

pathogenicity. Both visna virus and equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV) are believed to avoid elimination by host immune defense mechanisms by undergoing progressive changes in their envelope proteins during the course of infection (13). It is not yet known whether a similar type of immunologic escape occurs with HTLV-III, but the existence of neutralizing antibodies in infected individuals (14) and the relatedness of HTLV-III to visna virus and EIAV make this a serious consideration.

Another question is why some individuals infected with HTLV-III develop AIDS, others ARC, and still others no disease at all (15). Viral genotype, host immune response, and other factors are likely to be determinants of clinical outcome. From our analysis of the 18 HTLV-III isolates, we were unable to identify any disease-specific restriction pattern. In fact, each of the 18 viral isolates was different from the next and none were identical to HTLV-III_B, LAV, or ARV. Further nucleotide sequence analyses and deletion mutant studies (16) will be needed to identify regions of the HTLV-III genome responsible for the virus's biologic effects and for correlating viral genotype with clinical outcome.

Finally, it is of interest that in most patients only one predominant form of the AIDS virus was identified. If this is not the result of selective pressures introduced by cultivation in vitro, it suggests that some sort of interference process may occur, since these patients, especially the hemophiliacs, homosexuals, and intravenous drug addicts, are subject to repeated exposures to genotypically diverse viruses. The data would also then suggest that if genotypic variation is being generated in vivo as occurs with visna virus and EIAV (13), then either it is a rather slow process or the preexisting viral strains are largely eliminated during the disease course. Analyses of viral isolates from the same patient at different time points and from donor-recipient pairs of individuals would help to address these questions.

References and Notes

1. M. Popovic, M. G. Sarngadharan, E. Read, R. C. Gallo, *Science* **224**, 497 (1984); R. C. Gallo *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 500; M. G. Sarngadharan *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 506; F. Wong-Staal and R. C. Gallo, *Blood* **65**, 253 (1985).
2. F. Barré-Sinoussi *et al.*, *Science* **220**, 868 (1983); F. Brun-Vezinet, *et al.*, *Lancet* **1984-I**, 1253 (1984); L. Montagnier *et al.*, in *Human T-Cell Leukemia/Lymphoma Viruses*, R. C. Gallo, M. Essex, L. Gross, Eds. (Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y., 1984), pp. 363-370; J. Levy *et al.*, *Science* **225**, 840 (1984).
3. B. H. Hahn *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **312**, 166 (1984); G. M. Shaw *et al.*, *Science* **226**, 1165 (1984).
4. L. Ratner *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **313**, 277 (1985); S. Wain-Hobson *et al.*, *Cell* **40**, 9 (1985);

- R. Sanchez-Pescador *et al.*, *Science* **227**, 484 (1985).
5. L. Ratner, R. C. Gallo, F. Wong-Staal, *Nature (London)* **313**, 636 (1985).
6. B. H. Hahn *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, in press.
7. S. Z. Salahuddin *et al.*, *ibid.*, in press.
8. See M. Popovic *et al.* in (1), p. 498.
9. L. Ratner *et al.*, in preparation.
10. B. Starcich *et al.*, in preparation.
11. G. M. Shaw *et al.*, *Science* **227**, 177 (1985).
12. M. A. Gonda *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 173.
13. J. E. Clements, F. S. Pederson, O. Narayan, W. A. Haseltine, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **77**, 4454 (1980); R. C. Montelaro, B. Parekh, A.

- Orrego, C. J. Issel, *J. Biol. Chem.* **259**, 10539 (1984).
14. M. Robert-Guroff, M. Brown, R. C. Gallo, *Nature (London)*, in press; D. Ho *et al.*, *ibid.*, in press; R. A. Weiss *et al.*, *ibid.*, in press.
15. H. W. Jaffe *et al.*, *Ann. Intern. Med.* **102**, 627 (1985).
16. A. G. Fisher *et al.*, *Nature (London)*, in press.
17. We thank J. Oleske, J. Hoxie, S. Broder, J. Groopman, L. Epstein and R. Price for supplying clinical specimens, W. Parks for providing viral isolate MJ, and A. Mazzuca for editorial assistance.

3 April 1985; accepted 3 July 1985

Gram-Positive Bacteria: Possible Photosynthetic Ancestry

Abstract. A 16S ribosomal RNA gene has been sequenced from *Heliobacterium chlorum*, the recently discovered photosynthetic bacterium that contains a novel form of chlorophyll. Comparisons with other 16S ribosomal RNA sequences show that the organism belongs to the Gram-positive bacteria (one of ten eubacterial "phyla")—more precisely to the so-called low G + C (G, guanine; C, cytosine) subdivision thereof. This brings to five the number of such phyla that contain photosynthetic species, the other four being the purple bacteria and relatives, the green sulfur bacteria, the green nonsulfur bacteria, and the cyanobacteria. The finding suggests that Gram-positive bacteria may be of photosynthetic ancestry, and it strengthens the case for a common photosynthetic ancestry for all eubacteria.

