#### OMB Directive Will Cost NIH Loss of 1500 Grants

Until just a few weeks ago, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) budget included funds enough to pay 6500 new and competing grants in fiscal year (FY) 1985—an extraordinarily large number in recent years. However, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued a directive during the last week of December ordering NIH to scale back to only 5000 grants. The budgetary move would not only slash the number of funded grants for FY 1985 but also would have the effect of lowering the base in future years.

When President Reagan signed the NIH appropriations bill last fall, it gave the institutes a record-breaking total of \$5.15 billion. As the President said, "In 1985, the NIH will receive its largest increase in appropriated funds in history." After wrangling over funding for new grants during recent years, the NIH was gratified to find itself in a position to award 6500 grants this time around.

But the OMB's money-saving directive will change all that. According to Washington health lobbyists, word of the directive first came to light when some scientists whose grants had been slated for funding received calls from NIH grant officials saying, sorry, there's no money after all.

Piecing various bits of information together, the situation appears to be this. OMB has told NIH, first of all, to fund only 5000 new grants and, second, to "forward-fund" 12 percent or 600 of that 5000 for the full 3-year life of the grant. What this amounts to is a clever maneuver by which the NIH's budgetary bottom line for FY 1985 remains unchanged but which effectively sets aside millions of dollars of what had been 1985 money for funding in 1986 and 1987.

"This is not what Congress had in mind," one lobbyist told *Science*. In fact, language in the House-Senate conference report specifies 6500 as the figure for new grants. As yet, Congress has received no official word of OMB's directive. Neither Senator Lowell P. Weicker, Jr. (R-Conn.), nor Representative William H. Natcher (D-Ky.), chairmen of the congressional committees that oversee NIH

appropriations has made any public comment on the matter.

But federal officials concede that OMB is operating well within its legal authority even though its directive plainly counters congressional intent. Details about the budget cuts will be made public when the President's budget for FY 1986 goes to Congress early in February Indications are that some institutes will be hit harder than others.

One source who opposes the OMB's directive nonetheless described it as a "very imaginative way of coming to grips with a push for budget-cutting." Other less imaginative but more open ways of achieving the same goal would be for the President to directly ask Congress for a rescission of funds or for the NIH to ask for reprogramming authority to make changes itself. Neither of these routes, which would have generated considerable opposition among biomedical scientists and their supporters on Capitol Hill, was taken.

There is not much that Congress could do to override OMB, which clearly has the upper hand in this matter. But Congress could make up the difference by adding on extra funds for the 1986 NIH budget.

-BARBARA J. CULLITON

# MacArthur Puts \$25 Million into World Peace Studies

The MacArthur Foundation has announced the award of \$25 million in grants to 35 institutions in the United States and abroad for studies in international security. The foundation calls it "one of the most comprehensive philanthropic efforts ever launched to confront the problems posed by the threat of nuclear annihilation." It is also the biggest program ever launched by the foundation. An additional \$6 million is waiting in the wings.

The new Program on International Security is being directed by Ruth Adams, former editor of the *Bulletin of Atomic Scientists*. The initiative follows 2 years of study directed by board members Jerome B. Wiesner, Jonas Salk, and Murray Gell-Mann. Among a stellar array of consultants to the project are Kenneth Prewitt of the Social Science Research Council,

David Hamburg of the Carnegie Corporation, and Seweryn Bialer of Columbia University.

The money, to be awarded in grants of varying sizes over the next 2 to 5 years, will more than double the funds available for independent research, says the foundation. It will be used for fellowships; expanded research, particularly cross-disciplinary studies and innovative projects; institutional support, and public education.

The largest grant is an allocation of \$6.24 million to the Social Science Research Council (SSRC) for the support of 32 pre- and postdoctoral fellowships and two mid-career fellowships each year over the next 5 years. The Brookings Institution in Washington, D.C., will get a 5-year grant of \$1.7 million to stimulate innovative research.

A fundamental aim of the program is to expand the social science presence in international security studies. Prewitt notes that ever since the advent of nuclear weapons this field has been dominated by physicists and foreign policy specialists. SSRC figures show that fewer than 1 percent of doctorates awarded in the social and behavioral sciences, political science and economics over the past 5 years have been for work on security-related issues.

Says Wiesner: "Prevailing conceptions... seriously underestimate the degree to which critical events in the world emanate from factors other than military power. We must transform the field of international security so it adequately reflects the impact of competing world economies, historical interactions, the dynamics of large organizations, collective politics and individual psychology of strategic rivalry, and the uncharted consequences of unbalanced social development."

