAUGGCC	UACGGGGCUC	GGCUACACAC	GUGCUUACAU	GGGCUUACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A nidulans	AUCAUGGCC	UACGGGGCUC	GGCUACACAC	GUGCUUACAU	GGGCUUACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
R quintana	GGCCGUACACA	CAUAGGGAGU	UGGUUUUACC	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACCU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A tumefaciens	GGCCGUACACA	CAUAGGGAGU	UGGUUUUACC	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
E coli	GGCCGUACACA	CAUAGGGAGU	UGGUUUUACC	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
B subtilis	GGCCGUACACA	CAUAGGGAGU	UGGUUUUACC	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
A nidulans	GGCCGUACACA	CAUAGGGAGU	UGGUUUUACC	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC	UUGACCCUGG
R quintana	CAAGGUAGCC	GUAGGGGAAC	CUGUGGGCUC	AUCACCUUUCU	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC
A tumefaciens	CAAGGUAGCC	GUAGGGGAAC	CUGUGGGCUC	AUCACCUUUCU	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC
E coli	CAAGGUAGCC	GUAGGGGAAC	CUGUGGGCUC	AUCACCUUUCU	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC
B subtilis	CAAGGUAGCC	GUAGGGGAAC	CUGUGGGCUC	AUCACCUUUCU	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC
A nidulans	CAAGGUAGCC	GUAGGGGAAC	CUGUGGGCUC	AUCACCUUUCU	CGAAGGGCU	GUCCUAACAU	AGGGGACU	CGGGUGAUA	CGGAGGAGAA	GGCAGCAUCC

Fig. 1. Sequence alignment for the 16S rRNA of *R. quintana* and other eubacteria. *Rochalimaea quintana* strain Fuller DNA was cleaved with Bgl II restriction endonuclease, and the resulting fragments were inserted into the Bam HI site of phage lambda L47 by standard techniques (16). We selected a fragment of approximately 10 kilobase pairs, using radioactively labeled *R. quintana* 16S rRNA as a hybridization probe. Appropriate fragments were subcloned into phage M13 mp8 and mp9, and the single-stranded phage DNA's were used as templates for dideoxy sequencing of the ribosomal RNA gene (17). Both the usual M13 primer and primers specific for ribosomal RNA genes were used (7). At least 85 percent of the sequence was determined for both DNA strands. The alignment procedure was that used by Yang *et al.* (7).

Table 2. The relationship of *R. quintana* to selected members of the α subdivision of the purple eubacteria (11). The ribonuclease T1-type (G ending) oligonucleotide segments contained in the *R. quintana* sequence have been compared to their counterparts determined directly from the 16S rRNA's of various α purple bacteria (11). The total number of bases in oligonucleotides (hexamer and larger) of identical composition in any pair of these catalogs is shown. The numbers in parentheses are these counts divided by 3.09; this normalization brings most of the entries in the α -2 group to values near 100 and thereby facilitates their comparison. For the α -1 (seven species) and α -3 (five species) subgroups of the α subdivision (11), the counts are presented as average values between each of these groups and individual species of the α -2 subgroup. Genus abbreviations not listed in Table 1 are as follows: *Rh.*, *Rhizobium*; *Rps.*, *Rhodopseudomonas*, and *Rm.*, *Rhodomicrobium*.

Species	<i>R. quin-tana</i>	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>Rh. leguminosarum</i>	<i>Rps. palustris</i>	<i>Rps. viridis</i>	<i>Rm. van-nielii</i>
<i>R. quintana</i>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	362(117)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>Rh. leguminosarum</i>	377(122)	440(142)	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>Rps. palustris</i>	293 (95)	294 (95)	329(106)	-----	-----	-----
<i>Rps. viridis</i>	334(108)	321(104)	306 (99)	308(100)	-----	-----
<i>Rm. vannielii</i>	309(100)	303 (98)	295 (95)	284 (92)	337(109)	-----
α -1	265 (86)	247 (80)	247 (80)	226 (73)	267 (86)	252 (81)
α -3	248 (80)	263 (85)	272 (88)	238 (77)	250 (81)	230 (74)

The close relationship between the *R. quintana* and *A. tumefaciens* 16S rRNA sequences prompts an investigation of the possible relationships of *R. quintana* to other species in the α subdivision. Partial 16S rRNA sequences, in the form of oligonucleotide catalogs, exist for over 350 eubacterial species; 100 of these are from the purple bacteria (11, 12), and 21 from the α subdivision (11). This partial sequence information permits a localization of the phylogenetic position of *Rochalimaea* within the α subdivision, and it rules out previously suspected relationships to organisms such as *Xanthomonas* and *Legionella* (12, 13).

Table 2 shows the similarity of *R. quintana* to representatives of the three subgroups of the α subdivision, α -1, α -2, and α -3. [Similarity here is defined in terms of oligonucleotide catalogs, that is, as the number of bases in oligonucleotides (hexamer and larger) that are common to each pair of catalogs (11).] It can be seen that *R. quintana* is a member of the α -2 subgroup and within that unit clusters specifically with the agrobacteria and rhizobacteria.