C. R. WOESE

B. A. DEBRUNNER-VOSSBRINCK

H. OYAZU

Department of Genetics and Development, University of Illinois, Urbana 61801

E. STACKEBRANDT

Christian-Albrechts-Universitat, Olshausenstr. 40/60, D-23 Kiel, Federal German Republic

W. LUDWIG

Lehrstuhl für Mikrobiologie, Technische Universität München, Arcisstr. 16, D-8000 München 2, Federal German Republic

The role of photosynthetic bacteria in evolution, although poorly understood, is clearly central. Cyanobacteria and their endosymbiotic descendants, the chloroplasts, are responsible for the oxygen atmosphere surrounding this planet,

for the evolution of plant life, and so indirectly, for animal life as well. In some earlier era devoid of oxygen, other photosynthetic bacteria may have had a comparable impact on the evolutionary course and the physical state of the planet. The role of photosynthetic bacteria in the origin of cellular life is not known. Although we are only now beginning to understand the natural relationships among bacteria, the biologist in the past has proposed theories regarding them, particularly theories regarding the relationship of photosynthetic bacteria to bacterial evolution. Oparin long ago argued (1) that the primitive oceans were a "soup" of energy-rich biochemicals, and consequently, the first organisms arising therein were nonphotosynthetic, fermentative heterotrophs—there being no need initially for biological systems to generate their own energy-rich compounds.

Fig. 1 (Opposite page). Sequence of *Heliobacterium chlorum* 16S rRNA (hc) aligned with three other eubacterial 16S rRNA's—(bs) *Bacillus subtilis* (14), (an) *Anacystis nidulans* (15), and (ec) *Escherichia coli* (16). A gene for rRNA was selected by plaque hybridization procedures from a library of *H. chlorum* DNA in lambda phage produced by shotgun cloning of a Sau 3A partial restriction digest (17). The gene was subcloned into the phage M13 system as two Eco RI restriction fragments (18). [An Eco RI site is located in the sequence in the vicinity of position 680.] The sequence was determined by the dideoxy method, using both the normal primer for the M13 system and a variety of primers produced (for the most part by the University of Illinois DNA Synthesis Facility) specifically for use in sequencing eubacterial 16S ribosomal RNA's (19). The oligonucleotide catalog for the 16S rRNA itself was determined as described (20). The starting material for these studies was a frozen cell pellet of *H. chlorum* cells harvested anaerobically in early log phase (13). Sequences were aligned by the method indicated in (21). Numbering accords with that for the *E. coli* sequence (16), each line being 100 positions long by this measure. Our *H. chlorum* sequence begins at *E. coli* position 15 because the original clone (Sau 3A site) began at this point. The 16S rRNA oligonucleotides are shown by overlining the appropriate portions of the corresponding gene sequence. Discrepancies between the rRNA catalog and the sequence (discussed in text) are indicated by lowercase symbols for the bases inserted into the overlined segments (to indicate the catalog sequences). An oligonucleotide predicted by the sequence but not found in the catalog is indicated by xxx... in the overlining.

00 hc GAUCCU GGCUCAGGAC GAACGCUGGC GGCAUGCCUA ACACAUUGCAA GUCGAACGGA GAGCGGAAGU ..UUCG..AU GGAAGCUCUU
bs .UUUAUCGGAGA GUUUGAUCCU GGCUCAGGAC GAACGCUGGC GGCAUGCCUA AUACAUUGCAA GUCGAGCGGA CAGGUGGGAG ..CUUG...C UCCGCUUGU
an .CAAUUGGAGA GUUUGAUCCU GGCUCAGGAC GAACGCUGGC GGCGUGCUUA ACACAUUGCAA GUCGAACGGG CUC..... ..UUCG.... ..GAGCU
ec ..AAAUUGAAGA GUUUGAUCAU GGCUCAGAU GAACGCUGGC GGCAUGCCUA ACACAUUGCAA GUCGAACGGU AACAGGAAGA AGCUUGCUUC UUGUCUGACG