-- CONSTANCE HOLDEN

# White House Picks Calio to Head NOAA

After months of internal battling, the White House has finally settled on a new head for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA): Anthony J. Calio, the current acting administrator, Calio's nomination, according to informed sources,

498 SCIENCE, VOL. 227

will soon be sent to the Senate, where it is expected to attract some heavy fire

An 18-year veteran of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Calio was appointed deputy administrator of NOAA in 1981. He has been the leading contender for the top spot since John V. Byrne announced last spring that he would vacate the post by the end of 1984 to return to Oregon State University.

Commerce Secretary Malcolm Baldrige, in whose department NOAA resides, strongly supported Calio, but his potential nomination quickly ran into opposition within the Administration and on Capitol Hill. Calio's critics argued that NOAA has been in such a sorry state for the past 4 years that a complete change of leadership is required (*Science*, 7 December 1984, p. 1172).

Among his critics were senators Bob Packwood (R-Ore.) and Ernest Hollings (D-S.C.), then the chairman and ranking minority member of the Senate Commerce Committee, which will have to approve the nomination. Packwood has moved over to the chairmanship of the Senate Finance Committee, however, and thus Calio may be given a slightly easier ride by the committee.

One problem he faces, however, is that his nomination will be sent to Capitol Hill at about the same time as NOAA's budget request for next year. By all accounts, the Administration is again seeking major cuts in the agency's science programs. Since Calio was acting administrator when the budget was prepared, he will inevitably get some of the blame.

-COLIN NORMAN

### Peace Institute Funds Deferred

President Reagan has requested that the \$4 million that Congress appropriated for fiscal year 1985 to establish a new peace research institute be deferred until decisions have been made about the institute's organization and location.

The White House, meanwhile, plans to ask Congress to adopt some minor revisions apparently designed to placate the State Department,

which had opposed the new enterprise. These include adding one or two more State Department people to the 15-member board and emphasizing the institute's nonpartisan, nonpolicy-making role.

Promoters of the peace institute feared the President was going to try to sink the whole endeavor, but they now believe there is a commitment to go ahead with it. The law calls for appointments to the board to be made by 20 April.—Constance Holden

### Germany Wants In on U.S. Space Station

The West German government has finally settled its internal differences and announced last week that it has agreed—as widely expected—to accept financial responsibility as the main European partner in the proposed U.S. space station. It has committed itself, in principle, to meeting 45 percent of a total anticipated contribution by Europe of \$2 billion over the next decade (*Science*, 18 January, p. 271) although reserving the right to review this decision in 2 years time after the completion of NASA's Phase B studies.

At the same time, West German research and technology minister Heinz Riesenhuber has announced that his government is not prepared at present to support a proposal from France that European governments should jointly finance a French project for the development of a manned mini-shuttle, named Hermes.

A statement issued by the German government last week made it clear that the decision to support European participation in the space station at the level proposed last year by NASA administrator James Beggs was being taken "not just for technical and economic reasons, but for political ones, as a transatlantic connecting link." The decision is likely to form the centerpiece of a joint declaration on the importance of cementing political ties through the encouragement of joint scientific and technological projects which the leaders of the seven advanced Western nations are expected to make at their summit meeting in Bonn in May.

However, the German refusal to

support the French proposals for Hermes is likely to lead to some tough political bargaining—in particular over the extent to which Europe's overall space effort should be increased—when research ministers meet in Rome at the end of January to discuss a new long-term program for the European Space Agency (ESA).

France surprised many other ESA members in November when it suggested that Hermes should be built by 1995, since previously it had been talking about a target date of 2000. France has also said that, if ESA is not prepared to back Hermes as a joint European project, then it will seek other partners on a bilateral basis, as it has already done with Sweden and Belgium over the funding of the SPOT remote sensing satellite.

-DAVID DICKSON

#### Comings and Goings

Philip H. Abelson, who retired as editor of *Science* on 1 January, has joined Resources for the Future as a resident fellow. The organization conducts research and policy analysis on issues involving natural resources; Abelson will be attached to its energy and materials division. He also retains a connection with *Science* as deputy editor for engineering and applied sciences.

Irving Selikoff is stepping down as head of the Environmental Sciences Laboratory at Mt. Sinai School of Medicine on 1 June, under the institution's mandatory retirement rules. He will be succeeded by Philip Landrigan, director of the division of surveillance, hazard evaluation, and field studies at the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health.

The long-expected appointment of **Mortimer B. Lipsett** as director of the National Institute of Arthritis, Diabetes, and Digestive and Kidney Diseases was formally announced on 10 January by Margaret M. Heckler, secretary of Health and Human Services. Lipsett has been director of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development for the past 2 years. A new director of the child health institute is now being sought; the post is being filled on an acting basis by Deputy Director **Duane Alexander**.