It is interesting that the closest relatives of *R. quintana* and the rickettsiae itself are all associated somehow with eukaryotic cells, often intracellularly (14). This correlation is perhaps made more significant by the finding that the mitochondrion too seems to have originated from within the α subdivision (9).

The fact that *R. quintana* groups with bacteria that are associated with plants, not with animals, suggests that rickettsiae may have arisen as plant-associated bacteria, possibly plant pathogen, and through the plant-insect bridge may have evolved to be associated with mammals. The resemblance of some plant patho-

gens to rickettsiae (3) may turn out to be more than superficial, and the finding of agrobacteria in human clinical specimens now takes on new significance (15).

References and Notes

1. E. Weiss and J. M. Moulder, in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, N. R. Krieg and J. G. Holt, Eds. (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1984), p. 687.
2. J. R. Preer and L. B. Preer, *ibid.*, p. 795; G. A. Dasch, E. Weiss, K. P. Chang, *ibid.*, p. 811; K. P. Chang, G. A. Dasch, E. Weiss, *ibid.*, p. 833;

E. Weiss and G. A. Dasch, in *The Prokaryotes*, M. P. Starr et al., Eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1981), p. 2161.

3. D. L. Hopkins and H. H. Mollenhauer, *Science* **179**, 298 (1973); M. J. Davis, A. H. Purcell, S. V. Thomson, *ibid.* **199**, 75 (1978).

4. Summarized in E. Weiss, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **36**, 345 (1982); W. F. Myers and C. L. Wissman, Jr., personal communication.

5. G. E. Fox et al., *Science* **209**, 457 (1980); C. R. Woese, E. Stackebrandt, T. J. Macke, G. E. Fox, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, in press.

6. F. Sanger, *Science* **214**, 1205 (1981).

7. D. C. Yang, Y. Oyaizu, H. Oyaizu, G. J. Olsen, C. R. Woese, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **82**, 4443 (1985).

8. J. Brosius, J. L. Palmer, J. P. Kennedy, H. F. Noller, *ibid.* **75**, 4801 (1978).

9. C. J. Green et al., *Gene*, in press.

10. M. Tomioka and M. Sugiyama, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **191**, 46 (1983).

11. C. R. Woese et al., *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **5**, 315 (1984).

12. C. R. Woese et al., *ibid.*, p. 327; C. R. Woese et al., *ibid.* **6**, 25 (1985).

13. W. Ludwig and E. Stackebrandt, *Arch. Microbiol.* **135**, 45 (1983); C. R. Woese, P. Blanz, C. M. Hahn, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **5**, 179 (1984).

14. J. M. Vincent, in *The Prokaryotes*, M. P. Starr et al., Eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1981), p. 818; J. A. Lippincott, B. B. Lippincott, M. P. Starr, *ibid.*, p. 842.

15. P. S. Riley and R. E. Weaver, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **5**, 172 (1977).

16. W. A. M. Loenen and W. J. Brammer, *Gene* **20**, 249 (1980).

17. J. Messing, *Methods Enzymol.* **101**, 20 (1983); M. D. Biggin, T. J. Gibson, G. F. Hong, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**, 3963 (1983); D. R. Mills and F. R. Kramer, *ibid.* **76**, 2232 (1979).

18. Supported by grants to C.R.W. from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation, and by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command, research projects 3M16270A870.AE.307 and MR0000101.1327 (E.W.).

5 April 1985; accepted 5 September 1985

Coronary Vasoconstrictor Effects of Atriopeptin II

Abstract. *Atrial natriuretic peptides lower arterial pressure, cardiac filling pressure, and cardiac output. In isolated, Langendorff-perfused guinea pig hearts, atriopeptin II, the 23-amino acid atrial natriuretic peptide, is also a potent coronary vasoconstrictor. The median effective dose for atriopeptin II in guinea pig hearts is 26 nanomoles, the threshold constrictor dose is 5 nanomoles, and flow nearly ceases at a dose of 100 nanomoles in perfused hearts at constant pressure. Similar concentrations of atriopeptin II also cause coronary vasoconstriction in rat and dog heart preparations. The disulfide bridge is necessary for vasoconstrictor activity; reduction of this bridge abolishes the activity, as it does the other biological activities of atrial natriuretic peptides.*

R. D. Wangler

B. A. BREUHAUS

H. O. OTERO

D. A. HASTINGS

M. D. HOLZMAN

*Department of Physiology,
Michigan State University,
East Lansing 48824-1101*

H. H. SANEEI

*Department of Cardiovascular
Diseases Research, Upjohn Company,
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001*

H. V. SPARKS, JR.

*Department of Physiology,
Michigan State University,
East Lansing 48824-1101*

J. E. CHIMOSKEY

*Department of Physiology,
Michigan State University,
East Lansing 48824-1101*

Mammalian cardiac atria contain secretory granules. Peptides associated with granule-rich fractions have been isolated from atria that produce natriuresis and diuresis, relax vascular and intestinal smooth muscle, and lower arterial pressure (1). Several of these peptides have been purified, their amino acid sequences have been determined, and they have been synthesized (2). Because atrial extract and atrial natriuretic peptides (ANP) relax vascular smooth muscle, it is reasonable to assume that they lower arterial pressure by relaxation of arteriolar (resistance vessel) smooth muscle (2). However, we have discovered that the pure 23-amino acid ANP