01 hc ^g AGUGGCGGAC GGGUGAGUAA CGCGUGGACAA ^u CCUACCGGAG AGUGGGGAAU ^g AACAGUCCGA AAGGGCUGCU AAUACCGCAUAACGUAAGGACAUCCUUCAGG .AACCAAAGGA
bs AGCGGCGGAC GGGUGAGUAA CACGUGGGUAA CCUGCCUGUA AGACUGGGAU AACUCCGGGA AACCGGGGU AAUACCGGAUGGU .UGUUUAACCGCAUGGUUCAA .CAUAAAAGGU
an AGUGGCGGAC GGGUGAGUAA CGCGUGAGAA UCUGCCUACA GGACGGGGAC AACAGUUGGA AACGACUGCU AAUACCGGAUG .UGCC.....GAGA.....GGUGAAACA .
ec AGUGGCGGAC GGGUGAGUAA UGUCUGGGAA . ACUGCCUGAU GGAGGGGGAU AACUACUGGA AACGGUAGCU AAUACCGCAUAACGUC.....GCAA.....GACCAAAGAG

02 hcGCA A.....UCCG xxxxxxxx
bs GGC.....UUC G..GCUACCA CUUUCGGAUG GGUCCACGUC CGAUUAGCUA GUUGGUAGGG UAAAGGCCUA CCAAGGCGAC GAUCGGUAGC CGGCCUGAGA
anUUU A.....UGG CUUUCAGAU GACCCGCGGC GCAUUAGCUA GUUGGUAGGG UAACGGCUCA CCAAGGCAAC GAUCGUAGC CAGUCUGAGA
ec GGGGACCUUC GGGCCUCUUG CCAUCGGAUG UGCCAGAU GGAUUAGCUA GUUGGUAGGG UAAGGGCUA CCAAGGCGAC GAUCCUAGC UGGUCUGAGA

03 hc GGGUGAACGG CCACACUGGG ACUGAGACAC GGCCAGACU CCUACGGGAG GCAGCAGUGG GGAUUCUUC CCAUUGGGCG AAAGCCUGAC GGAGCAUUGC
bs GGGUGAUCGG CCACACUGGG ACUGAGACAC GGCCAGACU CCUACGGGAG GCAGCAGUAG GGAUUCUUC CCAUUGGACG AAAGUUCUGAC GGAGCAACG
an GGAUGAUCAG CCACACUGGA ACUGAGACAC GGCCAGACU CCUACGGGAG GCAGCAGUGG GGAUUCUUC CCAUUGGGCG AAAGUUCUUC CCAUUGGGCG CAAGCCUGAU GGAGCAACG
ec GGAUGACAG CCACACUGGA ACUGAGACAC GGCCAGACU CCUACGGGAG GCAGCAGUGG GGAUUCUUC CCAUUGGGCG CAAGCCUGAU GGAGCAUUGC

04 hc CGCGUGGGG AUGAAGGUUC UCGGAUUGUA AACCCUUGUC UUCGGGGAAG AAG..... ..UUUU GACGGUACCC GAGGAGGAAG
bs CGCGUGAGUG AUGAAGGUUU UCGGAUCGUA AAGCUCUGU AAG..... ..UUUU GACGGUACCC GAGGAGGAAG
an CGCGUGGAGG AGGAAGGUUU UUGGACUGUA AACCCUUUU CUCAGGGAAG AAGA..... ..AAGU GACGGUACCC GAGGAGGAAG
ec CGCGUGUUG AAGAAGGCCU UCGGGUUGUA AAGUACUUC AGCGGGGAG AAGG.GAGUUA AGUUAUACC UUGUCUUAU GACGUUACCC GCAGAAGAG

05 hc CCCCGGCUAA CUACGUGCCA GCAGCCGCGG UAUACGUA GGGCAAGCG UUGUCCGAA UGACUGGGCG UAAAGCGCGU GCAGGCGGAC AUGUAAGUCU
bs CCACGGCUAA CUACGUGCCA GCAGCCGCGG UAUACGUA GGGCAAGCG UUGUCCGAA UUAUUGGGCG UAAAGCGCUC GCAGGCGGUU UCUUAAGUCU
an CCUCCGCUAA UUCGUGCCA GCAGCCGCGG UAUACGUA GAGGCAAGCG UUAUCCGAA UUAUUGGGCG UAAAGCGCCU GCAGGCGGUU AAUCAAGUCU
ec CACGGCUAA CUCCGUGCCA GCAGCCGCGG UAUACGUA GGUCAAGCG UUAUACGAA UUAUUGGGCG UAAAGCGCAC GCAGGCGGUU UGUUAAGUA

06 hc GAGGUGAAG CUUGAGGUC AACUCCGAAA CGGCCUUGG AACUGGAUGU CUUGAGAGAU GGAGAGGAUA GGGAAUUC CCGUGUAGCG GUGAAUUGCG
bs GAUGUGAAG CCCCGGCUC AACCGGGAG GGUCAUUGGA AACUGGGAA CUUGAGUGCA GAAGAGGAGA GUGAAUUC ACGUGUAGCG GUGAAUUGCG
an GUUGUAAA CGUGGGGUC AACCUAUAC AGGCAUUGGA AACUGAUGA CUAGAGUAUG GUAGGGGUA CCGGAAUUC AGGUGUAGCG GUGAAUUGCG
ec GAUGUGAAA CCCCGGCUC AACUUGGAA CUGCAUCUA UACUGCAG CUUGAGUCUC GUAGAGGGG GUAGAAUUC AGGUGUAGCG GUGAAUUGCG

