AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and accussion of important issues related to the advancement of discussion discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor: DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

Deputy Editors

PHILIP H. ABELSON (Engineering and Applied Sciences), JOHN I. BRAUMAN (Physical Sciences), GARDNER LINDZEY (Social Sciences)

Editorial Board

PHILIP W. ANDERSON, DAVID BALTIMORE, ANSLEY J. COALE, JOSEPH L. GOLDSTEIN, LEON KNOPOFF, SEYMOUR LIPSET, WAL-TER MASSEY, OLIVER E. NELSON, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PAT-RICK, VERA C. RUBIN, HOWARD E. SIMMONS, SOLOMON H. SNYDER, ROBERT M. SOLOW

Board of Reviewing Editors

JAMES P. ALLISON, QAIS AL-AWQATI, LUIS W. ALVAREZ, DON L. ANDERSON, KENNETH J. ARROW, C. PAUL BIANCHI, ELIZA-BETH H. BLACKBURN, FLOYD E. BLOOM, MICHAEL S. BROWN, JAMES H. CLARK, STANLEY FALKOW, NINA V. FEDOROFF, GARY FELSENFELD, DOUGLAS J. FUTUYMA, THEODORE H. GEBALLE, STEPHEN P. GOFF, PATRICIA S. GOLDMAN-RAKIC, RICHARD M. HELD, GLORIA HEPPNER, JOHN IMBRIE, ERIC F. JOHNSON, KONRAD B. KRAUSKOPF, PAUL E. LACY, JOSEPH B. MARTIN, JOHN C. MCGIFF, MORTIMER MISHKIN, JOHN S. PEARSE, YESIAYAU POCKER, FREDERIC M. RICHARDS, JAMES E. ROTHMAN, RONALD H. SCHWARTZ, OTTO T. SOLBRIG, ROBERT T. N. TJIAN, VIRGINIA TRIMBLE, GEERAT J. VERMEU, MARTIN G. WEIGERT, GEORGE M. WHITESIDES, WILLIAM B. WOOD, HARRIET ZUCKERMAN

Editorial Staff

Managing Editor: PATRICIA A. MORGAN Assistant Managing Editors: NANCY J. HARTNAGEL, JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), MARK H. CRAWFORD, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARIORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH European Correspondent: DAVID DICKSON Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK; Editorial Assistant, News: FANNIE GROOM Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, RUTH KULSTAD Associate Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, WILLIAM GREAVES, BARBARA JASNY, STE-PHEN KEPPLE, EDITH MEVERS, LOIS SCHMITT Assistant Editor: LISA MCCULLOUGH Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HUSERMAN, JANET KEOG

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters Editor: CHRISTINE GILBERT Contributing Editor: RUTH L. GUYER Production: John BAKER, HOLLY BISHOP, KATHLEEN COSIMANO, ELEANOR WARNER; ISABELLA BOULDIN, SHARON RYAN, BEVERLY SHIELDS COVERS, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G, SOMMER Manuscript System Analyst; WILLIAM CARTER EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. For "Infor-mation for Contributors" see page xi, Science, 28 June 1985.

Business Staff

Chief Business Officer: WILLIAM M. MILLER III Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM Assistant to Chief Business Officer: Rose Lowery Business Staff Supervisor: DeBorAH JEAN RIVERA Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager: DONNA RIVERA Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Rich-ard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); SAN JOSE; CALIF. 95112: BOB Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffen-bach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581). ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York 10036 (212-730-1050).

Science and the Philadelphia Story

We are little more than a year and a half away from celebrating the bicentennial of the American Constitution. Are we light-years away from waking up to the impacts of scientific and technological exuberance on the vital propositions of that political statement? Perhaps apocryphal, but nonetheless striking, is the remark ascribed to a scientist and framer of our Constitution, Benjamin Franklin, who emerged from the Philadelphia conclave to tell a curious bystander, "We have given you a republic, sir, if you can keep it.'

SCIENCE

Apart from providing for patent and copyright protection, the Constitution is quiet on the subject of science in the affairs of the budding nation. This was natural enough two centuries ago, even though, in the debates over the Constitution, proposals for the encouragement of science were considered and finally dropped. It would have been astonishing had the authors guessed the extent to which science and technology would become entangled with government in the second half of the 20th century-at once enriching, extending, and complicating the political process. Now, however, the intricacy of government's involvement with science is such that the constitutional considerations must be taken seriously.

All things considered, there is little doubt that advances in science and technology have served to fortify the constitutional purposes. The reach of the general welfare clause, for example, has been extended through science with immense benefits to the nation's health, economy, productivity, and industrial capacity. Technology, in turn, has done much to multiply choices, opportunities, and both humanistic and material benefits as well as dilemmas

It would be fine if we could leave it at that. But it is also the fact that the new centrality of science and technology is imposing pressures on the politics that we practice, especially on those fundamental power equations that are built into the Constitution and that made up the main agenda of the Philadelphia conferees. If we mean to keep our republic, that agenda is as much ours as it was theirs.

Some of these power equations bear on the coequal powers of the branches of government, others on the reserved powers of Congress, and still others on the supremacy of civil authority over the military. With scientific and technical complexity suffusing the business of Congress, whose members are overwhelmingly generalists, the task of preserving the coequal status of the Legislative and Executive branches, in fact as well as in the textbooks, is no minor challenge. When the same complexity taxes the abilities of the voters to evaluate technical risk and make informed choices, government by the people is in trouble. When military power is locked into weapons poised for "launch on warning" under delegated authorities, the reservation of the war-making power to Congress is reduced to something akin to fiction, and the supremacy of the civil authority is compromised. All these difficulties require us to think again about our understanding of the equations of power that were so carefully set down by the framers of our Constitution two centuries ago and that we will celebrate in 1987.

The political dialogue of our day is concentrated not on constitutional fundamentals but on issues of immediacy, on taming the budget deficit, on prospective winners and losers under tax reform, on threats of trade wars and anarchy in the Middle East, on insurgency and the enduring enigma of Soviet intentions. What is grist for the media is what focuses our attention and thought. This, too, is a result of scientific and technical inventiveness and is further evidence of altered equations of power.

Science, once the province of philosophers, scholars, and inventors, is now a prime mover of the goals of a nation. So much power must be reconciled with the checks and balances to which we still subscribe. They are at the heart of the Philadelphia story.-WILLIAM D. CAREY