07 hc UAGAUUUCGG GAGAACACC CGUGGCGAAG GCGGCUAUCU GACACUUAUC UGACGCUAG GCGGAAAGC GUGGGGAGCA AACAGGAUA GAUACCCUGG
bs UAGAGAUUG GAGAACACC AGUGGCGAAG GCGGCUUCU GGUUCUUAUC UGACGCUAG GAGGAAAGC GUGGGGAGCG AACAGGAUA GAUACCCUGG
an UAGAUUUCG GAGAACACC AGCGGCGAAA GCGGCUAUC GGGCUUAUC UGACGCUAUC GGACGAAAGC UAGGGGAGCG AAAGGAUA GAUACCCUGG
ec UAGAGAUUC GAGAAUACC GGUGGCGAAG GCGGCCCCU GGACGAAAGC UGACGCUAG GUGCGAAAGC GUGGGGAGCA AACAGGAUA GAUACCCUGG

08 hc UAGUCCACGC CGUAAACGAU GAGUGCUAGG UGUUGGGGU AUCGACCCCC CCGUGCCGCA GUUCACGCAA UAAGCACUC CCGUGGGAG UACGGCCGCA
bs UAGUCCACGC CGUAAACGAU GAGUGCUAAG UGUUAGGGG UUCGACCCCU UAGUGGCUCA GCUAACGCAU UAAGCACUC GCUUGGGAG UACGGCCGCA
an UAGUCCACGC CGUAAACGAU GAACACUAGG UGUUGCGUGA AUACGACGUA CAGGACCGUA GCCAACGCGU UAAGUGUUC GCCUGGGAG UACGGCCGCA
ec UAGUCCACGC CGUAAACGAU GUGACUUGG AGUUGUGCC .CUUAGGCGU GGUUCGCGA GCUAACGCGU UAAGUCGACC GCUUGGGAG UACGGCCGCA

09 hc AGGUUGAAC UCAAAGGAU UGACGGGGC CCGCACAGC GUUGGAGCAU GUGGUUUAAU UCGACGCAAC GCGAAGAAC UUACCAAGC UUGACAUCU
bs AGACUGAAC UCAAAGGAU UGACGGGGC CCGCACAGC GGUUGAGCAU GUGGUUUAAU UCGAAGCAAC GCGAAGAAC UUACCCAGC UUGACAUCU
an AGUUGGAAC UCAAAGGAU UGACGGGGC CCGCACAGC GGUGGAGUAU GUGGUUUAAU UCGAUGCAAC GCGAAGAAC UUACCGGGU UUGACAUCU
ec AGGUUAAAC UCAAAGGAU UGACGGGGC CCGCACAGC GGUGGAGCAU GUGGUUUAAU UCGAUGCAAC GCGAAGAAC UUACCGGUC UUGACAUCU

10 hc CUGAAUCCGA UAGAGAUAG GGAGUCCCUU ^a CCGGAGCAGA GAGACAGGUG GUGCAUGGU GUCGUCAGCU CGUUCGUGA GAUGUUGGU UAAGUCCCG
bs CUGACAUCU UAGAGAUAG ACGU.CCCUU CCGGG.GCAGA GUGACAGGUG GUGCAUGGU GUCGUCAGCU CGUUCGUGA GAUGUUGGU UAAGUCCCG
an CCGAAUCUC UGAAACAGAG AGAG.UGCCUU CGGG.GCGGG GAGACAGGUG GUGCAUGGU GUCGUCAGCU CGUUCGUGA GAUGUUGGU UAAGUCCCG
ec CCGAAGUUUU CAGAGAUAG AAUG.UGCCUU CGGG.ACCGU GAGACAGGUG CUGCAUGGU GUCGUCAGCU CGUUCGUGA AAUGUUGGU UAAGUCCCG

11 hc AACGAGCGCA ACCUUUUCU CUAGUUGCCA GCGAGAGAGU CCGGGACUCU AGGAGACUG CCGGGACGA CCGGAGGAA GGGGGGAGU ACGUCAAUC
bs AACGAGCGCA ACCUUUUAUC UUAUUGCCA GCAU.UCAGU UGGCACUCU AAGGAGACUG CCGGUGACAA ACCGGAGGAA GGGGGGAGU ACGUCAAUC
an AACGAGCGCA ACCACGUUU UUAUUGCCA UCAU.UCAGU UGGCACUCU AGAAGAACUG CCGGUGACAA ACCGGAGGAA GGGUGGAGC ACGUCAAGC
ec AACGAGCGCA ACCUUUUAUC UUAUUGCCA GCGUCCGGC CCGGAACUA AAGGAGACUG CCGGUGACAA ACCGGAGGAA GGGGGGAGU ACGUCAAGC

12 hc AUCAUGCCC UUAUGUCUUG GGUACACAC GUGCUACAAU GGGCGUACA AACCGAAGCG AAGCCGAGAG GUGGAGCGAA CCGGAGAAAG CCGUCCCG
bs AUCAUGCCC UUAUGACUUG GGUACACAC GUGCUACAAU GGACAGAA CAAGGGCAGG AAACCGGAG UUAAGCCAA UCCACAAAU CUGUUCUAG
an AUCAUGCCC UUAUCUUCUG GGUACACAC GUACUACAAU GCUCGGACA GCGAGACCG AAGCCGCGAG GUGAAGCAA UCUCCAAAC CCGGGCUCAG
ec AUCAUGCCC UUAACGACAG GGUACACAC GUGCUACAAU GGGCAGUACA AAGAGAAGCG ACCUCGCGAG AGCAAGCGGA CCUCAUAAAG UCGUCGUA

13 hc UUCGGAUUG UCUUCGCAAC UCGAGAGCAU GAAGGCGGAA UCGCUAGUAA UCGCGGUGA GCAUACCGCG GUGAAUACGU UCCCGGGCCU UGUACACAC
bs UUCGGAUUG UCUUCGCAAC UCGACUGCGU GAAAGCUGGAA UCGCUAGUAA UCGCGGUGA GCAUACCGCG GUGAAUACGU UCCCGGGCCU UGUACACAC
an UUCAGAUUG AGGUGCAAC UCGCCUGCAU GAAGGCGGAA UCGCUAGUAA UCGCGGUGA GCAUACUGCG GUGAAUACGU UCCCGGGCCU UGUACACAC
ec UCCGGAUUGG AGUCUGCAAC UCGACUCCAU GAAGUCGGA UCGCUAGUAA UCGGUAUCA GAAUGCCAG GUGAAUACGU UCCCGGGCCU UGUACACAC

14 hc GCCCUGCACA CCACGAAAGU CCGCAACACC CGAAGUCGUA GAGGUAACC.U UUCAGGAGCCA GCCGCCAAG GUGGGGUGA UGAUUGGGU GAAGUCGUA
bs GCCCUGCACA CCACGAGAGU UUGUAACACC CGAAGUCGUA GAGGUAACC.U UUU.AGAGCCA GCCGCCAAG GUGGGCAGA UGAUUGGGU GAAGUCGUA
an GCCCUGCACA CCAUGAAGU UGGCAUUGCC CGAAGUCGUA ACCUAACC.GU UCGCGAGGGG GCGCCGAAAG UAGGGGCUA UGACUGGGU GAAGUCGUA
ec GCCCUGCACA CCAUGGAGU GGGUUGCAA AGAAGUAGU AGCUUAACC.U UCG.GGAGGGC GCUUACCAU UUGUGAUUA UGACUGGGU GAAGUCGUA

15 hc CAAGGUAGCC GUACGGAAG GUGCGGUGG AUCACCUCCU UUCU
bs CAAGGUAGCC GUACGGAAG GUGCGGUGG AUCACCUCCU UUCU
an CAAGGUAGCC GUACGGAAG GUGUGGUGG AUCACCUCCU UU..
ec CAAGGUAAAC GUAGGGGAA CUGCGGUUGG AUCACCUCCU UA..

Photosynthetic species arose only later, as the oceanic supply of energy-rich biochemicals became exhausted. Oparin's view was taken to mean that the first bacteria were heterotrophs and that photosynthetic bacteria arose only later, as one particular subline in the otherwise nonphotosynthetic bacterial world (2). Although we still have no idea whether such an idea is correct, it tends to be presented to each new generation of microbiologists as unassailable truth.

Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequence comparisons have begun to reveal the overall pattern of bacterial evolution (3). It is already evident that photosynthetic bacteria are neither confined to one of the eubacteria sublines, nor phylogenetically isolated from their nonphotosynthetic counterparts. Of the ten known major eubacterial groups (the bacterial equivalent of metazoan phyla or divisions), four contain photosynthetic species—the purple bacteria and relatives, the green sulfur bacteria, the green non-

sulfur bacteria, and the cyanobacteria (3–6). Such a broad phylogenetic distribution of photosynthetic species suggests either that most if not all eubacteria have arisen from a common photosynthetic ancestor, or that photosynthesis has arisen a number of times among the eubacteria.

Although the classical photosynthetic phenotypes have been recognized for more than a century, two new ones have been discovered within the last decade, *Chloroflexus aurantiacus* (7) and *Helio-bacterium chlorum* (8). The first of these resembles the classical green photosynthetic bacteria in its chlorosomes (7), but is unlike them in the structure of its photoreaction center (9); and together with its nonphotosynthetic relatives the organism holds a distinctive phylogenetic position (6). The second, *Helio-bacterium*, a strict anaerobe, contains a new type of bacterial chlorophyll (8), but its phylogenetic position is unknown. We now show that *H. chlorum* is not specifi-

cally related to any other photosynthetic bacteria, but is, surprisingly, a member of the Gram-positive bacteria as defined phylogenetically.

The *H. chlorum* 16S rRNA gene sequence is aligned with those of three other eubacteria in Fig. 1. Corresponding T1 oligonucleotide sequences, determined from the rRNA itself, are indicated by overlining their presumed counterparts in the gene sequence. Some discrepancy exists between the oligonucleotides predicted from the DNA sequence and those found in the rRNA catalog. Most, if not all, of this disagreement would seem to reflect sequence heterogeneity among the various copies of the 16S rRNA gene in the *H. chlorum* genome. The existence of multiple cistrons of slightly different sequence can be deduced from the rRNA catalog. For example, UAACCUUUUCUG (U, uracil; A, adenine; C, cytosine; G, guanine) (vicinity of position 1450) occurs in the catalog along with lesser amounts of UAACCUUUUAG and UAACCUUUUAUG, obvious sequence variants; the gene sequence shows yet a fourth version, UAACCUUUCAG. In the vicinity of position 130, the gene sequence is ACAACCUACCG, but its counterpart in the catalog is AUAACCUG (an oligonucleotide that is actually more typical of the general sequence pattern in this area than that found in the DNA sequence). CCCUUCG is the gene sequence in the vicinity of position 1030, but CCCUUAG is found in the catalog. It would appear that the 16S rRNA gene we have sequenced is a minor variant of the predominant rRNA gene in this organism.

The *H. chlorum* sequence is most closely related to that of *B. subtilis* and vice versa; they are 85 percent homologous. Homology for the remaining pairs of sequences in Fig. 1 ranges from 76 to 81 percent. (Only those 1470 positions in the alignment that are represented in all four sequences are scored in this calculation.)

Too few eubacterial 16S rRNA sequences have been determined for us to analyze the phylogeny of *H. chlorum* in any detail. However, this phylogeny is spelled out by the 400 or so oligonucleotide catalogs that now exist for a variety of prokaryotic species. Table 1 is an oligonucleotide signature (4–6) that places *H. chlorum* within the Gram-positive eubacterial "phylum." Oligonucleotides from *H. chlorum* 16S rRNA are found elsewhere most frequently among the catalogs of Gram-positive bacteria. In some of the examples in Table 1 a more generalized form of the *H. chlorum* sequence is also included to further em-

Table 1. Oligonucleotide signature showing the specific relationship of *H. chlorum* to the Gram-positive eubacterial "phylum." The table contains all sequences from the *H. chlorum* 16S rRNA oligonucleotide catalog heptamer and larger that meet the following criteria: (i) they occur in at least one other eubacterial catalog and (ii) they have been found in less than five of the ten eubacterial "phyla" (4). Their percentage occurrence in each of the eubacterial "phyla"—the Gram-positive "phylum" being split into its two subdivisions (3, 4)—appears in the various columns. In some cases a more generalized form of a given *H. chlorum* sequence, shown in brackets, is also included, to further demonstrate the specificity of the relationship. The number of catalogs in each group (4) is shown under each column heading (where appropriate) in parentheses. Abbreviations are LG+, low G+C subdivision of the Gram-positive eubacteria (3); HG+, high G+C subdivision of the Gram-positive eubacteria (3); SPR, spirochetes and their relatives (12); PUR, purple bacterial "phylum" (5); DSM, sulfate-reducing bacteria and relatives (22); CFB, bacteroides, cytophagas, and their relatives (23); CYN, cyanobacterial "phylum" (3, 4); RAD, radioresistant micrococci, (3, 24); OTH, the remaining eubacterial phyla (3, 4); Y, pyrimidine; and R, purine. A dot signifies no occurrence of the sequence in a group.

Sequence	Percent occurrence in								
	LG+ (94)	HG+ (61)	SPR (22)	PUR (100)	DSM (18)	CFB (22)	CYN (9)	RAD (3)	OTH
CACUCCG	53	.	.	5	6
CAUACCG	100	.
CAAUCCG	2	.	.	1
CAUAACG	.	.	.	3
AUAACAG	13	.	9	1	11
CAAUAAG	18	.	5
UUCCAG	2	.	.	2
ACUCUAG	2	23	22	.	.
CUCUCUG	28	.	.	2	5
CUCUUAG	1	.	.	7
AUAACCG*	6	.	5	.	11	5	.	.	.
UAACCUUU	26
[UAACCCYY·]	(40)	.	(5)	.	(6)	(5)	.	.	.
CAACACCCG	15	16
[YAAAYACCCR]	(67)	(85)
CCCUUAUG	89	93	18
AAUCUCCG	24	.	45
[AAUCUUC··]	(55)	.	(55)	(1)	.	.	.	(100)	.
CUCAACUCCG	3	5	.	1	.	.	11	.	.
ACAUCUCUG	12
[ACAUYYYCU·]	(21)	(2)
UCACACCAG	62	.	.	.	70
AACCUUACCAAG	6	62	.	.	.	18	67	.	.
UCAAUAUCAUG	84	51	14

* In catalog only; sequence counterpart ACAACCUACCG.

phasize the relation to the Gram-positive bacteria. For example, of the eight possible specific sequences of the general form UAACCYYY . . . , five are seen among the catalogs of the "clostridial" subdivision of the Gram-positive bacteria, and these represent at least six phylogenetically independent occurrences. Similarly, six of the eight possible versions of YAAYACCCR are found in this same group, representing at least eight phylogenetically independent occurrences (10).

Hellobacterium chlorum appears closer to the "clostridial" (low G + C) than to the "actinomycete" (high G + C) subdivision (3) of the Gram-positive bacteria by this measure. Although the organism should probably be considered a member of this particular subdivision, a definitive statement regarding this must await full sequence analysis of a representative "actinomycete," because the relative lack of closeness in this case may merely reflect rapid evolution in the "actinomycete" subline (11). In Table 1, *H. chlorum* also shows some relationship to the spirochete "phylum" (12). A possible distant but specific relationship between the spirochetes and Gram-positive bacteria has previously been noted (4).

To date nothing we know about the *H. chlorum* phenotype suggests a relationship to the Gram-positive bacteria (or to any other eubacteria for that matter). On preliminary examination, the organism's cell wall is not of the Gram-positive type (13).

The association of *H. chlorum* with the Gram-positive bacteria is surprising only in terms of our prejudices regarding relationships between photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic bacteria. Precedent for the observed relationship definitely exists in the phylogeny of eubacteria determined by rRNA cataloging (3-4). The purple photosynthetic bacteria are part of a unit, a phylum, that includes many nonphotosynthetic phenotypes (5). The known members of the green nonsulfur "phylum" are predominantly nonphotosynthetic (6). The interesting question now is whether there exist other, as yet undiscovered, photosynthetic eubacteria belonging to "phyla" not now known to contain photosynthetic species.

Given that five of the ten recognized eubacterial "phyla" (4) have now been shown to contain (each a different type of) photosynthetic species, it would seem likely that the ancestor common to all eubacteria was itself photosynthetic. The point, although strengthened by our results, is, however, intrinsically unprov-

able. Therefore, although our conclusion is not a compelling one, it does demand that the archaic and now suspect notion that all bacteria have arisen from a common nonphotosynthetic ancestor no longer be accepted and perpetuated as dogma.

References and Notes

1. A. I. Oparin, *The Origin of Life* (Macmillan, New York, 1938).
2. C. B. van Niel, *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **1**, 285 (1946); R. Y. Stanier and C. B. van Niel, *J. Bacteriol.* **42**, 437 (1941); R. Y. Stanier, *Ann. Inst. Pasteur* **101**, 297 (1961).
3. G. E. Fox *et al.*, *Science* **209**, 457 (1980); E. Stackebrandt and C. R. Woese, in *Molecular and Cellular Aspects of Microbial Evolution*, M. J. Carlile *et al.*, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1981), pp. 1-31.
4. C. R. Woese, E. Stackebrandt, T. J. Macke, G. E. Fox, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, in press; C. R. Woese, in *The Evolution of Prokaryotes*, K. H. Schleifer and E. Stackebrandt, Eds. (Academic Press, London, 1985); E. Stackebrandt, in *ibid.*
5. J. Gibson *et al.*, *Curr. Microbiol.* **3**, 59 (1979); C. R. Woese *et al.*, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **5**, 315 (1984); C. R. Woese *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 327; C. R. Woese *et al.*, *ibid.* **6**, 25 (1985).
6. J. Gibson *et al.*, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, in press.
7. B. K. Pierson and R. W. Castenholz, *Arch. Microbiol.* **100**, 5 (1974).
8. H. Gest and J. L. Favinger, *ibid.* **136**, 11 (1983).
9. R. G. Feick and R. C. Fuller, *Biochemistry* **23**, 3693 (1984).
10. C. R. Woese *et al.*, unpublished data.
11. C. R. Woese, J. Maniloff, L. B. Zablén, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **77**, 494 (1980); C. R. Woese, E. Stackebrandt, W. Ludwig, *J. Mol. Evol.* **21**, 305 (1985).

12. B. J. Paster, E. Stackebrandt, R. B. Hespell, C. M. Hahn, C. R. Woese. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **5**, 337 (1984).
13. H. Gest, personal communication. We thank Professor Gest for a frozen pellet of *H. chlorum* cells.
14. C. J. Green, G. C. Stewart, M. A. Hollis, B. S. Vold, K. R. Bott, *Gene*, in press.
15. N. Tomioka and M. Sugiura, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **191**, 46 (1983).
16. J. Brosius, J. L. Palmer, J. P. Kennedy, H. F. Noller, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **75**, 4801 (1978).
17. T. Maniatis, E. F. Fritsch, J. Sambrook, *Molecular Cloning* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y., 1982); W. A. M. Loenen and W. J. Brammar. *Gene* **20**, 249 (1980).
18. J. Messing, *Methods Enzymol.* **101**, 20 (1983).
19. F. Sanger, S. Nicklen, A. R. Coulson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **74**, 5463 (1977); D. Yang *et al.*, *ibid.* **82**, 4443 (1985).
20. E. Stackebrandt, E. Seewaldt, W. Ludwig, K.-H. Schleifer, B. A. Huser, *Zentral. Bakteriolog. Hyg., Abt. I. Orig.* **C3**, 90 (1982); V. J. Fowler, W. Ludwig, E. Stackebrandt, in *The Use of Chemotaxonomic Methods for Bacteria*, M. Goodfellow and D. E. Minnikin, Eds. (Academic Press, New York, in press).
21. C. R. Woese, R. R. Gutell, R. Gupta, H. F. Noller, *Microbiol. Rev.* **47**, 621 (1983).
22. R. B. Hespell, B. J. Paster, T. J. Macke, C. R. Woese, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **5**, 196 (1984); E. Stackebrandt and W. Ludwig, in preparation.
23. B. J. Paster *et al.*, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **6**, 34 (1985).
24. B. W. Brooks, R. G. E. Murray, J. L. Johnson, E. Stackebrandt, C. R. Woese, G. E. Fox, *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **30**, 627 (1980).
25. Supported by grants from NASA and NSF (C.R.W.) and by the Gesellschaft fuer Biotechnologische Forschung to support the German Collection of Microorganisms (E.S.).

5 March 1985; accepted 30 April 1985

Antibody-Directed Urokinase: A Specific Fibrinolytic Agent

Abstract. A specific fibrinolytic agent was synthesized by covalently coupling urokinase to a monoclonal antibody that was fibrin-specific and did not cross-react with fibrinogen. The antibody was raised against a synthetic peptide representing the seven amino-terminal residues of the beta chain of human fibrin. The urokinase-antifibrin conjugate retained the original binding specificity of the antibody and showed 100-fold increased fibrinolysis *in vitro* when compared to unmodified urokinase. The presence of human fibrinogen at plasma concentration did not influence these properties.

CHRISTOPH BODE

GARY R. MATSUEDA

KWAN Y. HUI

EDGAR HABER

Cardiac Unit, Massachusetts

General Hospital, Boston 02114

Coronary arteriographic studies indicate that 87 percent of transmural myocardial infarctions are caused by coronary thrombosis (1). Although thrombolytic agents currently available can lyse coronary artery thrombi in the early hours of coronary thrombosis and thereby diminish myocardial injury, their clinical application has been attended by significant problems. Both urokinase and streptokinase activate the conversion of plasminogen to the fibrinolytic enzyme plasmin. Plasmin, in turn, not only affects lysis of the fibrin in the thrombus but also promotes generalized fibrinolysis, at times resulting in severe

bleeding (2). Human tissue plasminogen activator may be more fibrin-specific than urokinase (3). In order to target urokinase to a fibrin-containing clot, we coupled this plasminogen activator to a monoclonal antibody that was raised against a synthetic peptide representing the seven amino-terminal residues of the beta chain of human fibrin. This antibody is specific for fibrin and does not cross-react with fibrinogen (4). Fibrinolysis was promoted to a much greater extent with the conjugate than with unmodified urokinase.

Reduced urokinase was coupled to fibrin-specific monoclonal antibody 64C5 by means of its intrinsic sulfhydryl groups, with *N*-succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridylthio)propionate (SPDP) as a cross-linking agent (5). The cross-linking agent (20 mM in 0.05 ml of absolute ethanol) was added to the antibody [6.3 mg in 3.0 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)